

RENGSTORFF PARK MASTER PLAN





Capital Improvement Project 09-24

Adopted March 4, 2014

RENGSTORFF PARK

Master Plan

Table of Contents

Introduction	
What is Rengstorff Park Master Plan?	1
Project Background and Public Process	2
Park History and Current Site Conditions	5
Council Approved Master Plan Concept	
Rengstorff Park Master Plan Overview	9
Main Master Plan Elements	11
Community Center Renovation and Expansion	11
Aquatics Center Building Renovation and Pool Replacement	14
New Teen Center "The View" – 263 Escuela Avenue	17
Other Master Plan Elements	18
Relocation of the Maintenance Operation Building and Restrooms	18
Improved Pathway Lighting	19
Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA) Park Upgrades	19
Current Teen Center Building – 298 Escuela Avenue	20
New Tennis Restroom and Storage Building	21
Future Projects that Could Affect Rengstorff Park	22
Rengstorff Avenue Grade Separation	22
Estimated Preliminary Costs	

Appendices

Rengstorff Park Inventory Tree Inventory Report

INTRODUCTION



The Master Plan is a general guide for improvements to aging Rengstorff Park facilities over the next 20 years, indicating recommended renovations to the existing Community Center and Aquatics Center in their current locations, new pools, and other park improvements such as improved lighting, enhanced park entrances, modified parking, and renovation and/or rebuilding of restrooms and other park auxiliary buildings.

The goals of the Rengstorff Park Master Plan are reflected in the project vision statement stated below:

Rengstorff Park is a jewel both for the neighborhood and the entire community. The Master Plan will preserve open space while improving recreation buildings and facilities in order to create a park which provides both the calming effect from nature as well as an enhanced sense of community from shared recreation experiences.

Funding, design, environmental review, public comment, Parks and Recreation Commission recommendations, and City Council approvals and construction of the Master Plan elements will occur when individual elements are ready to proceed. Each element will be initiated as a future project in the City's Capital Improvement Program. Minor park improvements may be initiated as park maintenance projects.



The Rengstorff Park Master Plan project was initiated as part of the City's 2008-09 Capital Improvement Program. Rather than evaluate improvements to Rengstorff Park facilities individually, the purpose of this project was to develop a concept-level, 20-year master plan of the park to define a strategy for replacement or renovation of aging facilities. At a Study Session on October 13, 2009, the City Council directed staff to include the Rock Church property in the master planning process.



Public input was obtained through community workshops on September 22, 2010, September 24, 2010, and April 8, 2011. Focus group meetings were also held on October 6, 2010 to collect input from specialized groups such as tennis and aquatics users. Feedback was also obtained from City Recreation and Parks staff regarding the current and future needs for the park and its facilities at staff workshops held on October 12, 2010 and December 1, 2010.

The City Council provided input through several Study Sessions on the Master Plan. At the February 15, 2011 Study Session, Council discussed the scope of work to be completed on the Master Plan which included:

- Providing a comprehensive inventory of park amenities;
- Evaluating existing park infrastructure;

- Exploring joint-use facilities such as the Community Center and the Aquatics Center;
- Evaluating pedestrian and vehicle circulation (including the possible closure of Crisanto Avenue at Rengstorff Avenue); and
- Developing four site layout scenarios.

Council provided input on which park elements and facilities to keep, improve, remove, or possibly add, as well as guidelines for the four site layouts. Council asked staff to also:

- Address rail corridor issues;
- Include a teen center potentially at the former Rock Church location;
- Provide cost information; and
- Address security, access, and parking concerns.


Staff returned at a September 13, 2011 Study Session and provided four park layout scenarios and the corresponding costs. All of the scenarios proposed a great deal of change to Rengstorff Park and impacted between 84 and 111 Heritage trees. The cost estimates ranged between \$86.7 million to \$139.6 million (including cost escalation to 2021). Council directed staff to lessen the impact to Heritage trees, preserve open space, minimize parking, focus on updating or replacing existing facilities with the Community Center as first priority, develop a phasing plan, and provide a vision statement for the Master Plan.

At an April 24, 2012 Study Session, staff presented four alternative park layouts and phasing options, as well as a proposed vision statement. The number of Heritage trees potentially impacted was less than in the previous scenarios and the cost of the project in 2012 dollars ranged between \$45 million and \$53 million for the Community Center and between \$12 million and \$15 million for the Aquatics Center. After reviewing the layouts, costs, and Heritage tree impacts, Council stressed the importance of preserving as much of the park open space as possible and favored renovating the existing Community Center and Aquatics Center in their current locations instead of building new facilities. Council also requested

that staff provide information regarding the space utilization of the Community Center, Senior Center, and new teen center.

During a March 5, 2013 Study Session, Council concurred with the Master Plan concept presented that included the renovation and expansion of the Community Center and renovation of the Aquatics Center in their current locations, new pools, and other park upgrades such as an improved entrance to the park; improved pedestrian and bicycle connections to the park; and improved park lighting, restrooms, and maintenance facilities. This concept for the new park upgrades only required the removal of approximately 8 to 10 Heritage trees throughout the park, which was much less than in previous concepts. Rough costs provided to Council at that time (in 2012 dollars) ranged between \$14 million and \$17 million for the Community Center renovation and addition and between \$5.5 million and \$6.0 million for the Aquatics Center building renovation. Council requested staff complete the Master Plan based on that direction.

On March 4, 2014, the City Council adopted the Rengstorff Park Master Plan.



Rengstorff Park History

The 27+-acre Rengstorff Park has been open to the public since the 1960s and is a highly used and well-loved community asset, offering many benefits and services to residents from the adjacent neighborhoods and from the entire City.


Buildings serving the community in Rengstorff Park include:

- Aquatics Center opened 1959
- Community Center opened 1961
- Current Teen Center 298 Escuela Avenue opened 2000
- Avenidas Rose Kleiner Senior Day Health Center opened 2005
- Senior Center opened 2006

- Child-Care Center opened 2008
- Future Teen Center at Rock Church—currently under construction (completion scheduled for spring/summer 2014)

Current Site Conditions

In December 2010, a Rengstorff Park inventory was performed to establish a shared understanding of the existing condition of the park and its facilities and note specific areas of concern that need further study. In general, the inventory found that the park elements were well maintained and functioning as intended. The map on the following page shows the current spaces and uses within the park.



EXISTING RENGSTORFF PARK

Buildings

All of the buildings within Rengstorff Park are highly used by the public and provide important services to the community. Some of the older buildings such as the Community Center, Aquatics Center, the tennis building, and maintenance building (which are approximately 50 years old) require an increasing level of ongoing maintenance and repair. In addition, layouts of these buildings do not support current community needs or efficient service delivery to the public. Many of these buildings are in need of upgrades to their mechanical, electrical, and plumbing systems and are not compliant with current codes and regulations.

Recreation

The park's wide range of recreational activities and opportunities is one of the features that the community holds in high regard. Skate boarding, basketball, picnicking, tennis, walking paths, and much more can be found in the park. All of the park's playground areas have been renovated within the last seven years and are highly used. There is also a strong maintenance and repair program in place for the courts in the park so they are in good condition.

Landscape

In general, the trees, plants, and lawns in the park are healthy, well maintained, and add to the lush and verdant atmosphere of the park. The mature vegetation creates a series of "outdoor rooms" that the park is known for. The large open lawn spaces are highly used for various activities and very well maintained. During the public input portion of the Master Plan process, the City received a clear message that the outdoor landscape, including the many Heritage trees, were of great value to the community.

Lighting

While the existing light fixtures themselves are in good condition, it was observed that the light output in several areas of the park are less than ideal for a well-lit environment that contributes to safety and security. Many residents commented on the need for more adequate lighting throughout the park to improve safety and security.

Accessibility

The City's 2005 Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA) Assessment Report and the 2002 Access Compliance Survey identified several accessibility deficiencies, some of which are paths that are too steep, curb ramps that do not meet current standards, and site furnishings such as tables, grills, and other elements that are inaccessible as currently installed.


COUNCIL APPROVED MASTER PLAN CONCEPT

Rengstorff Park Master Plan Overview

The Rengstorff Park Master Plan successfully addresses the need to improve existing recreation and park facilities while also preserving the many outdoor assets that the community already loves and enjoys, including the variety of open space areas and most Heritage trees. Some general, overarching goals for the Master Plan are to:

- Renovate aging buildings to provide better functional spaces and improve delivery of programs and services to the public.
- Preserve as much existing open space as possible.
- Preserve as many Heritage trees as possible.
- Improve pedestrian, bicycle, and vehicular circulation.
- Improve parking.
- Improve park entrance visibility.
- Improve connections to surrounding neighborhoods.
- Improve pathway lighting and park safety and security.

The following map depicts the major Master Plan elements that work together to highlight the park's existing beauty and charm while improving the look and functionality of many of the park's buildings and other park infrastructure. The Senior Center, the Child-Care Center, and the Avenidas Rose Kleiner Senior Day Health Center are not included as Master Plan elements because they were constructed within the last 10 years and are currently functioning well as originally designed.



RENGSTORFF PARK MASTER PLAN ELEMENTS



Community Center Renovation and Expansion

The Community Center will be renovated to improve the accessibility and functionality of existing space and will be expanded to improve the Auditorium, add multipurpose rooms, and create a new entrance that will be more functional and attractive.

The Community Center renovation project will incorporate the Leadership in Energy and Environmental Design (LEED) Silver criteria within the scope of the project budget. Specific improvements include:

• Renovation of existing restrooms and general plumbing. Currently, one set of restrooms in the building is not functional and the other has frequent maintenance issues.

- Installation of elevators to make the Lower Social Hall ADA accessible. This will increase the opportunity to rent this space for community events and private functions.
- Addition of a dedicated entrance to the Auditorium so functions can occur in both the Auditorium and Lower Social Hall at the same time without needing to share restrooms or the building entrance. Currently, Recreation does not allow functions to occur in both the Auditorium and the Social Hall at the same time due to these conflicts. This improvement will increase rental usage of the facilities.
- Utilization of unused space on a side patio adjacent to the Auditorium for a catering kitchen. Lack of a catering kitchen is a deficiency that prevents optimal use of the Auditorium.


- Removal of the unused stage area in the Auditorium and expansion into the area between the two existing exterior mechanical yards to increase Auditorium seating capacity to 250. The stage area has been underutilized for many years.
- Addition of three multipurpose rooms for Recreation classes and community space. Existing multipurpose room space is well used and demand exists for additional space.
- Expansion of the Community Center towards Rengstorff Avenue and addition of architectural tower features to increase the functionality and visibility of the entrance.

Site improvements would include:

- Reconfiguration of parking lot adjacent to the Community Center to better utilize the space for parking and to improve vehicle circulation.
- Relocation of the park entrance driveway off Rengstorff Avenue to align with Stanford Avenue. This realignment will greatly improve the visibility of the Community Center and the park entrance from Rengstorff Avenue.

- Installation of a new traffic signal at the new park entrance on Rengstorff Avenue. This will improve vehicle circulation and safety in and out of the park and the Community Center and will provide a safer way for pedestrians and bicycles to cross Rengstorff Avenue. This also will improve connections with the surrounding neighborhoods.
- Construction of a pedestrian/bicycle path from Rengstorff Avenue along the south property line of the park with a connection to the Community Center. This path will allow pedestrians and bicyclists to bypass the park entrance driveway and parking lot to access the Community Center and enter the park, minimizing conflicts with vehicles. This pathway improves both connections from surrounding neighborhoods to the park and also from one adjacent neighborhood to another.

A preliminary layout of the proposed Community Center renovation and expansion is provided on the following page.






Aquatics Center Building Renovation and Pool Replacement

The Aquatics Center building will also be renovated to improve functionality and accessibility of the existing space. All building renovations (except for new shade structures on the pool deck) will be essentially within the current building footprint. The renovations improve the shower/locker rooms, lobby, office, and exterior park restrooms, as well as include an area for lifeguard training and shade structures for the pool deck. The reconfiguration of the parking lot will also be included in the renovation to more efficiently use the space to provide additional parking spaces as well as improve vehicle circulation.

A preliminary layout of the Aquatics Center Building Renovation can be found on the following page.



AQUATIC CENTER - PRELIMINARY RENOVATION CONCEPT







Replacement of the existing pools is also part of the Master Plan. The L-shaped configuration and the shallow depth of the existing main pool limits its use for recreational programs. The pool is too shallow to provide effective use of space for exercise and its L-shape limits the lap swimming program to only six lanes. As part of this Master Plan, the large pool will be replaced with a 25-meter, eight-lane pool that can be used for year-round programming. The wading pool will be replaced with a zero-depth entry pool for handicap accessibility. Water play structures will also be included with the new pools.

To have the least impact on operations, the Aquatics Center building renovation and pool replacement may be phased and not done at the same time. Currently, the Aquatics Center building and pools are closed from September to May so the preference would be to do as much work as possible within the months of normal facility closure.



New Teen Center "The View" – 263 Escuela Avenue

In August 2009, the City purchased the Rock Church property at 263 Escuela Avenue and subsequently gathered community input on the possible uses of the property. In December 2011, the City Council approved a project to refurbish the building and create a new City teen center called "The View." Construction is currently under way and the new center is scheduled to open in fall/winter 2014.



Relocation of the Maintenance Operation Building and Restrooms

The maintenance/restroom building at the easterly end of the Community Center parking lot (adjacent to the outdoor exercise equipment area) is used by maintenance staff and park rangers to store equipment and supplies and for public restrooms. The restrooms are undersized, poorly located (away from the most active use areas), and in need of renovation.

This building will be removed and a replacement building (including new public restrooms) will be constructed near the group picnic area. The relocation will provide more convenient restroom

facilities for residents using the picnic grounds and will provide both an operational efficiency and improved customer service by bringing the rangers closer to the picnic grounds. Once the current maintenance building is removed, more space will be gained for parking and open space. Park visitors will enjoy a clear and open view of the park from the Community Center area.



Improved Pathway Lighting

The need for additional pathway lighting in the park was identified as a priority in the Rengstorff Park Site Inventory as well as during the public input process. Many residents expressed the need for more lighting to improve safety and security in the park. Additional light fixtures will be installed along various pathways to provide better lighting in the park. A new electrical service to the park may be needed to support this work and for future upgrades to existing buildings in the park.

Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA) Park Upgrades

The City's 2005 Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA) Assessment Report and the 2002 Access Compliance Survey identified accessibility deficiencies, including paths, curb ramps, and site furnishings such as tables, grills, and other elements which need to be improved to meet current standards. While some improvements have been made, work will continue in conjunction with the Master Plan projects.



Current Teen Center Building – 298 Escuela Avenue

Currently, the Teen Center is operated out of a converted residential house at 298 Escuela Avenue. Once the new Teen Center is open, staff will provide options and seek direction from Council on an alternative use for the property.



New Tennis Restroom and Storage Building

The tennis building is not well utilized for current tennis programs. A new restroom/storage building will better serve users of the tennis center and the southerly portion of the park.



Rengstorff Avenue Grade Separation

The Rengstorff Avenue Grade Separation project is currently in the conceptual phase and keeps the railroad in its current location, while lowering the intersection of Rengstorff Avenue and Central Expressway. The concept also includes a bicycle/pedestrian bridge across Rengstorff Avenue south of the tracks to provide access to the neighborhood on the west side of Rengstorff Avenue. Currently, no funding has been identified for the project.

If Rengstorff Avenue grade separation is constructed, it will not affect the new proposed driveway to the Community Center, but it will likely affect Rengstorff Park in the following ways:

 Closure of the intersection of Crisanto Avenue and Rengstorff Avenue.

- Removal of a number of Heritage trees along Rengstorff Avenue near the intersection of Rengstorff Avenue and Crisanto Avenue.
- Regrading and landscaping of the corner of Rengstorff Avenue and Crisanto Avenue.
- Construction of a new plaza on Crisanto Avenue where it terminates near Rengstorff Avenue and near one side of the new pedestrian bridge over Rengstorff Avenue.
- Construction of new walkways leading from the park to Rengstorff Avenue.

Estimated Preliminary Costs

The Rengstorff Park Master Plan recommends implementation of a number of improvements to various facilities within the park over the next 20 years. The following is a summary of preliminary cost estimates for each of these improvements (in July 2014 dollars). The order, timing, and funding for these projects will be determined by the City Council, likely in conjunction with future Capital Improvement Programs.

Summary of Preliminary Costs for Master Plan Improvements

Master Plan Improvements	Cost (July 2014 dollars)
Community Center Renovation and Expansion	\$20.00 million
Aquatics Center Building Renovation	\$8.40 million
Aquatic Center Pool Replacement	\$3.30 million
Maintenance Building/Restroom Relocation	\$3.75 million
Improved Pathway Lighting	\$0.75 million
Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA) Park Upgrades	\$0.25 million
Current Teen Center	TBD
New Tennis Restroom and Storage Building	\$1.85 million

AMS/5/PWK 924-02-11-14RPMP-E

APPENDICES

City of Mountain View Rengstorff Park Inventory

City Project 09-24

December 17, 2010



Table of Contents

Tab

- 1 INTRODUCTION AND FINDINGS
- 2 SITE PLANS
- 3 INVENTORY OF PARK ELEMENTS
 - Building
 - Recreation
 - Landscape
 - Site Furnishings
 - Signage
 - Miscellaneous

City of Mountain View

Rengstorff Park Inventory

INTRODUCTION AND PURPOSE

In March of 2010, the City of Mountain View retained Anderson Brulé Architects, Inc. to develop a Master Plan for Rengstorff Park. As part of the Master Planning effort, the City included the creation of a Park Inventory. The purpose of the park inventory is to provide general information on the approximate location and condition of elements in the park. Park elements include, but are not limited to lighting, drinking fountains, play equipment, pathways, signage, parking, and landscaping.

The goal of creating the park inventory at the outset of the Master Planning effort is to establish a shared understanding of the overall existing condition of the park and its assets. The collaborative Master Planning process will define the future vision and experience of Rengstorff Park to the year 2040. Documenting the park's current conditions will allow for analysis of the gap between the existing and desired states for the park.

This park inventory is not meant to be an in-depth study of specific park elements; rather, this effort is intended to highlight the overall condition of the park and note any specific areas of concern that may need further technical study. This inventory also serves as a place to bring together and summarize several recent assessments and studies of park elements conducted by the City prior to the master planning effort. The park inventory process and resulting information will inform future steps of the Master Planning process.

CONTEXT

Rengstorff Park is a community park of 27.51 acres located at 201 South Rengstorff Avenue in Mountain View. The park is bounded by Rengstorff Avenue to the west, Crisanto Avenue to the north, and Escuela Avenue to the east. Several multi-family apartment buildings and one condominium complex also surround the park. Open to the public since the 1960s, the park serves as a highly used and well-loved community asset that offers many services to the residents of Mountain View.

In June of 2009, the City of Mountain View purchased the Rock Church property located at 263 Escuela Avenue. Given the proximity of this property to the park, the City has included it in the Master Planning efforts for Rengstorff Park. This property is located directly across Escuela Avenue from the eastern edge of the park where Avenidas Senior Day Healthcare Center and the Senior Center are located. Recent street improvements at this location include a crosswalk and traffic calming across Escuela Avenue, which help circulation between the Rock Church property and the park.

ORGANIZATION

Given the large size of the park and the wide variety of elements it contains, the park inventory has been broken into zones and categories to make the inventory easier to review and understand. The overall site plan of the park is also separated into individual zones to allow enlarged plans of each zone to be more legible.



The park elements have been categorized to group similar elements together to allow for easier review of the inventory as a list of elements. The categories are as follows:

- Building Elements (B tag): contains the built structures on the site, including the Aquatics Center, Community Center, Senior Center, Childcare Center, Avenidas Senior Day Healthcare Center and other secondary structures
- Recreation Elements (R tag): consists of the playgrounds, courts, and other recreation areas within the park
- Landscape Elements (L tag): contains trees, shrubs, planting areas, fields, pathways and other environmental features
- **Site Furnishings Elements (F tag):** includes the park benches, drinking fountains, lighting, bicycle racks, trash cans and other items of park furniture
- **Signage Elements (S tag)**: includes informational, directional, and various other sign types within the park
- Miscellaneous Elements (M tag): contains an assortment of items related to site utilities

Each park element has been assigned a tag or symbol on the site plans that links the listing of the element within its category.

This graphic shows a tag on the site plan indicating Site Furnishing (F tag) element item 9.B and a symbol indicating a lighting element, Site Furnishing Element item 1.



The tags coordinate with the listing of park elements found in the Inventory section of this document. The item numbers are shown down the left side of the page with photos and comments on the right.



ACCESSIBILITY

As mentioned earlier, this Park Inventory is not intended to be an in-depth analysis of the exsiting conditions of the park, but rather its purpose is to give an overall sense of the physical state of the park, including accessibility. Detailed examination of the park for compliance with accessibility regulations is beyond the scope of this Park Inventory effort. However, this report does include some comments, based on field observations, on areas where accessibility challenges may exist and may need further investigation.

Information obtained from two accessibility evaulations performed for the City in 2002 and 2005 has also been incorporated into the Park Inventory, but the report data has not been verified with current conditions. Accessibility requirements are governed by several regulatory codes and guidelines that are continually updated and changed. The criteria used for the 2002 and 2005 evaluations is unknown, but they are likely to be outdated at this time.

If specific information on the accessibility of Rengstorff Park is needed in the future a detailed evaluation should be performed to provide the information needed. A summary of park-wide assessibility concerns is provided below in the Findings section.

FINDINGS

In general, the park elements are well maintained and functioning as intended.

Building

Rengstorff Park has become the home to several new buildings in the last five years: Senior Center, Avenidas Senior Day Health Care, and the Childcare Center. These buildings are well maintained, in good condition, and highly used by the community.

The other buildings within the park are between 40 and 50 years old and are very near the end of their useful lives. They are in need of an increasing level of on-going maintenance and repair. These buildings do not present any known hazards to the community, but neither are they compliant with current codes or regulations. The space layouts in the buildings do not support the community needs or efficient service delivery to the public. Many of the buildings mechanical, electrical and plumbing systems are in need of upgrades. Some of the buildings also present accessibility challenges.

Recreation

The park's wide range of recreational activities and opportunities is one of the features that the community holds in high regard. Skate boarding, basketball, picnicking, tennis, walking paths and much more can be found in the park. All of the park's playground areas have been recently renovated and are highly used. There is also a strong maintenance and repair program in place for the courts in the park.

Landscape

In general, the trees, plants, and lawns in the park are healthy, well maintained and add to the lush and verdant atmosphere of the park. The mature vegetation creates a series of 'outdoor rooms' that the park is known for. The large open lawn spaces are highly used for various activities and very well maintained.



Site Furnishings

Due to the large scale and heavily landscaped nature of the park, site lighting is extremely important for safety and security. While the light fixtures themselves are in good condition, it was observed that the light output in several areas of the park are less than ideal to a well lit environment that contributes to safety and security. It is recommended that the light output be increased by adding fixtures or changing the lamping to increase light output.

Signage

The site contains several different signs, which are; for the most part in excellent condition, but lack a unified aesthetic and graphic consistency. Since signage and wayfinding is such an important part of the park users' experience, it would be beneficial to have the signs consistent in their look and feel.

Miscellaneous

The items in the park that support the functionality of the park through infrastructure and utilities appear to be well maintained and functioning correctly.

Accessibility

Based on information provided by the City in a 2005 Americans with Disabilities Act Assessment Report and a 2002 Access Compliance Survey as well as information obtained through site observations, Rengstorff Park faces many challenges to accessibility. Specific areas of concern are noted later in this Park Inventory. Below is a listing of general areas of non-compliance with accessibility requirements:

- Paths, Sidewalks, Walkway and Curbs
 - Walkway slopes greater than 1:20
 - Curb ramps sloped greater than 1:12
 - Level changes or pavement cracks greater than 1/2" in height
 - Slip resistant surfaces are needed
- Site Furnishings
 - o Tables and other elements are installed at inaccessible heights
 - o No accommodation made for accessible seating at fixed seating areas
 - Drinking fountains, phones, grilles and other elements are inaccessible as currently installed
- Gates
 - o Operable parts are installed at inaccessible heights
 - o Clearances for accessible use are not met
- Hazard Conditions
 - Missing detectable warnings at most hazard conditions (level changes, play area boundaries, etc.)
- Accessible parking
 - Pavement markings do not meet accessibility requirements, including parking stalls and path of travel cross walks
 - Signage does not meet accessibility requirements
 - Loading/ Access aisles are not provided or need to be modified

Rengstorff Park Inventory

Introduction




Site Plans

SITE PLANS	As noted in the introduction, one of the purposes of the park inventory is to document the approximate location of site elements. The following pages contain a series of site plans that meet that objective.
Zone Diagram	The first site plan is a view showing the entire park and the Rock Church property. This overall plan indicates the individual zones that are enlarged in the following plans.
Zone 1	The first zone includes the northwest corner of Rengstorff Park, bounded by Rengstorff and Crisanto Avenues and includes the Aquatic Center and Picnic Areas.
Zone 2	The second zone includes the southwest corner of the park. It contains the Community Center, Tennis Courts and Childcare Center, among others.
Zone 3	The final zone includes the southeast corner of the park and the Rock Church property. The Senior Center, Avenidas Senior Day Health Center, and the Church are all in this zone.

Rengstorff Park Inventory

Site Plans










RENGSTORFF PARK - PARK INVENTORY PLAN | ZONE DIAGRAM

2010 - SCALE: 1" = 200'-0"


325 South First Street San Jose, California 95113 Tel.: 408 298 1885 Copyright ● 2000

Авснітвств




2010 - SCALE: 1" = 100'-0"

325 South First Street San Jose, California 95113 Tel.: 408 298 1885 Copyright € 2000



2010 - SCALE: 1" = 100'-0"

325 South First Street San Jose, California 95113 Tel.: 408 298 1885 Copyright € 2000



2010 - SCALE: 1" = 100'-0"

325 South First Street San Jose, California 95113 Tel.: 408 298 1885 Copyright € 2000

BUILDING ELEMENTS

B1

Aquatics Building



The Aquatics Building is a one-story building approximately 4,730 square feet in size and 50 years old. It houses administration space, two locker rooms and equipment.

Based on the 'Property Condition Assessment' report completed in June 2007 by Shums Coda Associates, the Aquatics Building is "structurally and functionally in satisfactory condition" with the need for the following improvements:

- Roof replacement
- Exterior painting
- Structural upgrades
- Termite inspection and fumigation
- Renovation of administration area
- Minor accessibility upgrades
- Mechanical system upgrades
- Sewer system replacement

Although the City continues to provide services from this facility, it does not support functional and programmatic needs of the current aquatics program. The layout of the structure is seen as an impediment to customer service and effective use of the space.

In 1991, the City had a hazardous materials investigation completed for this facility. The report noted a small amount of asbestos piping serving the water heaters in the facility. The piping does not present an exposure risk based on its conditions observed at the time of the report. The roofing for the facility was not testing as a part of the investigation; the report suggests further testing and investigation of the roofing materials at the time of any proposed renovation or demolition involving the roofing.

In 2002 and 2005, the City had an accessibility assessment done of its facilities. The following items are of note for the Aquatics Center:

 Doors sizes, hardware and clearances are not compliant with accessibility standards

- Corridors widths are not accessible
- Restrooms and Dressing Rooms are not accessible due to a lack of:
 - Clear floor areas
 - o Accessible seating
 - o Fixtures at appropriate heights
 - o Accessible shower
 - Accessible clearances

B2 Pool Equipment Enclosure



The Pool Equipment Enclosure is a wood storage structure constructed in 1990 at the time of the pool renovation. The structure conceals the pool equipment and provides storage for the aquatic programs held at the facility.

The structure appears to be in good condition. General maintenance and cleaning is needed.

B3 Pool Fence



The metal pool fence was also constructed in 1990 at the time of the pool renovation. The 6'-0" high fence serves to secure the pool from the rest of the park. The fence and gates appear to be in good condition and functioning well. It is in need of cleaning and painting. The gate has a number of gates for emergency exits. These should be assessed for compliance with accessibility requirements.

B4 Community Center



The Community Center is a one-story building with a partial basement. It was built in 1963 to house the Community Services Department staff and provide space for community recreation programs and classes. Based on information provided in an Initial Study done in June 2002 the following work is needed at the building:

- Mechanical system replacement, including the addition of building wide air conditioning
- Electrical system replacement
- Plumbing and sewer systems upgrades
- Addition of fire sprinklers
- Significant accessibility upgrades

In 1991, the City had a hazardous materials investigation completed for this facility. The investigation noted a few hazardous materials present in the building: floor sheeting and packing around pipes. Neither of these materials presents an exposure risk based on their observed conditions at the time of the report. The roofing for the facility was not testing as a part of the investigation; the report suggests further testing and investigation of the roofing materials at the time of any proposed renovation or demolition involving the roofing.

B5 Ranger Building



The Ranger Building is a small one-story building approximately 1,476 square feet in size. Originally built in 1963, the building was expanded to its current size in 1964. It houses office space, public restrooms, staff locker rooms with showers, break room, and electrical equipment.

Based on the 'Property Condition Assessment' report completed in June 2007 by Shums Coda Associates, the Ranger Building is "structurally and functionally in satisfactory condition" with the need for the following improvements:

- Accessibility upgrades
- General maintenance work

Given the age of the structure and common building practices at the time of its construction, it is possible that the building contains hazardous materials. Further testing and investigation would be needed to determine the presence of any materials of concern.

B6 Tennis Building



The Tennis Building is a small one-story building constructed in 1964 in conjunction with the tennis courts, grandstand, and handball courts. It is approximately 400 square feet in size. The building's primary use is during tennis tournaments as an administrative base and snack bar.

The building appears to be in good condition. Due to its age and time of construction, it is believed that improvements needed at this building are similar to those at the Ranger Building:

- Accessibility upgrades
- General maintenance work

Given the age of the structure and common building practices at the time of its construction, it is possible that the building contains hazardous materials. Further testing and investigation would be needed to determine the presence of any materials of concern.

B7 Tennis Grandstand



The tennis grandstand was constructed in 1964 and renovated in 2009. The recent renovations have made the stands more widely accessible. The stands also provide a storage area under the seating that appears to not be used.

The structure appears to be well maintained.

B8 Pavilion



The pavilion was constructed in 1964. The construction drawings indicate that the pavilion was intended to support the City's day camp programs, and it still fulfills this role today.

The wood framing that forms the roof of the structure appears to need replacement, due to deterioration from weather. The steel frame portion also shows considerable wear from the elements and needs to be cleaned and painted.

B9 Childcare Center



Built in 2007, the Childcare Center is the most recent structure to be built at the park. It is a one-story building of approximately 8,623 square feet. The structure contains several modular buildings with a wood framed building surrounding and connecting the modular parts into a single facility. This facility is owned by the City and operated by the Children's Creative Learning Center, a division of Knowledge Learning Corporation (a private company based in Delaware). The childcare services available range from infant care to pre-kindergarten daycare.

The building appears to be in good condition and well maintained.

B10 Senior Center



The Senior Center is a two-story building of approximately 23,600 square feet in size. It was constructed in 2005 as an all-purpose facility for adults over 55 and provides space for a variety of programs and services.

The building appears to be in good condition and well maintained.

Avenidas Senior Day Health Center



The Avenidas Senior Day Health Center was built in 2005. A two-story building of approximately 9,500 square feet, the Center provides day health care to adults with a variety of medical conditions. The building is owned by the City and operated by Avenidas, a community-based nonprofit organization based in Palo Alto.

The building appears to be in good condition and well maintained.

B12 Teen Center



The Teen Center, also referred to as "The House," has been in operation at its current location for approximately 10 years. Renovated significantly around 2007, the one-story building of about 1,990 square feet provides a wide variety of after school programs for middle school teenagers.

The building appears to be in good condition and well maintained.

B13

Rock Church



The Rock Church building is a recently acquired City property adjacent to the park, across Escuela Avenue from the Avenidas Senior Day Health Center. The property is to be included in the Master Planning effort for Rengstorff Park. The building is a one-story building, approximately 8,500 square feet in size. The chapel, built around 1961, was an addition to the existing social hall that was constructed at an unknown earlier date.

In November 2008, the City hired a structural engineer to perform a limited evaluation of the building. The findings identified that the building is in good condition overall and noted a need for further evaluation of shear walls in both the social hall and sanctuary spaces. Based on a brief tour of the facility, Anderson Brulé Architects, Inc. was able to make the following observations:

- Accessibility upgrades to the facility may require a significant amount of renovation to provide accessible paths of travel throughout the building
- The restrooms serving the facility would require upgrades for accessibility as well as potentially increasing the quantities of fixtures provided. The fixture counts are low for the facility's current use. Fixture counts for the facility's potential new uses would need to be evaluated.
- The roof is in need of repair.
- No documentation was available on the mechanical and electrical systems. Anecdotal information provided by church staff indicates that both systems are functioning well.
- The future use of the building is unknown at this time. If there is a change in use of the building, the building codes would require significant upgrades to the structure to bring it into compliance with current regulations.

RECREATION ELEMENTS

R1

Skate Park



Built in 1997 as a demonstration and temporary facility, the skate park was expanded and became a permanent feature of the park in 2000. It is located in the northwest corner of the park and makes use of approximately 10,000 square feet of park area. Highly utilized, the facility appears to be in good condition with on-going maintenance needed for graffiti.

R2

Pools



Rengstorff Park has two pools that were built in 1959 and renovated in 1991 and 2006. Both pools are open in the summer months and include a 4,830 square foot lap pool with diving boards and slide and the smaller wading pool.

The pools are highly used and appear to be well maintained.

In 2002 and 2005, the City had an accessibility assessment done of its facilities. The following items are of note for the Pools:

- Accessible access to the pool is not present.
- Slip resistant paving surfaces are needed.
- The drinking fountain and telephone are not accessible.
- Signage for accessibility is lacking.

R3 Tot Lot/ Playground (at Rengstroff)



The playground located in the northwest corner of the park near Rengstorff Avenue appears to be highly used and well loved by the community. Originally built in 1991 and renovated in 2007, this area provides a wide variety of play equipment for children.

Several of the rails and play equipment pieces are showing signs of wear that may be from uses other than those intended for the equipment (i.e., skate boarding, BMX bikes, etc).

The city-wide accessibility studies conducted in 2002 and 2005 note several items not in compliancy with accessibility standards:

- Dropoffs between paving and play areas do not have detectable warnings.
- Play structures do not have transfer platforms located on an accessible path of travel.
- Accessible paths of travel are required to all play areas and should be firm, resilient safety surfaces.

R4 Outdoor Stage/Amphitheater



The outdoor stage and amphitheater, located at the rear of the Community Center, is over 45 years old. In addition to showing signs of wear, the stage structure does not have a means of disabled access unless accessed from inside the Community Center. The stage also presents a potential hazard to the visually impaired due to the cantilevered design.

R5 Tot Lot/ Playground (at Community Center)



The Community Center has a playground area directly adjacent to a space where daycare services are provided. This space is available for use by the public only after daycare hours.

Originally built in 1984 and renovated in 2008, this area has been well maintained and appears to be in good condition.

R6 Exercise Equipment



One of the mostly highly used areas of the park is the exercise area. Installed in the park in 2010, this equipment provides a variety of cardiovascular and resistance based activities for adults. The equipment is well maintained and functional.

R7 Tot Lot/ Playground (at Ranger Building)



The playground located near the Ranger Building is one of the smallest in the park. This area was renovated in 2008 and is well maintained.

R8 Fire Circle



The fire circle was park of the improvements that were completed in 1964. This area was designed to support the City's summer day camp programs and still serves this function. The area has a few weeds, but otherwise is well maintained and functional.

In 2002 and 2005, the City had an accessibility assessment done of its facilities. The following items are of note for the Fire Circle:

- Accessible seating has not be provided within the Fire Circle.
- The central dais area of the Fire Circle is not accessible.

R9 Basketball/ Volleyball Courts



Near the picnic areas off Crisanto Avenue, the park contains two courts that can be used for basketball or volleyball. These courts were installed in 1992 along with the improvements to the picnic area. Other than needing cleaning, the courts are well maintained and functional.

R10 Handball Courts



Originally constructed in 1964, the handball courts have been well maintained and updated over the years. The fence surrounding the courts was added in conjunction with the development of the Childcare Center in 2007.

R11 Tennis Courts



The tennis courts have been a part of the Park since 1964 and are a highly utilized facility that hosts tournaments in addition to being available to the public for open play. The tennis courts were re-surfaced in 1993, 1998, and 2005 with plans for another resurfacing in fiscal year 2010-2011. The City has a very robust maintenance program for tennis courts and they are kept in excellent condition.

R12 Off-Leash Dog Training Area



The off-leash dog training area is one of the more underutilized areas of the park. Originally designed for horseshoes and archery, this space is now dedicated solely for specialized instruction including service guide dog and search and rescue training. Use of the area for off-leash training requires a permit. This area is well maintained.

R13 Childcare Center Playground



Built in 2007, this playground is used primarily by the Childcare Center but is open to the public after 6:30 pm during the week and all day on weekends. Approximately 8,140 square feet in size, this playground provides a wide variety of play opportunities. The fence separating the playground from the surrounding park area is a piece of public art.

R14 Rock Church Play Structure



Near the rear of the Rock Church parking lot a play area of roughly 450 square feet has been established. This area features a single wooden play structure. Although the age of the equipment is unknown, it shows signs of considerable wear. It is unknown if this structure will remain on the site once the church vacates the property.

R15 Playground (at Picnic Area)



Tucked between the Family Picnic Area and the Group Picnic Area is the smallest play area in the park. Renovated in the early 1990s, this area has been well maintained and appears to be in good condition.

R16 Backstop



Rengstorff Park contains two baseball backstops in the open lawn area furthest from Rengstorff Avenue. Although formal organized use of the field space is not permitted at the park, these backstops are used for many informal pick-up games.

The age of the backstops is not known and, while they show some signs of aging, they are well maintained and continue to function as intended.

R17 Tot Lot (at Rock Church)



The Rock Church property includes a fenced-in Tot Lot of roughly 1,525 square feet directly adjacent to the classroom area. While most of the play equipment in the area is portable and will likely be removed when the church vacates the property, one moderately sized wooden play structure may remain. The structure appears to be relatively new and in good condition.

R18 Childcare Center Tot Lot



Built in 2007, this Tot Lot is used primarily by the Childcare Center. Approximately 1,270 square feet in size, this area provides a wide variety of play opportunities. There are a number of built-in play features along with many portable large-scale toys in this space.

It appears to be well maintained and in good condition.

R19 Picnic Tables (at Pavilion)



Adjacent to the Pavilion is a picnic table area with 8 tables with 6 seats at each table. This area was constructed in 1964 with the intention of supporting the City's summer day camp programs, a role the area still fulfills.

The tables are showing signs of their age, but are still functional and have been maintained over the years. This area is highly utilized by the City for its summer programs.

In 2002 and 2005, the City had an accessibility assessment done of its facilities. This assessment noted that the Picnic Tables at the Pavilion do not provided for accessible seating.

R20 Picnic Tables (at Fire Circle)



Adjacent to the Fire Circle is a picnic table area that has 12 tables with 4 seats at each table. This area was also constructed in 1964 to provide space for the City's summer day camp programs.

The tables are showing signs of their age, but are still functional and have been maintained over the years. This area is highly utilized by the City for its summer programs.

In 2002 and 2005, the City had an accessibility assessment done of its facilities. This assessment noted that the Picnic Tables at the Fire Circle do not provided for accessible seating.

R21

Family Picnic Area



The Family Picnic Area has 18 picnic tables and individual barbeques. From May to October, the picnic areas are available for reservation through the City's Recreation Department.

Originally built in 1963 and renovated in 1992, this is highly used area of the park that is well maintained.

In 2002 and 2005, the City had an accessibility assessment done of its facilities. This assessment noted that the Family Picnic Area do not provided for accessible seating and the barbeques are also not designed for use by the disabled.

R22

Group Picnic/Barbeque Area



The Group Picnic and Barbeque Area has five group areas that can host 50 people each for the total of 250 picnickers. From May to October, the group areas are available for reservation through the City's Recreation Department. It is also the only location in the park where alcohol is permitted.

Originally built in 1963 and renovated in 1992, this is highly used area of the park that is well maintained.

In 2002 and 2005, the City had an accessibility assessment done of its facilities. This assessment noted that the Group Picnic Area do not provided for accessible seating. This area also features a sink and barbeques that are not designed for use by the disabled.

LANDSCAPE ELEMENTS

Community Center – Parking Lot



Large Carob Trees flank the entry drive at the Community Center with ivy, Juniper, and turf as ground cover. This low-maintenance area is in need of clean up and additional mulch.





L2



Tristania trees have been recently planted along the property line to replace the old pine trees that have been removed. These trees will serve the park nicely as they mature.

L3 Not Used

L4



Pittosporum hedge is in poor condition. Severe pruning around the bottom of the plants has created a hedgerow of top-headed shrubs with no bottom foliage.



L5



Juniper hedge has been pruned into bonsai shrubs, which is an ineffective use as a hedge screen for the parking area along Rengstorff Avenue. If screening of the parking is desired at this location the juniper shrub should be replaced with a more suitable hedge shrub.

L6



Hollywood juniper trees in the parking lot end islands are not suitable parking lot trees. The dense branching blocks the parking lot lights creating unsafe conditions. These trees could be replaced with proper canopy tress to improve the conditions.

L7



Shrub planting within parking lot has some thin spots, but overall growth looks good. The addition of canopy trees to the area would provide shade for parked cars.

L8



Redwood trees are in small, confined areas in parking lot. The trees need more space to grow and appear to be struggling to survive in their current locations.

L9



A large Carob tree in the small parking lot island has its growth restricted and is struggling to survive. Expanding the island around the tree could improve its conditions and help increase the tree's longevity. No shrubs or ground cover plantings have been installed in this parking area, making it less aesthetically pleasing than adjacent areas.

L10



Mature Oak trees adjacent to sidewalk along parking lot are in good health. Two newly planted Oak trees appear to be in good health as well.





L11



Redwood trees in this area are large and mature. Located in a small planting area, the trees are healthy and thriving. Tree roots have lifted the adjacent sidewalk paving, but the patching has been done to make the sidewalk usable. The sidewalk should be monitored for future repair needs.





L12



Magnolia trees adjacent to the Community Center building have thick canopies that are showing signs of decline. These trees should be removed. Shrub hedge has become overgrown for the scale of the building. Given the age and state of overgrowth, removing the hedge and replanting with new plant material should be considered.

L13 Community Center - West



A large mature Eucalyptus tree is too close to building corner. The tree seems to be in good health however, it has outgrown the space available at this location.

L14 Community Center - Northwest



Near the entry to the Community Center, a large mature Podocarpus tree is in good health. The tree is a little close to the building however, the proximity does not appear to negatively impact the tree. Shrubs have been over maintained by shearing. Planting beds are planted sparsely and at random. To improve the visual character of the landscaping, developing a consistent shrub and ground cover theme should be considered. The planting beds are also in need of mulch cover..





L15 Not Used

L16



Olive trees at the building corner are healthy and providing a nice visual and landscape accent.

L17 Community Center - North



An evergreen pear tree and white alder tree adjacent to the play area are not in good health. The shape of the trees is inconsistent and not symmetrical. For visual consistency, replacing these trees with a more fitting native species should be considered. The Japanese Maple appears to be in good condition.





L18



Pittosporum shrubs around play area have been pruned heavily eliminating all foliage at the base of the plants. All the plants should be removed from the planting areas around play area.

L19 Ranger Building - North



Mayten trees are in good health, although planted close to the building. If the tree location presents no negative effect to the building, it should remain as is. Shrub planting has become overgrown, consider thinning out or replacing with a new planting design theme.





L20 Ranger Building - South



The pine tree grove near the Pavilion and Ranger Building is very healthy and should be retained. The Oak tree and Saucer Magnolia tree around building in good health and should be maintained.

L21 Aquatics Center – Parking Lot



The pear trees in the parking lot have outgrown the narrow planting space, although they are in fair health. Root guard/barriers for the trees are exposed and unsightly. The median is bare dirt. The trees should be replaced with a species appropriately sized for the space available.





L22



Ornamental plum trees with Indian Hawthorn shrub planting are healthy. These elements create a nice pedestrian scale buffer between the parking lot and sidewalk.

L23 Aquatics Center - North



Silk oak trees are healthy, although surface roots are showing. Consider adding a small layer of topsoil to cover roots. Shrub and ground cover planting is scattered and inconsistent. Irrigation tubing is exposed and planting beds are in need of mulch.



L24



Building foundation planting is random and scattered. To improve the visual character of the landscaping, developing a consistent shrub and ground cover theme should be considered.



L25



Birch trees in planter wells are in fair condition. Foundation planting is random and scattered. To improve the visual character of the landscaping, developing a consistent shrub and ground cover theme should be considered.

Large mature Oak trees at the edge of the parking area provide a nice a entry statement. Large expanse of mulch area at the base of the tree is adequate. Consider adding shrub and ground cover planting strategically for aesthetics.





L26

Group Picnic Area – Parking Lot



Magnolias with large Oak at entry from Crisanto Avenue are healthy, but are not a good pair. The large Oak tree should be retained and a more complimentary tree species should be found to replace the Magnolia trees. Shrub and ground cover planting could also be added to this entry.

L27



Red Oak trees are in poor health and should be removed. Small ornamental trees at entry area are visually pleasing and in good condition.



L28



The Dwarf Pear trees have been trimmed to remain below the site wall. The large trees have been topped for power lines. This perimeter planting does not serve as screening of the parking lot or adjacent properties. The area should be re-planted with appropriate screening planting material.





L29



The pine tree grove at major utility station is in declining health and the tress are not symmetrical. Shrub and ground cover planted are not adequate for screening the utilities. This planting should be replaced with appropriate screening material.



L30 Community Center – Plaza



Chinese elm allee approach to building provides a strong entry statement. There is one tree missing from the group and should be replaced to reinforce this entry statement.

L31



The magnolia trees are generally in good condition, with the exception of one tree of the group and should be replaced. The lawn has a considerable amount of dandelion growth and a herbicide should be considered to address the issue. The plaza paving has wood expansion joints that are not sustainable and will rot over time.



L32



The asphalt park path connecting to Rengstorff Avenue appears to be newly constructed or repaired park with bollards at the crosswalk entry. This are also features a new disabled ramp and crosswalk across Rengstorff Avenue.

L33

Front Yard - Planting



Magnolia trees planted along Rengstorff Ave. are healthy overall. There is one small Magnolia being crowded by a large pine and should be removed to allow the pine tree to continue to be healthy. Newly planted Redwood tree in mulch area is healthy and will grow to be a nice accent on corner. The large areas of mulch could benefit from ground cover planting.





L34

Front Yard - Play Area



Elm trees seem to be in good health even though the surface roots are exposed. Mulch rings at the base of trees to cover the exposed roots should be considered.



L35



The Children's Play Area near Regnstorff Avenue features Magnolia trees with low branching used for climbing. The soil around base of all the trees is hard-packed which means the tress are probably not getting adequate water, although they appear to be in good health



L36



Crape myrtle trees adjacent to pool fence are spectacular and appear to be thriving in the current location. Consider adding mulch to at the base for dressing.



L37



Lawn area is lush and green. Ornamental shrub plantings appear to be healthy. There are some thin areas where plants should be in-filled. Mulch should be added to bed area.





L38

Skate Park



The Redwood grove adjacent to the Skate Park is in great condition. There is a drain inlet in the middle of the group. A large mature Liquidambar in the lawn area appears healthy. The Pine tree adjacent to skate park does not have a nice uniform shape, but does provide good screening.





L39



Trees along Crisanto Avenue near the Skate Park appear to be in good health. Shrub planting beds are very thin. If screening the Skate Park is desired, additional shrubs should be planted.



L40 **Family and Group Picnic Areas**



Very large mature Eucalyptus trees throughout the area are not in the greatest condition, but provide significant shade for users. Selective pruning would likely reduce the risk of limb drop. The large expanse of mulch area is adequate for this area in lieu of shrub or

ground cover planting.



L41



The Redwood grove mixed in with Eucalyptus trees around the perimeter are in great condition. This lone Canary Island palm is tucked among the redwoods and Eucalyptus. It seems to be thriving and should be protected.



L42



Very large mature Oak tree is at the heart of the Group Picnic Area. The area around the tree should not be disturbed to ensure the survival of the Oak. Smaller oak trees in the picnic area provide some shade and there is a newly planted oak tree.



L43

Picnic Area Perimeter Fence



Shrub and ground cover on both sides of fence is generally in good condition. Ornamental trees around the perimeter are nice. There are some thin areas where shrubs have been removed. These areas should be in-filled with appropriate planting.















L44

Not Used

L45



Ornamental planting in raised planter retaining wall is a nice element for pedestrian scale. However, the planting materials have become sparse and should be in-filled. The lawn is worn and should be repaired.





L46 Picnic Area Courts



The large mature redwood tree grove in the raised turf mound is healthy and provide great buffer around the courts. Ornamental cherry trees mixed with the redwoods provide good pedestrian scale.

The turf around the rock "outcrop" at the end of the seat walls is showing wear and should be repaired or replaced with mulch.

The smaller shade trees in the lawn between the courts are a nice setting and provide an area for players to rest.









L47



The large mature oak tree being supported by posts is not safe; however, the tree appears to be in good health. Ornamental shrub planting at the base of the tree is full and lush.



L48 Pool Area - South



The large grove of mature Stone Pine trees appear to be healthy and thriving. The mulch area around base of trees provides a nice low maintenance solution. A lone queen palm in good health and adjacent to pool seems out of place. The palm could be relocated if it impacts pool maintenance.





L49



The large mature Sycamore tree grove adjacent to the pool and the Great Lawn is very healthy and thriving.



L50 Gre



The asphalt path is in good condition. When the park is at its peak use, the size of the path can be too narrow for pedestrians, strollers, and bicycles all to use the path and safety pass one another.



L51 Great Lawn



The Great Lawn is a natural turf play and exercise area that is full with lush green grass. A herbicide program should be considered to eliminate weeds throughout the lawn.







L52 Great Lawn - Perimeter



Large mature Eucalyptus trees at the edge of the Great Lawn are nice large shade providers.



L53



Large mature Sweetgum trees at Great Lawn provide nice amount of shade. Surface roots are present and adding mulch at base of tree to provide cover for the roots should be considered.





Large mature Tulip trees along the main arching sidewalk at the Great Lawn create a break between the active field area and more passive areas of the park.



L54



Large mature Green Ash trees along the perimeter provide a stately edge to the park. Although the trees structure has declined over the years, these trees provide a good buffer from neighbors and should be maintained. Young Oak and Tulip trees along the edge of the property appear healthy with the exception of two trees at the most southern corner that should be replaced.







L55 Back Yard - Fire Circle



The large mature Redwood tree grove appears to be healthy. It provides a nice perimeter for the pavilion for fire circle area. Mulch under the redwoods is acceptable for its functionality in this area.



L56 Back Yard – Picnic Area



Mature Elm trees and Eucalyptus trees are arranged informally around the tables and seats and appear to be healthy. There has been significant pruning over time and has created trees with odd shapes. These trees provide a good amount of shade for users of this area and should be maintained.



L57 Back Yard – Tennis Courts



Large Elm trees along property have been pruned significantly over the years, creating severely deformed canopies in these trees. Utility lines also conflict with the large trees in this location. The shrub hedge along the property line is full and dense and should be maintained. The vines planted along the bleachers have outgrown their planting space. If maintaining the vines is desired, enlarged planter areas should be considered.







L58 Back Yard – Off Leash Dog



Theopen lawn area for off leash dog training appears to be full and healthy. Weeds are present throughout the lawn area and a herbicide program should be considered to eliminate weeds. The mixture of large mature trees and the smaller ornamental trees along the perimeter do a good job of providing a screen and buffer between this area and the neighboring property. Shrub hedge along the tennis fence appears to be healthy and full except at the end of the row. This hedge provides a good buffer between the two spaces.





L59 Childcare Center - Path





The asphalt path is in good condition with a header board at its edge. Large mature oak trees provide a nice overhead canopy for this pedestrian connection. Newly planted Maple trees along perimeter appear to be healthy. These trees should be regularly maintained to ensure continued health. The large mulch area adjacent to the path is clean and free of weeds. Shrub hedge along play area is healthy and provides nice screen for part of the play area. Large trees in the parking area have lost most of their branches and should be removed and replaced.







L60

Childcare Center





Large mature Bamboo foliage extending through roof cutouts is very lush and healthy. Parking lot end island plantings are lush and full. Trees appear to be generally in good health. Center islands are acting as biofiltration swales. The planting is generally full and lush with some thin areas.





Planting adjacent to the building appears to be healthy and lush. Some overcrowding is present and minor maintenance should be considered to correct this issue. Fresh mulch layer in all the beds would improve the look of a clean appearance.



L61 Senior Center - Hardscape



The main entry drop-off is well maintained but appears to need a pressure wash cleaning. The asphalt paving, flush curb, truncated domes, and sidewalk are in good condition. Weeds are present in the decomposed granite areas.







The newly constructed accessible ramp and crosswalk to Rock Church site are in good condition. Parking islands in street provide a safety buffer for pedestrian crossing and parked cars.

L62 Senior Center - Landscape



Planting adjacent to the building, and various ornamental flowering and evergreen plants, seem to be full, lush and healthy. Some maintenance is needed to keep the planting clean and dead growth should be removed from the perennial plants.











Ornamental plants in raised planters appear healthy. Ornamental planting at patio spaces is healthy and providing a

L63

Teen Center



The landscaping around the Teen Center appears to be random, unorganized, scattered and thin. The visual impact of the area could be improved by in-fill planting with an existing plant species. The landscape design concept for this area should tie into the design of the adjacent Senior Center to provide a more cohesive complex. A fresh mulch layer in all the beds would improve the look and portray a clean appearance.





L64

Rock Church





The dense juniper hedge around the front of the building is sheared to 3'-0" high. The appearance of the thick tall juniper is out of scale for the building. Visibility to and from this building is poor due to the dense planting. The front door is not easy to find and the overgrown junipers should be removed to allow for improved site lines to the building. Dense Bottle Brush hedge at building foundation should be removed to improve accessibility to the building.





Dense Photina hedge along perimeter fence provides good screening to adjacent properties. The three Italian cypress appear out of place, but are healthy. Agapanthus along drive is a nice accent. A mulch layer along the south side of the building should be considered to cover exposed soil.





L65



The medium multi-trunk tree along property line fence appears to be healthy. It assists in providing a good screen from the neighboring property. Ivy growing on wooden fence appears to be coming over the fence from the adjacent property.



L66



Two large mature oak trees in the southeast corner of the property should be protected and maintained. The dead tree in northeast corner of the property should be removed.



SITE FURNISHING ELEMENTS

F1

Site Light



This is the primary light fixture type for the majority of the park. The fixtures appear to be in good condition and functioning properly. Some fixtures are in need of cleaning.

Given the number of site lights in the park. These elements are shown on the site plans with the following symbol:



F2.A Bench Type A



Bench Type A is a wood slat bench with metal support structure approximately 6 feet long. The painted wood slats are in relatively good condition but in some cases require repainting.

F2.B Bench Type B



Bench Type B consists of a painted wood bench with wood back supported by concrete and steel structure. These benches appear to be older and are still functioning well but in some cases need refinishing.

F2.C Bei



Bench Type C is a lightweight powder coated metal bench bolted to the paving surface. These benches appear to be a more recent addition to the park and are in good condition.

F2.D Bench Type D



Similar in many aspects to bench type C, this bench is a powder coated metal bench but does not have a back.

F2.E Bench Type E



Bench Type E is a free standing all wood bench with a natural wood finish. The benches are in good condition, but due to the natural wood finish, these benches are better suited for areas sheltered from the weather and direct sun.

F3 Picnic Tables (at Tot Lot)



These picnic tables serve as a small gathering and eating area for the families utilizing the Tot Lot/ Playground near Rengstorff Avenue. The tables and seats are steel structure, cast in place with concrete table tops and seating surfaces. The tables and seats are in good condition.

F4 Seating (at Trees)



The seating area around the trees is a triangular shaped, painted, wood framed structure that is in good condition.

F5 Drinking Fountain (at Tot Lot)



The drinking fountain at the tot lot is functioning but appears to be leaking and is in need of repair. This fountain also does not appear to meet accessibility requirements.

F6 Spigot (at Tot Lot)



The hose bib water spigot at the tot lot appears to not be working properly. The purpose of this spigot is unknown.

F7 Flagpole (at Community Center)



The flagpole near the Community Center is located on a small slab within a larger lawn area, making it inaccessible for the disabled. Visibility of the flagpole is limited due to the surrounding tall trees.

F8 Drinking Fountain



This type of drinking fountain is not accessible for the disabled. They may be original to the park from the early 1960's and appear to be showing their age and wear.

F9.A Trashcan Type A



This type of trashcan appears to be an accessible, animal resistance trash container. They also appear to be recent additions to the park given their

F9.B Trashcan Type B



Trashcan type B is a decorative metal can that appears to be relatively new and well maintained. This type of can makes up the majority of the park's trashcans along with trashcan type F9.C.

F9.C Trashcan Type C



Trashcan type C may be original to the park from the early 1960's and appear to be showing their age and wear. This element consists of a concrete base and plastic top.

F9.D Trashcan Type D



Similar in appearance to trashcan type B, type D is a decorative metal trashcan. This trashcan type shows wear and is likely older than many of the trash receptacles used on site.

F9.E Trashcan Type E



The rubber trash containers were only observed near the Tennis Courts. The use of these containers as different from the adjacent trashcan was not discernable.

F10 Drinking Fountain



The park features several wall-mounted drinking fountains. Further investigation is needed to determine if all locations meet accessibility requirements.

F11.A Bicycle Rack Type A



Bicycle rack type A can hold approximately 30 bicycles. Although not bolted in place, the rack appears to be well maintained.

F11.B Bicycle Rack Type B



Bicycle rack type B is located near the new Senior Center. Each 'U' in the rack assembly can accommodate secure parking for up to four bicycles. These racks are new and well maintained.

F12 Not Used

F13 Not Used

F14 Flagpole (at Senior Center)



The flagpole at the Senior Center is located directly off the entry drive and drop-off area. It is highly visible and well maintained.

F15 | Seating (at Retaining Wall)



Constructed during the renovation of the Barbeque Area in 1992, this seating element shows considerable signs of wear possibly from unintended uses (i.e. skateboarding, BMX biking, etc.)

F16 Not Used

F17 Not Used

F18 Bleachers



There are two free standing bleach structures near the tennis courts. Both appear to the in good condition and well maintained.

F19 Storage Units (at Rock Church)



The Rock Church parking lot holds two portable storage sheds. Although the age of the sheds is unknown, one has a tarp over its roof, which may mean there are issues with leaks. It is unknown if these structures will remain on the site once the church vacates the property.

F20 Picnic Table (at Teen Center)



The picnic tables at the exterior of the Teen Center are showing signs of age and wear, but appear to be functional. None of the picnic tables allow for disabled access.

F21 Ash Tray



The exterior areas near the Senior Center feature concrete ask trays. These are new site accessories and appear to be well maintained and functional.

F23 Parking Lot Lighting (at Senior Center)



Given the number of site lights in the park. These elements are shown on the site plans with the following symbol:



F24 Tennis Court Lighting



F25 Street Light



The park features several street lights along Rengstorff and Crisanto Avenues.

F26 Parking Lot Lighting (at Aquatics Center)



F27 Flood Lights



F28 **Dumpster**



F29 Drinking Fountain (at Family Picnic Area)



The drinking fountain in the Family Picnic Area appears to be accessible.

F30 Phone



Rengstorff Park features one public telephone located near the Community Center entrance. The phone appears to be accessible and functional.

F31 Bus Stop with bench



Rengstorff Avenue has one Valley Transit Authority (VTA) bus stop direct in front of the park. This stop serves the route 40 southbound, La Avenida and Indigo to Foothill College.

Rengstorff Park Inventory

Inventory: Site Furnishing Elements

SIGNAGE ELEMENTS

Rengstorff Park contains over 50 different signs which the signage elements category documents. In general, the signage throughout the park is well maintained and easily legible. It appears that additional signs have been added to the park over time, as they were needed. This has lead to a very wide range of sign types and graphics that influence wayfinding within the park.

In general, the signs have been very well maintained. A few signs near the entrance to the Senior Center were observed to be defaced with minimal graffiti.

S1 Rengstorff Park Sign



S2.A Park Visitor Information Sign
Type A



S2.B Park Visitor Information Sign Type B



S3 Rengstorff Park Sign



S4 No Alcohol Sign



S5 Slide Sign



S6 Community Center Sign (at Building)



S8 Pedestrian Crosswalk



S10 Community Center Sign



S7 Community Center Sign (at Rengstorff)



S9 De Anza Expedition Sign



S11 Crosswalk Ahead Sign



\$12 Parking Lot Noise



\$13 | Handicap Access



\$14 Employee Entrance



S15 Dog Waste



\$16 Do Not Feed Wildlife



S17 Access Hours



S18 No Alcohol (English and Spanish)



S19 No Barbeques



S20 Dogs on Leash



S21 Parking for Recreation Center



S22 Employees Only



\$23 Fitness Center Rules



S24 **Dog Training Area** S25 **Teen Center** PERMIT REQUIRED M.V.R.D. S26 Senior Center (at Escuela) S27 **Senior Center Entry** SENIOR CENTER S28 **Basketball Court Usage** S29 **Alcohol Limits** ONE HOUR No Alcohol beyond this point ebidas Alcoholicas prohibido \$30 S31 Reservations **Alcohol Consumption City Code**

S32 Group Picnic Area Rules



S33 No Dumping



S34 Tennis Courts Maintenance



S35 Tennis Court Information



S36 Neighborhood Watch



S37 Tennis Players



\$38 Tennis Court Cleaning



S39 Tennis Flyers



S40 Soccer Prohibited



S41 Seaso



S42 Tennis Play Board



\$43



S45 Public Access



S46



S47 S



\$48

Overnight Parking



\$49

Accessible Entry (at Teen Center)



\$50

Accessible Parking



S51

Vehicular Circulation



S52

Skate Park



S53 Skate Park Rules



S55 Skate Park Hours



\$54 Skate Park Safety

ANY PERSON USING THIS FACILITY
MUST WEAR A HELMET, ELBOW
PADS AND KNEE PADS. ANY
PERSON FAILING TO DO SO WILE
BE SUBJECT TO CITATION FOR
VIOLATING MOUNTAIN VIEW CITY
CODE SECTION 38.13.1

MISCELLANEOUS ELEMENTS

Rengstorff Park has many items that support the functionality of the park and its facilities, but are not seen as key elements in the park, these items have been grouped here as miscellaneous elements. A large portion of the elements in this category relate to the utilities serving the park. The park inventory effort did not include verifying the functionality or operability of utility structures on site.

In general, the items listed below appear to be in well maintained and in working order.

M1

Fire Utilities



M2

Water Back Flow Preventer



M3

Fire Hydrant



M4

Not Used

M5

Hetch Hetchy Vents



M6



M7.A Gate to Adjacent Residential Developments
Type A (at Rengstorff Park)



M8 Water Connection



M10 Water Vault



M7.B Gate to Adjacent Residential Developments
Type B (at Rock Church)



M9 Not Used

Mll Electrical Equipment (at Dog



M12 Electrical Equipment (at Tennis Grandstand)



M14 Electrical Equipment (at Senior Center)



M16 Electrical Service (at Rock Church)



M13 | Electrical Equipment (at Teen Center)



M15 Gas Meter (at Rock Church)



Vehicular Gate (at Rock Church)



M18 Electrical Equipment (at Basketball Courts)



M20 Water Connection (at Aquatics Building)



M22 Electrical Equipment (at Train Tracks)



M19 | Electrical Equipment



M21 Gas Meter (at Aquatics Building)



M23 | Power Pole



Tree Inventory Report City of Mountain View Rengstorff Park, Childcare Center, Senior Center and Rock Church Mountain View, CA

Prepared by: Concentric Ecologies 187 Laurel Grove Lane San Jose, CA 95126

October 2010

Tree Inventory Report City of Mountain View Rengstorff Park, Childcare Center, Senior Center and Rock Church Mountain View, CA

Table of Contents

Introduction and Overview	1
Survey Methods	2
Description of Trees and Suitability for Preservation	5

List of Tables

Complete Inventory

Hazard Report

Count by Species

Health by Species Report

Species & Health Cross Tab Report

Heritage Tree Report

Attachments

Tree Survey Aerial Overview

Photos of Ordinance Sized Trees

Introduction and Overview

Anderson Brule Architects, Inc., has contracted with Concentric Ecologies to develop a Tree Inventory Report for review by the City of Mountain View, California. The site will be referred to as:

Rengstorff Park, Childcare Center, Senior Center and Rock Church.

The report includes the following information:

- An evaluation of the health of the trees from a ground level, visual inspection.
- Overhead maps showing tree locations.

The inspection was done at ground level and no biological tests were performed.

Methods

The inventory includes the diameter at 54 inches above grade, the height, overall health/vigor, and the potential hazards the trees may pose to structures and pedestrians. The inspection was done visually and no biological tests were performed. The survey followed the following steps:

- 1. Tree Identification, as per species and variety, where able.
- 2. Measuring the diameter of each tree at 54 inches above grade.
- 3. Evaluating the overall heath of each individual tree using a 1 thought 5 rating system whereas;
 - 1. = Poor Health
 - 2. = Fair Health
 - 3. = Average
 - 4. = Good Health
 - 5. = Excellent Health

4. Rated the suitability for preservation whereas:

Good = Trees with good or excellent health and good or excellent structure.

Moderate = Trees that have a average or fair health and average or fair structure

Poor = Trees that, either because of poor health or poor structure, are not good candidates for survival. This category may include species that are unsuitable for landscapes.

Tree preservation considers several different factors.

- Overall tree health is the main consideration-
- Species life span or longevity if a tree is near the end of its useful life it may not be a good candidate for preservation.
- Structure Often overlooked, improper structure can limit a trees lifespan and therefore lower the trees overall suitability for preservation.
- Individual tree responses Some trees are more tolerant of disturbance; while others are not.

Description of Comments (as seen in the Complete Inventory Report)	Description
Comment Code	
AP	Aphids – Tree exhibits signs of infestation by this sucking insect.
ВВ	Bark Beetles- tree exhibits signs consistent with this boring insect.
BF	Previous Branch Failure – Trees that have previously had branch failures are likely to have additional branch failures.
СВ	Crossed Branches – Crossed branches can cause injury and predispose a limb for failure
CD	Co-dominant stems – Two or more main stems (or "leaders") that are about the same diameter and emerge from the same location
DE	Decay – The tree displays significant decay that my undermine the structural integrity of the plant.
GR	Girdling Root(s) =Root have grown around the main stem of the tree and are cutting off and/or restricting the movement of water, plant nutrients and stored food reserves.
HZ	Hazardous conditions exist/may exist in the short term for this tree. This tree poses a better than average chance for branch/trunk failure.
IC	Inspect Cables- The tree contains cables that should be inspected annually.
II	Insect infestation- The tree exhibits signs of Insect infestation.
LN	Leaning- The tree exhibits a lean that is dangerous and can lead to failure(toppling).

LT	Loins Tailing- a form of over-pruning; leaves too much weight at the end of the branch.
MN	Monitor – These trees should be inspected more often than average, at a minimum semi-annually. These trees have condition(s) that have a greater than average chance of branch or trunk failure due to species characteristics, structural flaws or both.
MS	Multi-Stemmed - branches are generally too narrow, and as the tightly-crowded branches grow in girth, the tree begins to push itself apart.
NP	Neutral Plane Crack = compression and tension stresses in a leaning tree or elongated branch;.Cracks and damage along the neutral plane between compressive zones
PI	Poor Irrigation- Moisture Deficient.
RC	Root Collar – Tree has it's root collar buried; significant issues may arise from this abiotic condition.
RM	Remove; Arborist recommends removal of this tree due to higher than average risk of failure.
SC	Stress Cracks- Cracks running vertically along the trunk of the tree; usually a result of great movement as a result of poor pruning habits.
TC	Tightly crowned branches - angle of the tree's branches are generally too narrow.
TP	Topped- Topping is the indiscriminate cutting of tree branches to stubs or lateral branches that are not large enough to assume the terminal role

Description of Trees

The inspection was conducted during September and October of 2010. 773 trees were inspected. The survey includes all trees and large shrubs that are at least 6 feet tall.

The assumption is that all trees, given the right set of circumstances, will fail. The purpose of this report is to point out trees that pose a greater than average risk of failure. The survey was done from ground level and no biological tests were performed. Significant flaws in trees, which are not visible to the naked eye, may not be included in this report.

Hazardous Trees; these trees require immediate/regular inspections:

Tag #896 a Coast Live Oak has a long branch that is overhanging a walkway by the pool gate. This branch exhibits a neutral plane crack which is the result of too much weight on the end. The recommendation would be to significantly reduce the weight on the end of the branch or remove it completely.

Tag # 901 is a Stone Pine that has had previous branch failures, the recommendation is for removal.

Tag # 932 is a Sweet Gum that has co-dominant stems with bark included, branch failure is imminent. The recommendation is for subordination of one of the leaders.

Tag # 939 is a Southern Magnolia that is growing under a pine tree and is leaning toward Rengstorff Ave., the recommendation is for removal.

Tags # 981, 982, 990, 991, 999, 1000, 1001, 1423, 1423, 1425, 1426, 1427, 1428, 1429;

These big Eucalyptuses have tightly crowded branches/crowns, species characteristics are of brittle wood and tend to shed entire branches with little or no warning, they represent a greater than average risk of branch failure. The recommendation is to monitor these trees semi-annually and keep the weight off the ends using proper pruning methods that result in proper branch taper. These trees are also infested with Tortoise Beetles which can cause the trees stress and negatively effect overall tree vigor.

Tag #1478 – Dead Black Walnut- The recommendation is for removal.

Tag # 1614 Completely Dead California Pepper tree, this tree is next to a children's play area, remove immediately.

Tag # 1397 is a imminent branch failure risk. The recommendation is for removal of the cracked limb.

Trees to be monitored:

In addition to the above Hazardous Trees section above, the following trees should be closely watched as they may develop hazardous conditions.

Tags # 1045, 1049,1055,1058,1060,1132,1133,1138, 1150 Silk Oaks that have been topped. These trees are brittle and topping makes them more likely to shed branches. The recommendation is to inspect annually and remove weak or poorly attached branches (starting October 2010).

All Monterey Pines; if they are not yet infested with red Turpentine Beetles, they soon will be. Recommendation is to monitor these plants closely and remove the tree once the crown begins to brown. Recommendation is to keeping the Monterey Pines well irrigated as the beetles tend to attack weak or stressed trees; monitor trees closely for change.

The remaining trees are listed in the reports below with their comments, when applicable, in the 'Complete Inventory Report' below.

Report Description: Complete Inventory 11/22/2010

<u>Tag</u>	<u>Diameter</u>	Common Name	<u>Health</u>	<u>Preservation</u>	Comments *	<u>Picture</u>	<u>Location</u>
859	23	Southern Magnolia	Average Health	Moderate Suitability	CD	17	Rengstorff Park
860	30	Southern Magnolia	Average Health	Moderate Suitability	MS	155	Rengstorff Park
861	13	Southern Magnolia	Average Health	Moderate Suitability			Rengstorff Park
862	16	Southern Magnolia	Average Health	Moderate Suitability			Rengstorff Park
863	22	Southern Magnolia	Average Health	Moderate Suitability		156	Rengstorff Park
864	15	Southern Magnolia	Average Health	Moderate Suitability			Rengstorff Park
865	16	Southern Magnolia	Average Health	Moderate Suitability			Rengstorff Park
866	15	Southern Magnolia	Average Health	Moderate Suitability			Rengstorff Park
867	11	Southern Magnolia	Average Health	Moderate Suitability			Rengstorff Park
868	10	Southern Magnolia	Average Health	Moderate Suitability			Rengstorff Park
869	12	Southern Magnolia	Average Health	Moderate Suitability			Rengstorff Park
870	16	Tristainia	Average Health	Moderate Suitability			Rengstorff Park
871	15	Bradford Pear	Average Health	Moderate Suitability	TC		Rengstorff Park
872	2	Bradford Pear	Average Health	Moderate Suitability	TC		Rengstorff Park
873	12	Bradford Pear	Average Health	Moderate Suitability	TC		Rengstorff Park
874	13	Bradford Pear	Average Health	Moderate Suitability	TC		Rengstorff Park
875	14	Bradford Pear	Average Health	Moderate Suitability	TC		Rengstorff Park
876	2	Bradford Pear	Average Health	Moderate Suitability	TC		Rengstorff Park
877	11	Bradford Pear	Average Health	Moderate Suitability	LN,TC		Rengstorff Park
878	11	Bradford Pear	Average Health	Moderate Suitability	LN,TC		Rengstorff Park
879	14	Bradford Pear	Average Health	Moderate Suitability	TC		Rengstorff Park
880	11	Bradford Pear	Average Health	Moderate Suitability	TC		Rengstorff Park
881	21	Bradford Pear	Average Health	Moderate Suitability	TC	157	Rengstorff Park
882	13	Bradford Pear	Average Health	Moderate Suitability	TC		Rengstorff Park
883	11	Chinese Tallow	Average Health	Moderate Suitability			Rengstorff Park
884	9	Chinese Tallow	Average Health	Moderate Suitability			Rengstorff Park
885	9	Chinese Tallow	Average Health	Moderate Suitability			Rengstorff Park
886	9	Chinese Tallow	Average Health	Moderate Suitability			Rengstorff Park
887	4	Saucer Magnolia	Average Health	Moderate Suitability			Rengstorff Park
888	4	Saucer Magnolia	Average Health	Moderate Suitability			Rengstorff Park
889	4	Saucer Magnolia	Average Health	Moderate Suitability			Rengstorff Park
890	4	Saucer Magnolia	Average Health	Moderate Suitability			Rengstorff Park

^{*} Comments: AP= Aphids, BB= Bark Beetles, BC= Buried Root Collar, BF= Previous Branch Failure, CB= Crossed Branches, CD= Co-Dominant Stems, DE= Decay, GR= Girdling Root(s), HZ= Hazardous, IC= Inspect Cables, II= Insect Infestation, LN= Leaning, LT= Lions Tailed, MN = Monitor, MS=Multi-Stemed, NP= Neutral Plane Crack, PI= Poor Irrigation, RC= Root Collar, RM= Remove, SC= Stress Cracks, TC= Tightly-Crowded Branches, TP= Topped

<u>Tag</u>	<u>Diameter</u>	Common Name	<u>Health</u>	<u>Preservation</u>	Comments *	<u>Picture</u>	<u>Location</u>
891	5	Birch	Average Health	Moderate Suitability	AP		Rengstorff Park
892	8	Birch	Average Health	Moderate Suitability	AP		Rengstorff Park
893	5	Birch	Average Health	Moderate Suitability	AP		Rengstorff Park
894	9	Birch	Average Health	Moderate Suitability	AP		Rengstorff Park
895	3	Birch	Average Health	Moderate Suitability	AP		Rengstorff Park
896	36	Coast Live Oak	Good Health	Good Suitability	NP,HZ	158	Rengstorff Park
897	17	Queen Palm	Average Health	Moderate Suitability			Rengstorff Park
898	48	Coast Live Oak	Good Health	Good Suitability	BC,BB	159	Rengstorff Park
899	37	Stone Pine	Average Health	Moderate Suitability	LN	160	Rengstorff Park
900	28	Coast Live Oak	Good Health	Good Suitability	ВС	163	Rengstorff Park
901	43	Stone Pine	Average Health	Moderate Suitability	RM,BF,HZ	161, 162	Rengstorff Park
902	29	Stone Pine	Fair Health	Poor Suitability	LN	164	Rengstorff Park
903	27	Olive	Fair Health	Moderate Suitability	DE	165	Rengstorff Park
904	25	Olive	Fair Health	Moderate Suitability	DE	166	Rengstorff Park
905	24	Olive	Fair Health	Moderate Suitability	DE	167	Rengstorff Park
906	3	Olive	Average Health	Moderate Suitability			Rengstorff Park
907	4	Olive	Average Health	Moderate Suitability			Rengstorff Park
908	22	Sycamore	Good Health	Moderate Suitability	LT	168	Rengstorff Park
909	26	Sycamore	Good Health	Moderate Suitability	LT	168	Rengstorff Park
910	32	Sycamore	Good Health	Moderate Suitability	LT	168	Rengstorff Park
911	21	Sycamore	Average Health	Moderate Suitability	LT	169	Rengstorff Park
912	14	Southern Magnolia	Average Health	Moderate Suitability	PI		Rengstorff Park
913	16	Southern Magnolia	Average Health	Moderate Suitability	PI		Rengstorff Park
914	7	Laurel	Average Health	Moderate Suitability			Rengstorff Park
915	7	Crape Myrtle	Average Health	Moderate Suitability			Rengstorff Park
916	7	Crape Myrtle	Average Health	Moderate Suitability			Rengstorff Park
917	6	Crape Myrtle	Average Health	Moderate Suitability			Rengstorff Park
918	15	Oak	Average Health	Moderate Suitability			Rengstorff Park
919	10	Hackberry	Average Health	Moderate Suitability			Rengstorff Park
920	1	Crape Myrtle	Average Health	Moderate Suitability			Rengstorff Park
921	10	Oak	Average Health	Moderate Suitability			Rengstorff Park
922	30	Redwood	Average Health	Good Suitability		170	Rengstorff Park
923	27	Redwood	Average Health	Good Suitability		170	Rengstorff Park
924	30	Redwood	Average Health	Good Suitability		170	Rengstorff Park
925	12	Oak	Average Health	Moderate Suitability	СВ		Rengstorff Park
926	22	Sweet Gum	Average Health	Moderate Suitability		171	Rengstorff Park
927	22	Monterey Pine	Average Health	Moderate Suitability	ВВ	172	Rengstorff Park

^{*} Comments: AP= Aphids, BB= Bark Beetles, BC= Buried Root Collar, BF= Previous Branch Failure, CB= Crossed Branches, CD= Co-Dominant Stems, DE= Decay, GR= Girdling Root(s), HZ= Hazardous, IC= Inspect Cables, II= Insect Infestation, LN= Leaning, LT= Lions Tailed, MN = Monitor, MS=Multi-Stemed, NP= Neutral Plane Crack, PI= Poor Irrigation, RC= Root Collar, RM= Remove, SC= Stress Cracks, TC= Tightly-Crowded Branches, TP= Topped

<u>Tag</u>	<u>Diameter</u>	Common Name	<u>Health</u>	<u>Preservation</u>	Comments *	<u>Picture</u>	<u>Location</u>
928	27	Monterey Pine	Fair Health	Poor Suitability	BB, BC	173	Rengstorff Park
929	24	Monterey Pine	Average Health	Moderate Suitability	ВС	174	Rengstorff Park
930	22	Southern Magnolia	Average Health	Moderate Suitability		175	Rengstorff Park
931	29	Southern Magnolia	Average Health	Moderate Suitability		176	Rengstorff Park
932	16	Sweet Gum	Average Health	Moderate Suitability	CD,HZ		Rengstorff Park
933	17	Southern Magnolia	Average Health	Moderate Suitability			Rengstorff Park
934	26	Redwood	Average Health	Good Suitability		177, 178	Rengstorff Park
935	27	Redwood	Average Health	Good Suitability		177, 178	Rengstorff Park
936	27	Redwood	Average Health	Good Suitability		177, 178	Rengstorff Park
937	42	Pine	Fair Health	Poor Suitability	RM	179	Rengstorff Park
938	38	Pine	Average Health	Good Suitability		180	Rengstorff Park
939	16	Southern Magnolia	Average Health	Moderate Suitability	LN,HZ		Rengstorff Park
940	27	Southern Magnolia	Average Health	Moderate Suitability		181	Rengstorff Park
941	23	Southern Magnolia	Average Health	Moderate Suitability		182	Rengstorff Park
942	20	Redwood	Average Health	Good Suitability		183	Rengstorff Park
943	20	Redwood	Average Health	Good Suitability		184	Rengstorff Park
944	22	Redwood	Average Health	Good Suitability		184	Rengstorff Park
945	22	Redwood	Average Health	Good Suitability		184	Rengstorff Park
946	9	Hackberry	Average Health	Moderate Suitability			Rengstorff Park
947	11	Hackberry	Average Health	Moderate Suitability			Rengstorff Park
948	13	Hackberry	Average Health	Moderate Suitability			Rengstorff Park
949	11	Hackberry	Average Health	Moderate Suitability			Rengstorff Park
950	12	Hackberry	Average Health	Moderate Suitability			Rengstorff Park
951	11	Hackberry	Average Health	Moderate Suitability			Rengstorff Park
952	12	Hackberry	Average Health	Moderate Suitability			Rengstorff Park
953	12	Hackberry	Average Health	Moderate Suitability			Rengstorff Park
954	25	Southern Magnolia	Average Health	Moderate Suitability		185	Rengstorff Park
955	15	Hackberry	Average Health	Moderate Suitability			Rengstorff Park
956	10	Hackberry	Average Health	Moderate Suitability			Rengstorff Park
957	9	Hackberry	Average Health	Moderate Suitability			Rengstorff Park
958	24	Sycamore	Good Health	Good Suitability	LT	186	Rengstorff Park
959	24	Sycamore	Good Health	Good Suitability	LT	187	Rengstorff Park
960	4	Crabapple	Average Health	Moderate Suitability			Rengstorff Park
961	4	Crabapple	Average Health	Moderate Suitability			Rengstorff Park
962	4	Crabapple	Average Health	Moderate Suitability			Rengstorff Park
963	4	Crabapple	Average Health	Moderate Suitability			Rengstorff Park
964	3	Crabapple	Average Health	Moderate Suitability			Rengstorff Park

^{*} Comments: AP= Aphids, BB= Bark Beetles, BC= Buried Root Collar, BF= Previous Branch Failure, CB= Crossed Branches, CD= Co-Dominant Stems, DE= Decay, GR= Girdling Root(s), HZ= Hazardous, IC= Inspect Cables, II= Insect Infestation, LN= Leaning, LT= Lions Tailed, MN = Monitor, MS=Multi-Stemed, NP= Neutral Plane Crack, PI= Poor Irrigation, RC= Root Collar, RM= Remove, SC= Stress Cracks, TC= Tightly-Crowded Branches, TP= Topped

<u>Tag</u>	<u>Diameter</u>	Common Name	<u>Health</u>	<u>Preservation</u>	Comments *	<u>Picture</u>	<u>Location</u>
965	4	Crabapple	Average Health	Moderate Suitability			Rengstorff Park
966	3	Crabapple	Average Health	Moderate Suitability			Rengstorff Park
967	4	Crabapple	Average Health	Moderate Suitability			Rengstorff Park
968	3	Crabapple	Average Health	Moderate Suitability			Rengstorff Park
969	4	Crabapple	Average Health	Moderate Suitability			Rengstorff Park
970	16	Redwood	Average Health	Good Suitability			Rengstorff Park
971	16	Redwood	Average Health	Good Suitability			Rengstorff Park
972	14	Redwood	Average Health	Good Suitability			Rengstorff Park
973	16	Redwood	Average Health	Good Suitability			Rengstorff Park
974	13	Redwood	Average Health	Good Suitability			Rengstorff Park
975	13	Redwood	Average Health	Good Suitability			Rengstorff Park
976	13	Redwood	Average Health	Good Suitability			Rengstorff Park
977	13	Redwood	Average Health	Good Suitability			Rengstorff Park
978	14	Redwood	Average Health	Good Suitability			Rengstorff Park
979	11	Redwood	Average Health	Good Suitability			Rengstorff Park
980	10	Redwood	Average Health	Good Suitability			Rengstorff Park
981	37	River Red Gum	Average Health	Moderate Suitability	II,SC,HZ,LT,MN	188	Rengstorff Park
982	53	River Red Gum	Average Health	Moderate Suitability	II,SC,HZ,LT,MN	188	Rengstorff Park
983	7	Coast Live Oak	Fair Health	Good Suitability	PI		Rengstorff Park
984	6	Coast Live Oak	Fair Health	Good Suitability	PI		Rengstorff Park
985	6	Coast Live Oak	Average Health	Good Suitability	PI		Rengstorff Park
986	6	Coast Live Oak	Fair Health	Good Suitability	PI		Rengstorff Park
987	8	Coast Live Oak	Average Health	Good Suitability	PI		Rengstorff Park
988	16	Oak	Good Health	Good Suitability	CD		Rengstorff Park
989	31	Date Palm	Average Health	Good Suitability		189,190	Rengstorff Park
990	56	Eucalyptus	Average Health	Moderate Suitability	II,SC,HZ,LT,MN	191	Rengstorff Park
991	70	Eucalyptus	Average Health	Moderate Suitability	II,SC,HZ,LT,MN	191	Rengstorff Park
992	36	Eucalyptus	Average Health	Moderate Suitability	II	192	Rengstorff Park
993	6	Chinese Tallow	Average Health	Moderate Suitability			Rengstorff Park
994	10	Chinese Tallow	Average Health	Moderate Suitability			Rengstorff Park
995	9	Chinese Tallow	Average Health	Moderate Suitability			Rengstorff Park
996	6	Chinese Tallow	Average Health	Moderate Suitability			Rengstorff Park
997	45	Valley Oak	Good Health	Good Suitability	LN,BC	193	Rengstorff Park
998	13	Chinese Tallow	Average Health	Moderate Suitability			Rengstorff Park
999	53	River Red Gum	Average Health	Moderate Suitability	II,SC,HZ,LT,MN	194	Rengstorff Park
1000	56	River Red Gum	Average Health	Moderate Suitability	II,SC,HZ,LT,MN	194	Rengstorff Park
1001	51	River Red Gum	Average Health	Moderate Suitability	II,SC,HZ,LT,MN	195	Rengstorff Park

^{*} Comments: AP= Aphids, BB= Bark Beetles, BC= Buried Root Collar, BF= Previous Branch Failure, CB= Crossed Branches, CD= Co-Dominant Stems, DE= Decay, GR= Girdling Root(s), HZ= Hazardous, IC= Inspect Cables, II= Insect Infestation, LN= Leaning, LT= Lions Tailed, MN = Monitor, MS=Multi-Stemed, NP= Neutral Plane Crack, PI= Poor Irrigation, RC= Root Collar, RM= Remove, SC= Stress Cracks, TC= Tightly-Crowded Branches, TP= Topped

<u>Tag</u>	<u>Diameter</u>	Common Name	<u>Health</u>	<u>Preservation</u>	Comments *	<u>Picture</u>	<u>Location</u>
1002	4	Arbutus	Average Health	Moderate Suitability			Rengstorff Park
1003	4	Arbutus	Average Health	Moderate Suitability			Rengstorff Park
1004	5	Arbutus	Average Health	Moderate Suitability			Rengstorff Park
1005	47	Coast Live Oak	Good Health	Good Suitability	ВВ	196	Rengstorff Park
1006	8	Crabapple	Average Health	Moderate Suitability			Rengstorff Park
1007	5	Crabapple	Average Health	Moderate Suitability	DE		Rengstorff Park
1008	33	Coast Live Oak	Good Health	Good Suitability		197	Rengstorff Park
1009	45	Coast Live Oak	Good Health	Good Suitability		198	Rengstorff Park
1010	8	Sweet Gum	Average Health	Moderate Suitability			Rengstorff Park
1011	54	Valley Oak	Average Health	Good Suitability	DE,BF	199	Rengstorff Park
1012	22	Privet	Fair Health	Moderate Suitability		200	Rengstorff Park
1013	39	Coast Live Oak	Good Health	Good Suitability	BC, BB	154	Rengstorff Park
1014	8	Southern Magnolia	Fair Health	Moderate Suitability			Rengstorff Park
1015	17	Southern Magnolia	Fair Health	Moderate Suitability			Rengstorff Park
1016	11	Southern Magnolia	Average Health	Moderate Suitability			Rengstorff Park
1017	9	Southern Magnolia	Fair Health	Moderate Suitability			Rengstorff Park
1018	25	Tristainia	Fair Health	Moderate Suitability	DE	153	Rengstorff Park
1019	2	Prunus	Fair Health	Poor Suitability			Rengstorff Park
1020	4	Prunus	Fair Health	Poor Suitability	DE		Rengstorff Park
1021	2	Prunus	Fair Health	Poor Suitability			Rengstorff Park
1022	4	Prunus	Fair Health	Poor Suitability			Rengstorff Park
1023	2	Prunus	Fair Health	Poor Suitability			Rengstorff Park
1024	6	Prunus	Fair Health	Poor Suitability			Rengstorff Park
1025	19	Silk Oak	Fair Health	Moderate Suitability	TP	116	Rengstorff Park
1026	4	Prunus	Average Health	Poor Suitability			Rengstorff Park
1027	4	Prunus	Fair Health	Poor Suitability	DE		Rengstorff Park
1028	2	Prunus	Average Health	Moderate Suitability			Rengstorff Park
1029	5	Prunus	Average Health	Moderate Suitability			Rengstorff Park
1030	2	Prunus	Average Health	Moderate Suitability			Rengstorff Park
1031	5	Prunus	Average Health	Moderate Suitability			Rengstorff Park
1032	2	Prunus	Average Health	Poor Suitability			Rengstorff Park
1033	21	Silk Oak	Fair Health	Moderate Suitability	TP	116	Rengstorff Park
1034	30	Silk Oak	Fair Health	Moderate Suitability	TP	116	Rengstorff Park
1035	4	Prunus	Fair Health	Poor Suitability	DE		Rengstorff Park
1036	5	Prunus	Average Health	Moderate Suitability			Rengstorff Park
1037	4	Prunus	Fair Health	Poor Suitability	DE		Rengstorff Park
1038	2	Prunus	Average Health	Moderate Suitability			Rengstorff Park

^{*} Comments: AP= Aphids, BB= Bark Beetles, BC= Buried Root Collar, BF= Previous Branch Failure, CB= Crossed Branches, CD= Co-Dominant Stems, DE= Decay, GR= Girdling Root(s), HZ= Hazardous, IC= Inspect Cables, II= Insect Infestation, LN= Leaning, LT= Lions Tailed, MN = Monitor, MS=Multi-Stemed, NP= Neutral Plane Crack, PI= Poor Irrigation, RC= Root Collar, RM= Remove, SC= Stress Cracks, TC= Tightly-Crowded Branches, TP= Topped

<u>Tag</u>	<u>Diameter</u>	Common Name	<u>Health</u>	<u>Preservation</u>	Comments *	<u>Picture</u>	<u>Location</u>
1039	19	Silk Oak	Fair Health	Moderate Suitability	TP	115	Rengstorff Park
1040	2	Prunus	Average Health	Moderate Suitability			Rengstorff Park
1041	4	Prunus	Fair Health	Poor Suitability			Rengstorff Park
1042	13	Silk Oak	Fair Health	Moderate Suitability	TP		Rengstorff Park
1043	2	Prunus	Average Health	Moderate Suitability			Rengstorff Park
1044	2	Prunus	Average Health	Moderate Suitability			Rengstorff Park
1045	23	Silk Oak	Fair Health	Moderate Suitability	MN,LT,BF	114	Rengstorff Park
1046	3	Prunus	Average Health	Moderate Suitability			Rengstorff Park
1047	6	Prunus	Average Health	Moderate Suitability			Rengstorff Park
1048	2	Prunus	Average Health	Moderate Suitability			Rengstorff Park
1049	25	Silk Oak	Fair Health	Moderate Suitability	MN,LT,BF	113	Rengstorff Park
1050	2	Prunus	Average Health	Moderate Suitability			Rengstorff Park
1051	6	Prunus	Average Health	Moderate Suitability			Rengstorff Park
1052	2	Prunus	Average Health	Moderate Suitability			Rengstorff Park
1053	2	Prunus	Average Health	Moderate Suitability			Rengstorff Park
1054	6	Prunus	Average Health	Moderate Suitability			Rengstorff Park
1054	6	Prunus	Average Health	Moderate Suitability			Rengstorff Park
1055	25	Silk Oak	Fair Health	Moderate Suitability	MN,LT,BF	112	Rengstorff Park
1056	2	Prunus	Average Health	Moderate Suitability			Rengstorff Park
1057	2	Prunus	Average Health	Moderate Suitability			Rengstorff Park
1058	22	Silk Oak	Fair Health	Moderate Suitability	MN,LT,BF	111	Rengstorff Park
1059	3	Prunus	Average Health	Moderate Suitability			Rengstorff Park
1060	21	Silk Oak	Fair Health	Moderate Suitability	MN,LT,BF	110	Rengstorff Park
1061	22	Monterey Pine	Fair Health	Moderate Suitability	ВС	59	Rengstorff Park
1062	32	Monterey Pine	Fair Health	Moderate Suitability		59	Rengstorff Park
1063	15	Monterey Pine	Fair Health	Moderate Suitability			Rengstorff Park
1064	19	Coast Live Oak	Good Health	Good Suitability		109	Rengstorff Park
1065	27	Monterey Pine	Fair Health	Moderate Suitability	ВС	108	Rengstorff Park
1066	33	Monterey Pine	Average Health	Moderate Suitability	ВС	107	Rengstorff Park
1067	26	Monterey Pine	Average Health	Moderate Suitability	ВС	106	Rengstorff Park
1068	26	Monterey Pine	Average Health	Moderate Suitability	GR	106	Rengstorff Park
1069	24	Monterey Pine	Average Health	Moderate Suitability		105	Rengstorff Park
1070	30	Monterey Pine	Average Health	Moderate Suitability	GR	105	Rengstorff Park
1071	19	Monterey Pine	Fair Health	Moderate Suitability		104	Rengstorff Park
1072	15	Monterey Pine	Fair Health	Poor Suitability	GR	104	Rengstorff Park
1073	10	Oak	Average Health	Moderate Suitability			Rengstorff Park
1074	7	Oak	Average Health	Moderate Suitability			Rengstorff Park

^{*} Comments: AP= Aphids, BB= Bark Beetles, BC= Buried Root Collar, BF= Previous Branch Failure, CB= Crossed Branches, CD= Co-Dominant Stems, DE= Decay, GR= Girdling Root(s), HZ= Hazardous, IC= Inspect Cables, II= Insect Infestation, LN= Leaning, LT= Lions Tailed, MN = Monitor, MS=Multi-Stemed, NP= Neutral Plane Crack, PI= Poor Irrigation, RC= Root Collar, RM= Remove, SC= Stress Cracks, TC= Tightly-Crowded Branches, TP= Topped

<u>Tag</u>	<u>Diameter</u>	Common Name	<u>Health</u>	<u>Preservation</u>	Comments *	<u>Picture</u>	<u>Location</u>
1075	4	Oak	Average Health	Moderate Suitability			Rengstorff Park
1076	8	Oak	Average Health	Moderate Suitability			Rengstorff Park
1077	8	Southern Magnolia	Fair Health	Moderate Suitability			Rengstorff Park
1078	2	Crabapple	Average Health	Moderate Suitability			Rengstorff Park
1079	4	Crabapple	Average Health	Moderate Suitability			Rengstorff Park
1080	20	Tulip Poplar	Average Health	Moderate Suitability		103	Rengstorff Park
1081	23	Tulip Poplar	Average Health	Moderate Suitability	LN	99	Rengstorff Park
1082	22	Tulip Poplar	Average Health	Moderate Suitability		100	Rengstorff Park
1083	9	Chinese Tallow	Average Health	Moderate Suitability			Rengstorff Park
1084	13	Chinese Tallow	Average Health	Moderate Suitability			Rengstorff Park
1085	1	Crape Myrtle	Average Health	Moderate Suitability			Rengstorff Park
1086	13	Redwood	Average Health	Moderate Suitability		101	Rengstorff Park
1087	3	Redwood	Average Health	Moderate Suitability			Rengstorff Park
1088	14	Redwood	Average Health	Moderate Suitability			Rengstorff Park
1089	13	Redwood	Average Health	Moderate Suitability			Rengstorff Park
1090	16	Redwood	Average Health	Moderate Suitability			Rengstorff Park
1091	10	Cherry	Average Health	Moderate Suitability			Rengstorff Park
1092	10	Cherry	Average Health	Moderate Suitability			Rengstorff Park
1093	11	Cherry	Average Health	Moderate Suitability			Rengstorff Park
1094	10	Cherry	Average Health	Moderate Suitability			Rengstorff Park
1095	2	Crabapple	Average Health	Moderate Suitability			Rengstorff Park
1096	3	Crabapple	Average Health	Moderate Suitability			Rengstorff Park
1097	24	Tulip Poplar	Average Health	Moderate Suitability		102	Rengstorff Park
1098	8	Chinese Tallow	Average Health	Moderate Suitability			Rengstorff Park
1099	9	Chinese Tallow	Average Health	Moderate Suitability			Rengstorff Park
1100	8	Chinese Tallow	Average Health	Moderate Suitability			Rengstorff Park
1101	21	Tulip Poplar	Average Health	Moderate Suitability		71	Rengstorff Park
1102	11	Sweet Gum	Average Health	Moderate Suitability			Rengstorff Park
1103	76	Pepper	Fair Health	Moderate Suitability	DE,BF, MN	72	Rengstorff Park
1104	19	Tulip Poplar	Average Health	Moderate Suitability		73	Rengstorff Park
1105	18	Tulip Poplar	Average Health	Moderate Suitability		74	Rengstorff Park
1106	21	Tulip Poplar	Average Health	Moderate Suitability		75	Rengstorff Park
1107	24	Tulip Poplar	Average Health	Moderate Suitability		76	Rengstorff Park
1108	24	Tulip Poplar	Average Health	Moderate Suitability		77	Rengstorff Park
1109	24	Tulip Poplar	Average Health	Moderate Suitability		78	Rengstorff Park
1110	17	Tulip Poplar	Average Health	Moderate Suitability		79	Rengstorff Park
1111	17	Tulip Poplar	Average Health	Moderate Suitability	CD	80	Rengstorff Park

^{*} Comments: AP= Aphids, BB= Bark Beetles, BC= Buried Root Collar, BF= Previous Branch Failure, CB= Crossed Branches, CD= Co-Dominant Stems, DE= Decay, GR= Girdling Root(s), HZ= Hazardous, IC= Inspect Cables, II= Insect Infestation, LN= Leaning, LT= Lions Tailed, MN = Monitor, MS=Multi-Stemed, NP= Neutral Plane Crack, PI= Poor Irrigation, RC= Root Collar, RM= Remove, SC= Stress Cracks, TC= Tightly-Crowded Branches, TP= Topped

<u>Tag</u>	<u>Diameter</u>	Common Name	<u>Health</u>	<u>Preservation</u>	Comments *	<u>Picture</u>	<u>Location</u>
1112	22	Tulip Poplar	Average Health	Moderate Suitability	CD	81	Rengstorff Park
1113	14	Tulip Poplar	Average Health	Moderate Suitability	CD	82	Rengstorff Park
1114	17	Tulip Poplar	Average Health	Moderate Suitability	CD	83	Rengstorff Park
1115	16	Ginko	Average Health	Moderate Suitability		84	Rengstorff Park
1116	14	Ginko	Average Health	Moderate Suitability		85	Rengstorff Park
1117	17	Ginko	Average Health	Moderate Suitability	LN	86	Rengstorff Park
1118	12	Ginko	Average Health	Moderate Suitability	LN	87	Rengstorff Park
1119	3	Sycamore	Fair Health	Moderate Suitability			Rengstorff Park
1120	2	Sycamore	Fair Health	Moderate Suitability			Rengstorff Park
1121	3	Sycamore	Fair Health	Moderate Suitability			Rengstorff Park
1122	9	Sweet Gum	Average Health	Moderate Suitability			Rengstorff Park
1123	8	Sweet Gum	Average Health	Moderate Suitability			Rengstorff Park
1124	6	Sweet Gum	Average Health	Moderate Suitability			Rengstorff Park
1125	10	Oak	Average Health	Moderate Suitability			Rengstorff Park
1126	11	Oak	Average Health	Moderate Suitability			Rengstorff Park
1127	8	Oak	Average Health	Moderate Suitability			Rengstorff Park
1128	10	Sweet Gum	Average Health	Moderate Suitability			Rengstorff Park
1129	10	Sweet Gum	Average Health	Moderate Suitability			Rengstorff Park
1130	1	Oak	Average Health	Moderate Suitability			Rengstorff Park
1131	3	Prunus	Poor Health	Poor Suitability	DE		Rengstorff Park
1132	34	Silk Oak	Average Health	Moderate Suitability	MN,LT,BF	88	Rengstorff Park
1133	35	Silk Oak	Average Health	Moderate Suitability	MN,LT,BF	89	Rengstorff Park
1134	4	Prunus	Average Health	Poor Suitability	DE		Rengstorff Park
1135	35	Silk Oak	Average Health	Moderate Suitability	MN,LT,BF	89	Rengstorff Park
1136	4	Prunus	Average Health	Moderate Suitability			Rengstorff Park
1137	4	Prunus	Average Health	Moderate Suitability			Rengstorff Park
1138	22	Silk Oak	Average Health	Moderate Suitability	MN,LT,BF	91	Rengstorff Park
1139	6	Prunus	Average Health	Moderate Suitability			Rengstorff Park
1140	3	Prunus	Average Health	Moderate Suitability			Rengstorff Park
1141	3	Prunus	Average Health	Moderate Suitability			Rengstorff Park
1142	3	Prunus	Average Health	Moderate Suitability			Rengstorff Park
1143	51	Valley Oak	Good Health	Good Suitability	LT	91	Rengstorff Park
1144	3	Prunus	Average Health	Moderate Suitability			Rengstorff Park
1145	3	Prunus	Poor Health	Poor Suitability			Rengstorff Park
1146	3	Prunus	Average Health	Moderate Suitability			Rengstorff Park
1147	22	Silk Oak	Average Health	Moderate Suitability	CD	93	Rengstorff Park
1148	3	Prunus	Average Health	Moderate Suitability			Rengstorff Park

^{*} Comments: AP= Aphids, BB= Bark Beetles, BC= Buried Root Collar, BF= Previous Branch Failure, CB= Crossed Branches, CD= Co-Dominant Stems, DE= Decay, GR= Girdling Root(s), HZ= Hazardous, IC= Inspect Cables, II= Insect Infestation, LN= Leaning, LT= Lions Tailed, MN = Monitor, MS=Multi-Stemed, NP= Neutral Plane Crack, PI= Poor Irrigation, RC= Root Collar, RM= Remove, SC= Stress Cracks, TC= Tightly-Crowded Branches, TP= Topped

<u>Tag</u>	<u>Diameter</u>	Common Name	<u>Health</u>	<u>Preservation</u>	Comments *	<u>Picture</u>	<u>Location</u>
1149	6	Prunus	Average Health	Moderate Suitability			Rengstorff Park
1149	6	Prunus	Average Health	Moderate Suitability			Rengstorff Park
1150	22	Silk Oak	Average Health	Moderate Suitability	BF,MN	94	Rengstorff Park
1151	6	Prunus	Average Health	Moderate Suitability			Rengstorff Park
1152	6	Prunus	Average Health	Moderate Suitability			Rengstorff Park
1153	6	Prunus	Average Health	Moderate Suitability			Rengstorff Park
1154	6	Prunus	Average Health	Moderate Suitability			Rengstorff Park
1155	11	Prunus	Average Health	Moderate Suitability			Rengstorff Park
1156	11	Prunus	Average Health	Moderate Suitability			Rengstorff Park
1157	6	Prunus	Average Health	Moderate Suitability			Rengstorff Park
1158	6	Prunus	Average Health	Moderate Suitability	DE		Rengstorff Park
1159	3	Prunus	Average Health	Moderate Suitability			Rengstorff Park
1160	36	Coast Live Oak	Good Health	Good Suitability	BC,BB	95	Rengstorff Park
1161	32	Redwood	Average Health	Moderate Suitability		96	Rengstorff Park
1162	22	Redwood	Average Health	Moderate Suitability	CD	97	Rengstorff Park
1163	32	Redwood	Average Health	Moderate Suitability		97	Rengstorff Park
1164	30	Redwood	Average Health	Moderate Suitability		97	Rengstorff Park
1165	24	Redwood	Average Health	Moderate Suitability		97	Rengstorff Park
1166	24	Redwood	Average Health	Moderate Suitability		97	Rengstorff Park
1167	36	Redwood	Average Health	Moderate Suitability		97	Rengstorff Park
1168	24	Redwood	Average Health	Moderate Suitability		97	Rengstorff Park
1169	27	Redwood	Average Health	Moderate Suitability		97	Rengstorff Park
1170	30	Redwood	Average Health	Moderate Suitability		97	Rengstorff Park
1171	32	Redwood	Average Health	Moderate Suitability		97	Rengstorff Park
1172	24	Redwood	Average Health	Moderate Suitability		97	Rengstorff Park
1173	20	Eucalyptus	Average Health	Moderate Suitability		98	Rengstorff Park
1174	30	Eucalyptus	Average Health	Moderate Suitability		98	Rengstorff Park
1175	22	Eucalyptus	Average Health	Moderate Suitability		98	Rengstorff Park
1176	26	Eucalyptus	Average Health	Moderate Suitability		117	Rengstorff Park
1177	21	Eucalyptus	Average Health	Moderate Suitability		117	Rengstorff Park
1178	26	Eucalyptus	Average Health	Moderate Suitability		117	Rengstorff Park
1179	12	Sweet Gum	Average Health	Moderate Suitability			Rengstorff Park
1180	15	Chinese Elm	Average Health	Moderate Suitability		118	Rengstorff Park
1181	18	Chinese Elm	Average Health	Moderate Suitability		118	Rengstorff Park
1182	13	Chinese Elm	Average Health	Moderate Suitability		118	Rengstorff Park
1183	4	Pear	Fair Health	Moderate Suitability			Rengstorff Park
1184	14	Pear	Average Health	Moderate Suitability			Rengstorff Park

^{*} Comments: AP= Aphids, BB= Bark Beetles, BC= Buried Root Collar, BF= Previous Branch Failure, CB= Crossed Branches, CD= Co-Dominant Stems, DE= Decay, GR= Girdling Root(s), HZ= Hazardous, IC= Inspect Cables, II= Insect Infestation, LN= Leaning, LT= Lions Tailed, MN = Monitor, MS=Multi-Stemed, NP= Neutral Plane Crack, PI= Poor Irrigation, RC= Root Collar, RM= Remove, SC= Stress Cracks, TC= Tightly-Crowded Branches, TP= Topped

<u>Tag</u>	<u>Diameter</u>	Common Name	<u>Health</u>	<u>Preservation</u>	Comments *	<u>Picture</u>	<u>Location</u>
1185	8	Pear	Average Health	Moderate Suitability			Rengstorff Park
1186	11	Pear	Average Health	Moderate Suitability			Rengstorff Park
1187	15	Chinese Elm	Average Health	Moderate Suitability		119	Rengstorff Park
1188	17	Chinese Elm	Average Health	Moderate Suitability		119	Rengstorff Park
1189	17	Chinese Elm	Average Health	Moderate Suitability		119	Rengstorff Park
1190	15	Chinese Elm	Average Health	Moderate Suitability		119	Rengstorff Park
1191	11	Oak	Average Health	Moderate Suitability			Rengstorff Park
1192	21	Redwood	Average Health	Moderate Suitability		120	Rengstorff Park
1193	22	Redwood	Average Health	Moderate Suitability		120	Rengstorff Park
1194	23	Redwood	Average Health	Moderate Suitability	CD	120	Rengstorff Park
1195	20	Redwood	Average Health	Moderate Suitability		120	Rengstorff Park
1196	34	Redwood	Average Health	Moderate Suitability		120	Rengstorff Park
1197	45	Redwood	Average Health	Moderate Suitability		121	Rengstorff Park
1198	33	Redwood	Average Health	Moderate Suitability		121	Rengstorff Park
1199	23	Redwood	Average Health	Moderate Suitability		121	Rengstorff Park
1200	33	Redwood	Average Health	Moderate Suitability		121	Rengstorff Park
1201	13	Sweet Gum	Average Health	Moderate Suitability			Rengstorff Park
1202	9	Sweet Gum	Fair Health	Poor Suitability			Rengstorff Park
1203	13	Sweet Gum	Average Health	Moderate Suitability			Rengstorff Park
1204	18	Sweet Gum	Average Health	Moderate Suitability			Rengstorff Park
1205	14	Sweet Gum	Average Health	Moderate Suitability			Rengstorff Park
1206	14	Sweet Gum	Average Health	Moderate Suitability			Rengstorff Park
1207	24	Silk Oak	Fair Health	Poor Suitability	TP	122	Rengstorff Park
1208	24	Silk Oak	Fair Health	Poor Suitability	TP	122	Rengstorff Park
1209	19	Silk Oak	Fair Health	Poor Suitability	TP	122	Rengstorff Park
1210	19	Silk Oak	Fair Health	Poor Suitability	TP	122	Rengstorff Park
1211	24	Silk Oak	Fair Health	Poor Suitability	TP	122	Rengstorff Park
1212	19	Silk Oak	Fair Health	Poor Suitability	TP	122	Rengstorff Park
1213	29	Silk Oak	Fair Health	Poor Suitability	TP	122	Rengstorff Park
1214	15	Silk Oak	Fair Health	Poor Suitability	TP	123	Rengstorff Park
1215	17	Silk Oak	Fair Health	Poor Suitability	TP	123	Rengstorff Park
1216	26	Silk Oak	Fair Health	Poor Suitability	TP	123	Rengstorff Park
1217	19	Silk Oak	Fair Health	Poor Suitability	TP	123	Rengstorff Park
1218	22	Silk Oak	Fair Health	Poor Suitability	TP	123	Rengstorff Park
1219	22	Silk Oak	Fair Health	Poor Suitability	TP	123	Rengstorff Park
1220	25	Silk Oak	Fair Health	Poor Suitability	TP	123	Rengstorff Park
1221	25	Silk Oak	Fair Health	Poor Suitability	TP	123	Rengstorff Park

^{*} Comments: AP= Aphids, BB= Bark Beetles, BC= Buried Root Collar, BF= Previous Branch Failure, CB= Crossed Branches, CD= Co-Dominant Stems, DE= Decay, GR= Girdling Root(s), HZ= Hazardous, IC= Inspect Cables, II= Insect Infestation, LN= Leaning, LT= Lions Tailed, MN = Monitor, MS=Multi-Stemed, NP= Neutral Plane Crack, PI= Poor Irrigation, RC= Root Collar, RM= Remove, SC= Stress Cracks, TC= Tightly-Crowded Branches, TP= Topped

<u>Tag</u>	<u>Diameter</u>	Common Name	<u>Health</u>	<u>Preservation</u>	Comments *	<u>Picture</u>	<u>Location</u>
1222	26	Silk Oak	Fair Health	Poor Suitability	TP	123	Rengstorff Park
1223	6	Bottle Brush	Average Health	Moderate Suitability			Rengstorff Park
1224	6	Bottle Brush	Average Health	Moderate Suitability			Rengstorff Park
1225	6	Bottle Brush	Average Health	Moderate Suitability			Rengstorff Park
1226	4	Bottle Brush	Average Health	Moderate Suitability			Rengstorff Park
1227	4	Bottle Brush	Average Health	Moderate Suitability			Rengstorff Park
1228	6	Bottle Brush	Average Health	Moderate Suitability			Rengstorff Park
1229	6	Bottle Brush	Average Health	Moderate Suitability			Rengstorff Park
1230	6	Bottle Brush	Average Health	Moderate Suitability			Rengstorff Park
1231	6	Bottle Brush	Average Health	Moderate Suitability			Rengstorff Park
1232	6	Bottle Brush	Average Health	Moderate Suitability			Rengstorff Park
1233	8	Bottle Brush	Average Health	Moderate Suitability			Rengstorff Park
1234	4	Bottle Brush	Average Health	Moderate Suitability			Rengstorff Park
1235	3	Bottle Brush	Average Health	Moderate Suitability			Rengstorff Park
1236	4	Bottle Brush	Average Health	Moderate Suitability			Rengstorff Park
1237	6	Bottle Brush	Average Health	Moderate Suitability			Rengstorff Park
1238	8	Bottle Brush	Average Health	Moderate Suitability			Rengstorff Park
1239	6	Bottle Brush	Average Health	Moderate Suitability			Rengstorff Park
1240	4	Bottle Brush	Average Health	Moderate Suitability			Rengstorff Park
1241	4	Bottle Brush	Average Health	Moderate Suitability			Rengstorff Park
1242	4	Bottle Brush	Average Health	Moderate Suitability			Rengstorff Park
1243	4	Bottle Brush	Average Health	Moderate Suitability			Rengstorff Park
1244	33	Monterey Pine	Average Health	Moderate Suitability		124	Rengstorff Park
1245	17	Monterey Pine	Average Health	Moderate Suitability		124	Rengstorff Park
1246	29	Monterey Pine	Average Health	Moderate Suitability		124	Rengstorff Park
1247	20	Monterey Pine	Average Health	Moderate Suitability		124	Rengstorff Park
1248	4	Prunus	Average Health	Moderate Suitability			Rengstorff Park
1249	4	Prunus	Average Health	Moderate Suitability			Rengstorff Park
1250	20	Monterey Pine	Average Health	Moderate Suitability		125	Rengstorff Park
1251	4	Prunus	Average Health	Moderate Suitability			Rengstorff Park
1252	16	Monterey Pine	Average Health	Moderate Suitability		125	Rengstorff Park
1253	4	Prunus	Average Health	Moderate Suitability			Rengstorff Park
1254	16	Monterey Pine	Average Health	Moderate Suitability		125	Rengstorff Park
1255	7	Prunus	Average Health	Moderate Suitability			Rengstorff Park
1256	17	Monterey Pine	Average Health	Moderate Suitability	LN, HZ	125	Rengstorff Park
1257	25	Monterey Pine	Average Health	Moderate Suitability		125	Rengstorff Park
1258	7	Prunus	Average Health	Moderate Suitability			Rengstorff Park

^{*} Comments: AP= Aphids, BB= Bark Beetles, BC= Buried Root Collar, BF= Previous Branch Failure, CB= Crossed Branches, CD= Co-Dominant Stems, DE= Decay, GR= Girdling Root(s), HZ= Hazardous, IC= Inspect Cables, II= Insect Infestation, LN= Leaning, LT= Lions Tailed, MN = Monitor, MS=Multi-Stemed, NP= Neutral Plane Crack, PI= Poor Irrigation, RC= Root Collar, RM= Remove, SC= Stress Cracks, TC= Tightly-Crowded Branches, TP= Topped

<u>Tag</u>	<u>Diameter</u>	Common Name	<u>Health</u>	<u>Preservation</u>	Comments *	<u>Picture</u>	<u>Location</u>
1259	3	Prunus	Average Health	Moderate Suitability			Rengstorff Park
1260	23	Monterey Pine	Average Health	Moderate Suitability		126	Rengstorff Park
1261	6	Prunus	Average Health	Moderate Suitability			Rengstorff Park
1262	25	Monterey Pine	Average Health	Moderate Suitability		126	Rengstorff Park
1263	4	Prunus	Average Health	Moderate Suitability			Rengstorff Park
1264	22	Monterey Pine	Average Health	Moderate Suitability		126	Rengstorff Park
1265	4	Prunus	Average Health	Moderate Suitability			Rengstorff Park
1266	4	Prunus	Average Health	Moderate Suitability			Rengstorff Park
1266	4	Prunus	Average Health	Moderate Suitability			Rengstorff Park
1267	23	Monterey Pine	Average Health	Moderate Suitability		126	Rengstorff Park
1268	4	Prunus	Average Health	Moderate Suitability			Rengstorff Park
1269	23	Monterey Pine	Average Health	Moderate Suitability		126	Rengstorff Park
1270	19	Monterey Pine	Average Health	Moderate Suitability		126	Rengstorff Park
1271	15	Monterey Pine	Fair Health	Poor Suitability	LN,RM	126	Rengstorff Park
1272	4	Prunus	Average Health	Moderate Suitability			Rengstorff Park
1273	16	Monterey Pine	Average Health	Moderate Suitability		126	Rengstorff Park
1274	26	Monterey Pine	Average Health	Moderate Suitability		126	Rengstorff Park
1275	4	Prunus	Average Health	Moderate Suitability			Rengstorff Park
1276	4	Prunus	Average Health	Moderate Suitability	DE		Rengstorff Park
1277	24	Coast Live Oak	Good Health	Good Suitability		128	Rengstorff Park
1278	4	Prunus	Average Health	Moderate Suitability			Rengstorff Park
1279	29	Monterey Pine	Average Health	Moderate Suitability		129	Rengstorff Park
1280	4	Prunus	Average Health	Moderate Suitability			Rengstorff Park
1281	4	Prunus	Average Health	Moderate Suitability			Rengstorff Park
1282	4	Prunus	Average Health	Moderate Suitability			Rengstorff Park
1283	26	Monterey Pine	Average Health	Moderate Suitability		129	Rengstorff Park
1284	4	Prunus	Average Health	Moderate Suitability			Rengstorff Park
1285	3	Prunus	Average Health	Moderate Suitability			Rengstorff Park
1286	4	Prunus	Average Health	Moderate Suitability	DE		Rengstorff Park
1287	16	Coast Live Oak	Good Health	Good Suitability		131	Rengstorff Park
1288	4	Prunus	Average Health	Moderate Suitability	DE		Rengstorff Park
1289	3	Prunus	Average Health	Moderate Suitability	DE		Rengstorff Park
1290	45	Coast Live Oak	Good Health	Good Suitability		132	Rengstorff Park
1291	3	Prunus	Average Health	Moderate Suitability			Rengstorff Park
1292	31	Redwood	Average Health	Moderate Suitability		133	Rengstorff Park
1293	20	Redwood	Average Health	Moderate Suitability		133	Rengstorff Park
1294	31	Redwood	Average Health	Moderate Suitability		133	Rengstorff Park

^{*} Comments: AP= Aphids, BB= Bark Beetles, BC= Buried Root Collar, BF= Previous Branch Failure, CB= Crossed Branches, CD= Co-Dominant Stems, DE= Decay, GR= Girdling Root(s), HZ= Hazardous, IC= Inspect Cables, II= Insect Infestation, LN= Leaning, LT= Lions Tailed, MN = Monitor, MS=Multi-Stemed, NP= Neutral Plane Crack, PI= Poor Irrigation, RC= Root Collar, RM= Remove, SC= Stress Cracks, TC= Tightly-Crowded Branches, TP= Topped

<u>Tag</u>	<u>Diameter</u>	Common Name	<u>Health</u>	<u>Preservation</u>	Comments *	<u>Picture</u>	<u>Location</u>
1295	34	Redwood	Average Health	Moderate Suitability		133	Rengstorff Park
1296	8	Pittosporum	Fair Health	Poor Suitability			Rengstorff Park
1297	6	Pittosporum	Fair Health	Poor Suitability			Rengstorff Park
1298	6	Pittosporum	Fair Health	Poor Suitability			Rengstorff Park
1299	4	Pittosporum	Fair Health	Poor Suitability			Rengstorff Park
1300	4	Pittosporum	Fair Health	Poor Suitability			Rengstorff Park
1301	3	Pittosporum	Fair Health	Poor Suitability			Rengstorff Park
1302	6	Bottle Brush	Average Health	Moderate Suitability			Rengstorff Park
1303	9	Bottle Brush	Average Health	Moderate Suitability			Rengstorff Park
1304	6	Bottle Brush	Average Health	Moderate Suitability			Rengstorff Park
1305	3	Bottle Brush	Average Health	Moderate Suitability			Rengstorff Park
1306	6	Bottle Brush	Average Health	Moderate Suitability			Rengstorff Park
1307	38	Monterey Pine	Poor Health	Poor Suitability	RM,II	134	Rengstorff Park
1308	8	Saucer Magnolia	Average Health	Moderate Suitability			Rengstorff Park
1309	14	Oak	Average Health	Moderate Suitability			Rengstorff Park
1310	21	Mayten	Average Health	Moderate Suitability		135	Rengstorff Park
1311	19	Mayten	Average Health	Moderate Suitability		135	Rengstorff Park
1312	11	Mayten	Fair Health	Moderate Suitability		135	Rengstorff Park
1313	22	Mayten	Average Health	Moderate Suitability		135	Rengstorff Park
1314	16	Mayten	Average Health	Moderate Suitability		135	Rengstorff Park
1315	20	Mayten	Average Health	Moderate Suitability		135	Rengstorff Park
1316	16	Mayten	Average Health	Moderate Suitability		135	Rengstorff Park
1317	19	Mayten	Average Health	Moderate Suitability		135	Rengstorff Park
1318	20	Mayten	Average Health	Moderate Suitability		136	Rengstorff Park
1319	14	Ginko	Average Health	Moderate Suitability			Rengstorff Park
1320	11	Southern Magnolia	Average Health	Moderate Suitability			Rengstorff Park
1321	16	Mayten	Average Health	Moderate Suitability			Rengstorff Park
1322	8	Mayten	Average Health	Moderate Suitability			Rengstorff Park
1323	15	Mayten	Average Health	Moderate Suitability			Rengstorff Park
1324	20	Mayten	Average Health	Moderate Suitability		137	Rengstorff Park
1325	8	Mayten	Average Health	Moderate Suitability			Rengstorff Park
1326	14	Ginko	Average Health	Moderate Suitability			Rengstorff Park
1327	25	Ginko	Average Health	Moderate Suitability		138	Rengstorff Park
1328	18	Ginko	Average Health	Moderate Suitability		138	Rengstorff Park
1329	24	Monterey Pine	Average Health	Moderate Suitability		139	Rengstorff Park
1330	20	Monterey Pine	Average Health	Moderate Suitability	LN	139	Rengstorff Park
1331	18	Coast Live Oak	Good Health	Good Suitability		140	Rengstorff Park

^{*} Comments: AP= Aphids, BB= Bark Beetles, BC= Buried Root Collar, BF= Previous Branch Failure, CB= Crossed Branches, CD= Co-Dominant Stems, DE= Decay, GR= Girdling Root(s), HZ= Hazardous, IC= Inspect Cables, II= Insect Infestation, LN= Leaning, LT= Lions Tailed, MN = Monitor, MS=Multi-Stemed, NP= Neutral Plane Crack, PI= Poor Irrigation, RC= Root Collar, RM= Remove, SC= Stress Cracks, TC= Tightly-Crowded Branches, TP= Topped

Tag	<u>Diameter</u>	Common Name	<u>Health</u>	<u>Preservation</u>	Comments *	<u>Picture</u>	<u>Location</u>
1332	27	Carob	Fair Health	Moderate Suitability		141	Rengstorff Park
1333	4	Melaleuca	Average Health	Moderate Suitability			Rengstorff Park
1334	14	Oak	Average Health	Moderate Suitability			Rengstorff Park
1335	16	Alder	Average Health	Moderate Suitability		142	Rengstorff Park
1336	22	Oak	Average Health	Moderate Suitability		142	Rengstorff Park
1337	19	Ginko	Average Health	Moderate Suitability		143	Rengstorff Park
1338	21	Ginko	Average Health	Moderate Suitability		143	Rengstorff Park
1339	25	Alder	Average Health	Moderate Suitability		145	Rengstorff Park
1340	21	Ginko	Average Health	Moderate Suitability		145	Rengstorff Park
1341	27	Coast Live Oak	Good Health	Good Suitability		145	Rengstorff Park
1342	10	Privet	Average Health	Poor Suitability			Rengstorff Park
1343	9	Pear	Fair Health	Moderate Suitability			Rengstorff Park
1344	15	Pear	Average Health	Moderate Suitability			Rengstorff Park
1345	11	Pear	Average Health	Moderate Suitability			Rengstorff Park
1346	17	Pear	Average Health	Moderate Suitability			Rengstorff Park
1347	8	Japanese Maple	Average Health	Moderate Suitability			Rengstorff Park
1348	7	Japanese Maple	Fair Health	Poor Suitability	RM,DE		Rengstorff Park
1349	7	Japanese Maple	Average Health	Moderate Suitability			Rengstorff Park
1350	11	Japanese Maple	Average Health	Moderate Suitability			Rengstorff Park
1351	10	Fir	Fair Health	Poor Suitability			Rengstorff Park
1352	17	Podocarpus	Average Health	Moderate Suitability			Rengstorff Park
1353	13	Olive	Average Health	Moderate Suitability			Rengstorff Park
1354	13	Olive	Average Health	Moderate Suitability			Rengstorff Park
1355	14	Olive	Average Health	Moderate Suitability			Rengstorff Park
1356	12	Olive	Average Health	Moderate Suitability			Rengstorff Park
1357	11	Olive	Average Health	Moderate Suitability			Rengstorff Park
1358	10	Juniper	Average Health	Moderate Suitability			Rengstorff Park
1359	25	Podocarpus	Average Health	Moderate Suitability		146	Rengstorff Park
1360	25	Podocarpus	Average Health	Moderate Suitability		147	Rengstorff Park
1361	18	Eucalyptus	Fair Health	Poor Suitability		148	Rengstorff Park
1362	34	Eucalyptus	Fair Health	Poor Suitability	II	148	Rengstorff Park
1363	6	Pittosporum	Average Health	Poor Suitability			Rengstorff Park
1364	27	Eucalyptus	Fair Health	Poor Suitability	II	149	Rengstorff Park
1365	11	Eucalyptus	Average Health	Poor Suitability			Rengstorff Park
1366	47	Redwood	Average Health	Moderate Suitability		150	Rengstorff Park
1367	43	Redwood	Average Health	Moderate Suitability		151	Rengstorff Park
1368	23	Redwood	Average Health	Moderate Suitability		151	Rengstorff Park

^{*} Comments: AP= Aphids, BB= Bark Beetles, BC= Buried Root Collar, BF= Previous Branch Failure, CB= Crossed Branches, CD= Co-Dominant Stems, DE= Decay, GR= Girdling Root(s), HZ= Hazardous, IC= Inspect Cables, II= Insect Infestation, LN= Leaning, LT= Lions Tailed, MN = Monitor, MS=Multi-Stemed, NP= Neutral Plane Crack, PI= Poor Irrigation, RC= Root Collar, RM= Remove, SC= Stress Cracks, TC= Tightly-Crowded Branches, TP= Topped

<u>Tag</u>	<u>Diameter</u>	Common Name	<u>Health</u>	<u>Preservation</u>	Comments *	<u>Picture</u>	<u>Location</u>
1369	27	Redwood	Average Health	Moderate Suitability		151	Rengstorff Park
1370	17	Redwood	Average Health	Moderate Suitability			Rengstorff Park
1371	7	Southern Magnolia	Poor Health	Poor Suitability			Rengstorff Park
1372	9	Southern Magnolia	Average Health	Moderate Suitability			Rengstorff Park
1373	12	Southern Magnolia	Average Health	Moderate Suitability			Rengstorff Park
1374	31	Sequoia	Average Health	Moderate Suitability		152	Rengstorff Park
1375	38	Carob	Average Health	Moderate Suitability		239	Rengstorff Park
1376	31	Monterey Pine	Average Health	Moderate Suitability	II	240	Rengstorff Park
1377	26	Monterey Pine	Average Health	Moderate Suitability	II	240	Rengstorff Park
1378	30	Monterey Pine	Average Health	Moderate Suitability	II	241	Rengstorff Park
1379	34	Monterey Pine	Average Health	Moderate Suitability	II	241	Rengstorff Park
1380	27	Monterey Pine	Average Health	Moderate Suitability	II	243	Rengstorff Park
1381	29	Monterey Pine	Fair Health	Moderate Suitability	II	242	Rengstorff Park
1382	32	Monterey Pine	Fair Health	Moderate Suitability	II	242	Rengstorff Park
1383	16	Redwood	Average Health	Moderate Suitability		244	Rengstorff Park
1384	15	Redwood	Average Health	Moderate Suitability		244	Rengstorff Park
1385	16	Redwood	Average Health	Moderate Suitability		244	Rengstorff Park
1386	20	Redwood	Average Health	Moderate Suitability		244	Rengstorff Park
1387	27	Monterey Pine	Fair Health	Moderate Suitability	II	242	Rengstorff Park
1388	32	Monterey Pine	Fair Health	Moderate Suitability	II	242	Rengstorff Park
1389	7	Ash	Fair Health	Moderate Suitability			Rengstorff Park
1390	11	Juniper	Average Health	Moderate Suitability			Rengstorff Park
1391	11	Juniper	Average Health	Moderate Suitability			Rengstorff Park
1392	18	Juniper	Average Health	Moderate Suitability			Rengstorff Park
1393	18	Juniper	Average Health	Moderate Suitability			Rengstorff Park
1394	7	Crape Myrtle	Fair Health	Moderate Suitability			Rengstorff Park
1395	18	Carob	Average Health	Moderate Suitability			Rengstorff Park
1396	11	Southern Magnolia	Average Health	Moderate Suitability			Rengstorff Park
1397	32	Carob	Average Health	Moderate Suitability	HZ, RM	245	Rengstorff Park
1398	13	Southern Magnolia	Average Health	Moderate Suitability			Rengstorff Park
1399	15	Southern Magnolia	Average Health	Moderate Suitability			Rengstorff Park
1400	26	Southern Magnolia	Average Health	Moderate Suitability		246	Rengstorff Park
1401	20	Chinese Elm	Average Health	Moderate Suitability		247	Rengstorff Park
1402	20	Chinese Elm	Average Health	Moderate Suitability		248	Rengstorff Park
1403	21	Chinese Elm	Average Health	Moderate Suitability		248	Rengstorff Park
1404	22	Chinese Elm	Average Health	Moderate Suitability		248	Rengstorff Park
1405	13	Chinese Elm	Average Health	Moderate Suitability			Rengstorff Park

^{*} Comments: AP= Aphids, BB= Bark Beetles, BC= Buried Root Collar, BF= Previous Branch Failure, CB= Crossed Branches, CD= Co-Dominant Stems, DE= Decay, GR= Girdling Root(s), HZ= Hazardous, IC= Inspect Cables, II= Insect Infestation, LN= Leaning, LT= Lions Tailed, MN = Monitor, MS=Multi-Stemed, NP= Neutral Plane Crack, PI= Poor Irrigation, RC= Root Collar, RM= Remove, SC= Stress Cracks, TC= Tightly-Crowded Branches, TP= Topped

<u>Tag</u>	<u>Diameter</u>	Common Name	<u>Health</u>	<u>Preservation</u>	Comments *	<u>Picture</u>	<u>Location</u>
1406	17	Chinese Elm	Average Health	Moderate Suitability			Rengstorff Park
1407	4	Chinese Elm	Average Health	Moderate Suitability			Rengstorff Park
1408	17	Chinese Elm	Average Health	Moderate Suitability			Rengstorff Park
1409	19	Chinese Elm	Average Health	Moderate Suitability		250	Rengstorff Park
1410	15	Southern Magnolia	Average Health	Moderate Suitability			Rengstorff Park
1411	8	Southern Magnolia	Fair Health	Moderate Suitability			Rengstorff Park
1412	18	Southern Magnolia	Average Health	Moderate Suitability		251	Rengstorff Park
1413	13	Southern Magnolia	Average Health	Moderate Suitability			Rengstorff Park
1414	13	Southern Magnolia	Average Health	Moderate Suitability			Rengstorff Park
1415	25	Southern Magnolia	Average Health	Moderate Suitability		252	Rengstorff Park
1416	9	Southern Magnolia	Fair Health	Moderate Suitability			Rengstorff Park
1417	16	Southern Magnolia	Average Health	Moderate Suitability			Rengstorff Park
1418	25	Southern Magnolia	Average Health	Moderate Suitability		253	Rengstorff Park
1419	23	Southern Magnolia	Average Health	Moderate Suitability		254	Rengstorff Park
1420	16	Southern Magnolia	Average Health	Moderate Suitability			Rengstorff Park
1421	33	Southern Magnolia	Average Health	Moderate Suitability		255	Rengstorff Park
1422	20	Southern Magnolia	Fair Health	Moderate Suitability		256	Rengstorff Park
1423	30	River Red Gum	Fair Health	Moderate Suitability	II,SC,HZ,LT,MN	257	Rengstorff Park
1424	20	River Red Gum	Fair Health	Moderate Suitability	II,SC,HZ,LT,MN	257	Rengstorff Park
1425	29	Red Ironbark	Fair Health	Moderate Suitability	II,SC,HZ,LT,MN	257	Rengstorff Park
1426	28	Red Ironbark	Fair Health	Moderate Suitability	II,SC,HZ,LT,MN	258	Rengstorff Park
1427	22	River Red Gum	Fair Health	Moderate Suitability	II,SC,HZ,LT,MN	259	Rengstorff Park
1428	21	River Red Gum	Fair Health	Moderate Suitability	II,SC,HZ,LT,MN	259	Rengstorff Park
1429	53	River Red Gum	Fair Health	Moderate Suitability	II,SC,HZ,LT,MN	260	Rengstorff Park
1430	19	Stone Pine	Average Health	Moderate Suitability		261	Rengstorff Park
1431	14	Stone Pine	Average Health	Moderate Suitability			Rengstorff Park
1432	11	Stone Pine	Average Health	Moderate Suitability			Rengstorff Park
1433	54	Stone Pine	Average Health	Moderate Suitability		262	Rengstorff Park
1434	31	Stone Pine	Average Health	Moderate Suitability		263	Rengstorff Park
1435	34	Stone Pine	Average Health	Moderate Suitability		264	Rengstorff Park
1436	10	Stone Pine	Average Health	Moderate Suitability			Rengstorff Park
1437	7	Stone Pine	Average Health	Moderate Suitability			Rengstorff Park
1438	10	Stone Pine	Average Health	Moderate Suitability			Rengstorff Park
1439	37	Stone Pine	Average Health	Moderate Suitability	LN, DC, BF	265	Rengstorff Park
1440	41	Stone Pine	Average Health	Moderate Suitability		266	Rengstorff Park
1441	27	Stone Pine	Average Health	Moderate Suitability		267	Rengstorff Park
1442	31	Stone Pine	Average Health	Moderate Suitability		268	Rengstorff Park

^{*} Comments: AP= Aphids, BB= Bark Beetles, BC= Buried Root Collar, BF= Previous Branch Failure, CB= Crossed Branches, CD= Co-Dominant Stems, DE= Decay, GR= Girdling Root(s), HZ= Hazardous, IC= Inspect Cables, II= Insect Infestation, LN= Leaning, LT= Lions Tailed, MN = Monitor, MS=Multi-Stemed, NP= Neutral Plane Crack, PI= Poor Irrigation, RC= Root Collar, RM= Remove, SC= Stress Cracks, TC= Tightly-Crowded Branches, TP= Topped

<u>Tag</u>	<u>Diameter</u>	Common Name	<u>Health</u>	<u>Preservation</u>	Comments *	<u>Picture</u>	<u>Location</u>
1443	12	Sweet Gum	Average Health	Moderate Suitability			Rengstorff Park
1444	17	Sweet Gum	Average Health	Moderate Suitability			Rengstorff Park
1445	13	Chinese Tallow	Average Health	Moderate Suitability			Rengstorff Park
1446	44	Monterey Pine	Fair Health	Moderate Suitability	DE,BF, BB, II	269	Rengstorff Park
1447	8	Chinese Tallow	Average Health	Moderate Suitability			Rengstorff Park
1448	7	Chinese Tallow	Average Health	Moderate Suitability			Rengstorff Park
1449	17	Sycamore	Average Health	Moderate Suitability	LT	271	Rengstorff Park
1450	20	Sycamore	Average Health	Moderate Suitability	LT	272	Rengstorff Park
1451	22	Sycamore	Average Health	Moderate Suitability	LT	274	Rengstorff Park
1452	20	Black Walnut	Average Health	Moderate Suitability		275	Childcare Center
1453	15	Coast Live Oak	Average Health	Good Suitability			Childcare Center
1454	18	Coast Live Oak	Average Health	Good Suitability			Childcare Center
1456	16	Coast Live Oak	Average Health	Good Suitability			Childcare Center
1457	22	Coast Live Oak	Average Health	Good Suitability		276	Childcare Center
1458	40	Coast Live Oak	Average Health	Good Suitability		277	Childcare Center
1459	3	Sycamore	Average Health	Moderate Suitability			Childcare Center
1460	3	Sycamore	Average Health	Moderate Suitability			Childcare Center
1461	3	Pine	Average Health	Moderate Suitability			Childcare Center
1462	2	Pine	Average Health	Moderate Suitability			Childcare Center
1463	31	Black Walnut	Fair Health	Moderate Suitability		278	Childcare Center
1464	4	Coast Live Oak	Average Health	Good Suitability			Childcare Center
1465	25	Black Walnut	Fair Health	Moderate Suitability		278	Childcare Center
1467	4	Coast Live Oak	Average Health	Good Suitability			Childcare Center
1468	24	Black Walnut	Fair Health	Moderate Suitability		279	Childcare Center
1469	4	Coast Live Oak	Average Health	Good Suitability			Childcare Center
1470	26	Black Walnut	Poor Health	Poor Suitability	RM	279	Childcare Center
1471	2	Pine	Average Health	Moderate Suitability			Senior Center
1472	17	Black Walnut	Fair Health	Moderate Suitability			Senior Center
1473	3	Pine	Average Health	Moderate Suitability			Senior Center
1474	23	Black Walnut	Fair Health	Moderate Suitability		280	Senior Center
1475	26	Black Walnut	Poor Health	Poor Suitability	RM	281	Senior Center
1476	28	Poplar	Fair Health	Moderate Suitability		282	Senior Center
1477	3	Sycamore	Average Health	Moderate Suitability			Senior Center
1478	3	Pine	Average Health	Moderate Suitability			Senior Center
1479	4	Sycamore	Average Health	Moderate Suitability			Senior Center
1480	22	Black Walnut	Poor Health	Poor Suitability	RM, HZ	283	Senior Center
1481	20	Black Walnut	Fair Health	Moderate Suitability		284	Senior Center

^{*} Comments: AP= Aphids, BB= Bark Beetles, BC= Buried Root Collar, BF= Previous Branch Failure, CB= Crossed Branches, CD= Co-Dominant Stems, DE= Decay, GR= Girdling Root(s), HZ= Hazardous, IC= Inspect Cables, II= Insect Infestation, LN= Leaning, LT= Lions Tailed, MN = Monitor, MS=Multi-Stemed, NP= Neutral Plane Crack, PI= Poor Irrigation, RC= Root Collar, RM= Remove, SC= Stress Cracks, TC= Tightly-Crowded Branches, TP= Topped

<u>Tag</u>	<u>Diameter</u>	Common Name	<u>Health</u>	<u>Preservation</u>	Comments *	<u>Picture</u>	<u>Location</u>
1482	14	Black Walnut	Fair Health	Moderate Suitability			Senior Center
1483	3	Japanese Maple	Average Health	Moderate Suitability			Senior Center
1484	3	Japanese Maple	Average Health	Moderate Suitability			Senior Center
1485	3	Japanese Maple	Average Health	Moderate Suitability			Senior Center
1486	3	Japanese Maple	Average Health	Moderate Suitability			Senior Center
1487	4	Japanese Maple	Average Health	Moderate Suitability			Senior Center
1488	2	Japanese Maple	Average Health	Moderate Suitability			Senior Center
1489	2	Pine	Average Health	Moderate Suitability			Senior Center
1490	21	Pine	Average Health	Moderate Suitability		285	Senior Center
1491	22	Pine	Average Health	Moderate Suitability		285	Senior Center
1492	18	Pine	Average Health	Moderate Suitability		285	Senior Center
1493	18	Pine	Average Health	Moderate Suitability		285	Senior Center
1494	2	Japanese Maple	Average Health	Moderate Suitability			Senior Center
1495	3	Japanese Maple	Average Health	Moderate Suitability			Senior Center
1496	3	Japanese Maple	Average Health	Moderate Suitability			Senior Center
1497	4	Japanese Maple	Average Health	Moderate Suitability			Senior Center
1498	2	Japanese Maple	Average Health	Moderate Suitability			Senior Center
1499	3	Japanese Maple	Average Health	Moderate Suitability			Senior Center
1500	3	Redwood	Average Health	Moderate Suitability			Senior Center
1501	3	Redwood	Average Health	Moderate Suitability			Senior Center
1502	3	Redwood	Average Health	Moderate Suitability			Senior Center
1503	2	Redwood	Average Health	Moderate Suitability			Senior Center
1504	2	Crape Myrtle	Average Health	Moderate Suitability			Senior Center
1505	27	Cedar	Average Health	Moderate Suitability		286	Senior Center
1506	2	Crape Myrtle	Average Health	Moderate Suitability			Senior Center
1507	7	Pear	Average Health	Moderate Suitability			Senior Center
1508	7	Pear	Average Health	Moderate Suitability			Senior Center
1509	7	Pear	Average Health	Moderate Suitability			Senior Center
1510	22	Sequoia	Average Health	Moderate Suitability		287	Senior Center
1511	2	Crape Myrtle	Average Health	Moderate Suitability			Senior Center
1512	7	Pear	Average Health	Moderate Suitability			Senior Center
1513	6	Pear	Average Health	Moderate Suitability			Senior Center
1514	5	Pear	Average Health	Moderate Suitability			Senior Center
1515	5	Pear	Average Health	Moderate Suitability			Senior Center
1516	2	Crape Myrtle	Average Health	Moderate Suitability			Senior Center
1517	2	Crape Myrtle	Average Health	Moderate Suitability			Senior Center
1518	2	Crape Myrtle	Average Health	Moderate Suitability			Senior Center

^{*} Comments: AP= Aphids, BB= Bark Beetles, BC= Buried Root Collar, BF= Previous Branch Failure, CB= Crossed Branches, CD= Co-Dominant Stems, DE= Decay, GR= Girdling Root(s), HZ= Hazardous, IC= Inspect Cables, II= Insect Infestation, LN= Leaning, LT= Lions Tailed, MN = Monitor, MS=Multi-Stemed, NP= Neutral Plane Crack, PI= Poor Irrigation, RC= Root Collar, RM= Remove, SC= Stress Cracks, TC= Tightly-Crowded Branches, TP= Topped

<u>Tag</u>	<u>Diameter</u>	Common Name	<u>Health</u>	<u>Preservation</u>	Comments *	<u>Picture</u>	<u>Location</u>
1519	5	Pear	Average Health	Moderate Suitability			Senior Center
1520	5	Pear	Average Health	Moderate Suitability			Senior Center
1521	2	Crape Myrtle	Average Health	Moderate Suitability			Senior Center
1522	2	Crape Myrtle	Average Health	Moderate Suitability			Senior Center
1523	3	Crape Myrtle	Average Health	Moderate Suitability			Senior Center
1524	3	Crape Myrtle	Average Health	Moderate Suitability			Senior Center
1525	3	Crape Myrtle	Average Health	Moderate Suitability			Senior Center
1526	3	Ginko	Average Health	Moderate Suitability			Senior Center
1527	3	Ginko	Average Health	Moderate Suitability			Senior Center
1528	3	Ginko	Average Health	Moderate Suitability			Senior Center
1529	3	Ginko	Average Health	Moderate Suitability			Senior Center
1530	3	Birch	Average Health	Moderate Suitability			Senior Center
1531	3	Birch	Average Health	Moderate Suitability			Senior Center
1532	3	Birch	Average Health	Moderate Suitability			Senior Center
1533	3	Purple-Leaf Plum	Average Health	Moderate Suitability			Senior Center
1534	3	Purple-Leaf Plum	Average Health	Moderate Suitability			Senior Center
1535	3	Purple-Leaf Plum	Average Health	Moderate Suitability			Senior Center
1536	3	Purple-Leaf Plum	Average Health	Moderate Suitability			Senior Center
1537	3	Purple-Leaf Plum	Average Health	Moderate Suitability			Senior Center
1538	2	Crape Myrtle	Average Health	Moderate Suitability			Senior Center
1539	2	Crape Myrtle	Average Health	Moderate Suitability			Senior Center
1540	2	Crape Myrtle	Average Health	Moderate Suitability			Senior Center
1541	2	Crape Myrtle	Average Health	Moderate Suitability			Senior Center
1542	2	Crape Myrtle	Average Health	Moderate Suitability			Senior Center
1543	2	Crape Myrtle	Average Health	Moderate Suitability			Senior Center
1544	2	Crape Myrtle	Average Health	Moderate Suitability			Senior Center
1545	4	Melaleuca	Average Health	Moderate Suitability			Senior Center
1546	3	Crape Myrtle	Average Health	Moderate Suitability			Senior Center
1547	3	Crape Myrtle	Average Health	Moderate Suitability			Senior Center
1548	2	Crape Myrtle	Average Health	Moderate Suitability			Senior Center
1549	3	Crape Myrtle	Average Health	Moderate Suitability			Senior Center
1550	2	Ginko	Average Health	Moderate Suitability			Senior Center
1551	2	Ginko	Average Health	Moderate Suitability			Senior Center
1552	2	Ginko	Average Health	Moderate Suitability			Senior Center
1553	2	Ginko	Average Health	Moderate Suitability			Senior Center
1554	3	Crape Myrtle	Average Health	Moderate Suitability			Senior Center
1555	2	Crape Myrtle	Average Health	Moderate Suitability			Senior Center

^{*} Comments: AP= Aphids, BB= Bark Beetles, BC= Buried Root Collar, BF= Previous Branch Failure, CB= Crossed Branches, CD= Co-Dominant Stems, DE= Decay, GR= Girdling Root(s), HZ= Hazardous, IC= Inspect Cables, II= Insect Infestation, LN= Leaning, LT= Lions Tailed, MN = Monitor, MS=Multi-Stemed, NP= Neutral Plane Crack, PI= Poor Irrigation, RC= Root Collar, RM= Remove, SC= Stress Cracks, TC= Tightly-Crowded Branches, TP= Topped

<u>Tag</u>	<u>Diameter</u>	Common Name	<u>Health</u>	<u>Preservation</u>	Comments *	<u>Picture</u>	<u>Location</u>
1556	4	Melaleuca	Average Health	Moderate Suitability			Senior Center
1557	2	Crape Myrtle	Average Health	Moderate Suitability			Senior Center
1558	3	Crape Myrtle	Average Health	Moderate Suitability			Senior Center
1559	3	Crape Myrtle	Average Health	Moderate Suitability			Senior Center
1560	3	Crape Myrtle	Average Health	Moderate Suitability			Senior Center
1561	5	Melaleuca	Average Health	Moderate Suitability			Senior Center
1562	2	Podocarpus	Average Health	Moderate Suitability			Senior Center
1563	2	Podocarpus	Average Health	Moderate Suitability			Senior Center
1564	2	Podocarpus	Average Health	Moderate Suitability			Senior Center
1565	2	Podocarpus	Average Health	Moderate Suitability			Senior Center
1566	2	Podocarpus	Average Health	Moderate Suitability			Senior Center
1567	3	Podocarpus	Average Health	Moderate Suitability			Senior Center
1568	2	Podocarpus	Average Health	Moderate Suitability			Senior Center
1569	2	Podocarpus	Average Health	Moderate Suitability			Senior Center
1570	2	Podocarpus	Average Health	Moderate Suitability			Senior Center
1571	5	Melaleuca	Average Health	Moderate Suitability			Senior Center
1572	3	Japanese Maple	Average Health	Moderate Suitability			Senior Center
1573	3	Japanese Maple	Average Health	Moderate Suitability			Senior Center
1574	3	Japanese Maple	Average Health	Moderate Suitability			Senior Center
1575	5	Sycamore	Average Health	Moderate Suitability			Senior Center
1576	3	Sycamore	Average Health	Moderate Suitability			Senior Center
1577	4	Melaleuca	Average Health	Moderate Suitability			Childcare Center
1578	6	Sycamore	Average Health	Moderate Suitability			Childcare Center
1579	4	Sycamore	Average Health	Moderate Suitability			Childcare Center
1580	5	Sycamore	Average Health	Moderate Suitability			Childcare Center
1581	4	Sycamore	Average Health	Moderate Suitability			Childcare Center
1582	2	Crape Myrtle	Average Health	Moderate Suitability			Childcare Center
1583	2	Crape Myrtle	Average Health	Moderate Suitability			Childcare Center
1584	25	Black Walnut	Fair Health	Moderate Suitability		288	Childcare Center
1585	13	Pine	Fair Health	Moderate Suitability			Childcare Center
1586	10	Coast Live Oak	Average Health	Good Suitability			Childcare Center
1587	2	Podocarpus	Average Health	Moderate Suitability			Childcare Center
1588	4	Sycamore	Average Health	Moderate Suitability			Childcare Center
1589	11	Coast Live Oak	Average Health	Good Suitability			Childcare Center
1590	4	Sycamore	Average Health	Moderate Suitability			Childcare Center
1591	20	Black Walnut	Fair Health	Moderate Suitability		289	Childcare Center
1592	4	Melaleuca	Average Health	Moderate Suitability			Senior Center

^{*} Comments: AP= Aphids, BB= Bark Beetles, BC= Buried Root Collar, BF= Previous Branch Failure, CB= Crossed Branches, CD= Co-Dominant Stems, DE= Decay, GR= Girdling Root(s), HZ= Hazardous, IC= Inspect Cables, II= Insect Infestation, LN= Leaning, LT= Lions Tailed, MN = Monitor, MS=Multi-Stemed, NP= Neutral Plane Crack, PI= Poor Irrigation, RC= Root Collar, RM= Remove, SC= Stress Cracks, TC= Tightly-Crowded Branches, TP= Topped

<u>Tag</u>	<u>Diameter</u>	Common Name	<u>Health</u>	<u>Preservation</u>	Comments *	<u>Picture</u>	<u>Location</u>
1593	13	Coast Live Oak	Average Health	Good Suitability			Senior Center
1594	2	Podocarpus	Average Health	Moderate Suitability			Senior Center
1595	2	Podocarpus	Average Health	Moderate Suitability			Senior Center
1596	3	Coast Live Oak	Average Health	Good Suitability			Senior Center
1597	2	Podocarpus	Fair Health	Moderate Suitability			Senior Center
1598	4	Melaleuca	Average Health	Moderate Suitability			Senior Center
1599	2	Podocarpus	Fair Health	Moderate Suitability			Senior Center
1600	2	Podocarpus	Fair Health	Moderate Suitability			Senior Center
1601	2	Podocarpus	Fair Health	Moderate Suitability			Senior Center
1602	4	Melaleuca	Average Health	Moderate Suitability			Senior Center
1603	3	Melaleuca	Average Health	Moderate Suitability			Senior Center
1604	4	Sycamore	Average Health	Moderate Suitability			Senior Center
1605	5	Sycamore	Average Health	Moderate Suitability			Senior Center
1606	4	Sycamore	Average Health	Moderate Suitability			Senior Center
1607	3	Pear	Average Health	Moderate Suitability			Senior Center
1608	3	Pear	Average Health	Moderate Suitability			Senior Center
1609	2	Pear	Average Health	Moderate Suitability			Senior Center
1610	4	Italian Cypress	Average Health	Moderate Suitability			Rock Church
1611	4	Italian Cypress	Average Health	Moderate Suitability			Rock Church
1612	4	Italian Cypress	Average Health	Moderate Suitability			Rock Church
1613	24	Elm	Average Health	Moderate Suitability		290	Rock Church
1614	24	Pepper	Dead	Poor Suitability	HZ, RM	291	Rock Church
1615	28	Coast Live Oak	Good Health	Good Suitability		292	Rock Church
1616	30	Coast Live Oak	Good Health	Good Suitability		292	Rock Church
1617	15	Coast Live Oak	Average Health	Good Suitability			Rock Church
1618	17	Elm	Average Health	Moderate Suitability			Rock Church
1619	17	Elm	Average Health	Moderate Suitability			Rock Church
1620	21	Elm	Average Health	Moderate Suitability		293	Rock Church
1621	21	Elm	Average Health	Moderate Suitability		294	Rock Church
1622	21	Elm	Average Health	Moderate Suitability		295	Rock Church
1623	28	Elm	Average Health	Moderate Suitability		297	Rock Church
1624	15	Camphor	Average Health	Moderate Suitability			Rock Church
1625	5	Italian Cypress	Average Health	Moderate Suitability			Rock Church
1626	5	Italian Cypress	Average Health	Moderate Suitability			Rock Church
1627	4	Italian Cypress	Average Health	Moderate Suitability			Rock Church
1628	5	Italian Cypress	Average Health	Moderate Suitability			Rock Church

^{*} Comments: AP= Aphids, BB= Bark Beetles, BC= Buried Root Collar, BF= Previous Branch Failure, CB= Crossed Branches, CD= Co-Dominant Stems, DE= Decay, GR= Girdling Root(s), HZ= Hazardous, IC= Inspect Cables, II= Insect Infestation, LN= Leaning, LT= Lions Tailed, MN = Monitor, MS=Multi-Stemed, NP= Neutral Plane Crack, PI= Poor Irrigation, RC= Root Collar, RM= Remove, SC= Stress Cracks, TC= Tightly-Crowded Branches, TP= Topped

<u>Tag Diameter Common Name Health Preservation Comments * Picture Location</u>

Total Number Of Trees: 771 Average Diameter: 14.20

* Comments: AP= Aphids, BB= Bark Beetles, BC= Buried Root Collar, BF= Previous Branch Failure, CB= Crossed Branches, CD= Co-Dominant Stems, DE= Decay, GR= Girdling Root(s), HZ= Hazardous, IC= Inspect Cables, II= Insect Infestation, LN= Leaning, LT= Lions Tailed, MN = Monitor, MS=Multi-Stemed, NP= Neutral Plane Crack, PI= Poor Irrigation, RC= Root Collar, RM= Remove, SC= Stress Cracks, TC= Tightly-Crowded Branches, TP= Topped

Hazard Report for

Rengstorff Park, Childcare Center, Senior Center and Rock Church

Report Description: In the opinion of the Arborist the following trees are deemed hazardous. These trees

pose a greater than average risk to property or life.

<u>Tag</u>	<u>Diameter</u>	Common_name	<u>Comment</u>	<u>Waypoint</u>	<u>Picture</u>
896	36	Coast Live Oak	NP,HZ	Rengstorff Park	158
901	43	Stone Pine	RM,BF,HZ	Rengstorff Park	161, 162
932	16	Sweet Gum	CD,HZ	Rengstorff Park	
939	16	Southern Magnolia	LN,HZ	Rengstorff Park	
981	37	River Red Gum	II,SC,HZ,LT,MN	Rengstorff Park	188
982	53	River Red Gum	II,SC,HZ,LT,MN	Rengstorff Park	188
990	56	Eucalyptus	II,SC,HZ,LT,MN	Rengstorff Park	191
991	70	Eucalyptus	II,SC,HZ,LT,MN	Rengstorff Park	191
999	53	River Red Gum	II,SC,HZ,LT,MN	Rengstorff Park	194
1000	56	River Red Gum	II,SC,HZ,LT,MN	Rengstorff Park	194
1001	51	River Red Gum	II,SC,HZ,LT,MN	Rengstorff Park	195
1256	17	Monterey Pine	LN, HZ	Rengstorff Park	125
1397	32	Carob	HZ, RM	Rengstorff Park	245
1423	30	River Red Gum	II,SC,HZ,LT,MN	Rengstorff Park	257
1424	20	River Red Gum	II,SC,HZ,LT,MN	Rengstorff Park	257
1425	29	Red Ironbark	II,SC,HZ,LT,MN	Rengstorff Park	257
1426	28	Red Ironbark	II,SC,HZ,LT,MN	Rengstorff Park	258
1427	22	River Red Gum	II,SC,HZ,LT,MN	Rengstorff Park	259
1428	21	River Red Gum	II,SC,HZ,LT,MN	Rengstorff Park	259
1429	53	River Red Gum	II,SC,HZ,LT,MN	Rengstorff Park	260
1480	22	Black Walnut	RM, HZ	Senior Center	283
1614	24	Pepper	HZ, RM	Rock Church	291

^{*} Comments: AP= Aphids, BB= Bark Beetles, BC= Buried Root Collar, BF= Previous Branch Failure, CB= Crossed Branches, CD= Co-Dominant Stems, DE= Decay, GR= Girdling Root(s), HZ= Hazardous, IC= Inspect Cables, II= Insect Infestation, LN= Leaning, LT= Lions Tailed, MN = Monitor, MS=Multi-Stemed, NP= Neutral Plane Crack, PI= Poor Irrigation, RC= Root Collar, RM= Remove, SC= Stress Cracks, TC= Tightly-Crowded Branches, TP= Topped

Count by Species

Printed Date: 11/23/2010 Last modified: 11/22/2010

Report Description:

Common Name	<u>Genus</u>	Species/Varitey	<u>Sum</u>
Alder	Alnus	x	2
Arbutus	Arbutus	Х	3
Ash	Fraxinus	Х	1
Birch	Betula	pendula	8
Black Walnut	Juglans	Х	13
Bottle Brush	Callistemon	Х	26
Bradford Pear	Pyrus	calleryana	12
Camphor	Cinnamomum	camphora	1
Carob	Ceratonia	siliqua	4
Cedar	Cedrus	Х	1
Cherry	Prunus	Х	4
Chinese Elm	Ulmus	parvifolia	16
Chinese Tallow	Triadica	sebifera	17
Coast Live Oak	Quercus	agrifolia	34
Crabapple	Malus	Х	16
Crape Myrtle	Lagerstroemia	Х	36
Date Palm	Pheonix	dactylifera	1
Elm	Ulmus	Х	7
Eucalyptus	Eucalyptus	Х	13
Fir	Abies	Х	1
Ginko	Ginkgo	biloba	19
Hackberry	Celtis	Х	12
Italian Cypress	Cupressus	sempervirens	7
Japanese Maple	Acer	palmatum	19
Juniper	Juniperus	Х	5
Laurel	Laurus	nobilis	1
Mayten	Maytenus		14
Melaleuca	Melaleuca	Х	10
Monterey Pine	Pinus	radiata	47
<u>Oak</u>	Quercus	Х	16
Olive	Olea	europaea	10
Pear	Pyrus	Х	20
Pepper	Schinus	molle	2
Pine	Pinus	Х	13

Count by Species

ocult by openies			
Printed Date: 11/23/2010	Last modified: 11/22/2010		
Common Name	<u>Genus</u>	Species/Varitey	<u>Sum</u>
Pittosporum	Pittosporaceae	Х	7
Podocarpus	Podocarpaceae	Х	19
<u>Poplar</u>	Populus	nigra	1
Privet	Ligustrum	Х	2
Prunus	Prunus	Х	82
Purple-Leaf Plum	Prunus	atropurpurea	5
Queen Palm	Syagrus	romanzoffiana	1
Red Ironbark	Eucalyptus	sideroxylon	2
Redwood	Sequoia	sempervirens	64
River Red Gum	Eucalyptus	camaldulensis	10
Saucer Magnolia	Magnolia	soulangeana	5
Sequoia	Sequioadendron	giganteum	2
Silk Oak	Grevillea	robusta	32
Southern Magnolia	Magnolia	Х	46
Stone Pine	Pinus	pinea	16
Sweet Gum	Liquidambar	Х	18
Sycamore	Platanus	platanaceae	27
Tristainia	Lophostemon	confertus	2
Tulip Poplar	Liriodendron	tulipifera	16
Valley Oak	Quercus	lobata	3

Brinted Date: 11/22/2	2010	Loot me	dified: 10/20/2010			
Printed Date: 11/22/2 Report Description:	2010	Last mo	odified: 10/20/2010			
Alder						
common_name	diamete	r taç	health_desc	genus	varite	у
Average Health	:	Alder				
Alder	16	1335	Average Health	Alnus	x	
Alder	25	1339	Average Health	Alnus	х	
			-	tal for Average	Health:	2
			Total for	Alder:		2
Arbutus						
Common_name	diamete	r taç	health_desc	genus	varite	у
Average Health	:	Arbut	us			
Average Health Arbutus	:	Arbut	US Average Health	Arbutus	x	
_				Arbutus Arbutus	x x	
Arbutus Arbutus	4	1002	Average Health			
Arbutus	4	1002 1003	Average Health Average Health Average Health	Arbutus	x x	3
Arbutus Arbutus	4	1002 1003	Average Health Average Health Average Health	Arbutus Arbutus tal for Average	x x	3
Arbutus Arbutus Arbutus	4	1002 1003	Average Health Average Health Average Health Tot	Arbutus Arbutus tal for Average	x x	
Arbutus Arbutus	4	1002 1003 1004	Average Health Average Health Average Health Total Total for	Arbutus Arbutus tal for Average	x x	3
Arbutus Arbutus Arbutus Arbutus Common_name	4 4 5	1002 1003 1004	Average Health Average Health Average Health Total Total for	Arbutus Arbutus tal for Average Arbutus:	x x Health:	3
Arbutus Arbutus Arbutus Arbutus Ash Common_name Fair Health	diamete	1002 1003 1004	Average Health Average Health Total Total for	Arbutus Arbutus tal for Average Arbutus: genus	x Health:	3
Arbutus Arbutus Arbutus Arbutus Common_name	4 4 5	1002 1003 1004	Average Health Average Health Average Health Total Total for	Arbutus Arbutus tal for Average Arbutus: genus Fraxinus	x x Health:	3
Arbutus Arbutus Arbutus Ash Common_name Fair Health	diamete	1002 1003 1004	Average Health Average Health Total Total for	Arbutus Arbutus tal for Average Arbutus: genus Fraxinus Total for Fa	x x Health:	3

Birch

Common_name diameter tag health_desc genus varitey

Average Health : Birch

Birch	5	891	Average Health	Betula	pendula
Birch	8	892	Average Health	Betula	pendula
Birch	5	893	Average Health	Betula	pendula
Birch	9	894	Average Health	Betula	pendula
Birch	3	895	Average Health	Betula	pendula
Birch	3	1530	Average Health	Betula	pendula
Birch	3	1531	Average Health	Betula	pendula
Birch	3	1532	Average Health	Betula	pendula

Total for Average Health: 8

8

Total for Birch:

Black Walnut

Common_name diameter tag health_desc genus varitey

Average Health : Black Walnut

Black Walnut 20 1452 Average Health Juglans x

Total for Average Health: 1

Fair Health : Black Walnut

Black Walnut	31	1463	Fair Health	Juglans	х	
Black Walnut	25	1465	Fair Health	Juglans	Х	
Black Walnut	24	1468	Fair Health	Juglans	Х	
Black Walnut	17	1472	Fair Health	Juglans	Х	
Black Walnut	23	1474	Fair Health	Juglans	Х	
Black Walnut	20	1481	Fair Health	Juglans	Х	
Black Walnut	14	1482	Fair Health	Juglans	Х	
Black Walnut	25	1584	Fair Health	Juglans	Х	
Black Walnut	20	1591	Fair Health	Juglans	Х	

Total for Fair Health: 9

Poor Health : Black Walnut

Black Walnut 26 1470 Poor Health Juglans x

Black Walnut 26 1475 Poor Health Juglans x
Black Walnut 22 1480 Poor Health Juglans x

Total for Poor Health: 3

Total for Black Walnut: 13

Bottle Brush

Common_name	diameter tag	health_desc	genus	varitey	

Average Health		: Bottle	Brush			
Bottle Brush	6	1223	Average Health	Callistemon	X	
Bottle Brush	6	1224	Average Health	Callistemon	Х	
Bottle Brush	6	1225	Average Health	Callistemon	Х	
Bottle Brush	4	1226	Average Health	Callistemon	Х	
Bottle Brush	4	1227	Average Health	Callistemon	Х	
Bottle Brush	6	1228	Average Health	Callistemon	Х	
Bottle Brush	6	1229	Average Health	Callistemon	Х	
Bottle Brush	6	1230	Average Health	Callistemon	Х	
Bottle Brush	6	1231	Average Health	Callistemon	Х	
Bottle Brush	6	1232	Average Health	Callistemon	Х	
Bottle Brush	8	1233	Average Health	Callistemon	Х	
Bottle Brush	4	1234	Average Health	Callistemon	Х	
Bottle Brush	3	1235	Average Health	Callistemon	Х	
Bottle Brush	4	1236	Average Health	Callistemon	Х	
Bottle Brush	6	1237	Average Health	Callistemon	Х	
Bottle Brush	8	1238	Average Health	Callistemon	Х	
Bottle Brush	6	1239	Average Health	Callistemon	Х	
Bottle Brush	4	1240	Average Health	Callistemon	Х	
Bottle Brush	4	1241	Average Health	Callistemon	Х	
Bottle Brush	4	1242	Average Health	Callistemon	Х	
Bottle Brush	4	1243	Average Health	Callistemon	Х	
Bottle Brush	6	1302	Average Health	Callistemon	Х	
Bottle Brush	9	1303	Average Health	Callistemon	Х	
Bottle Brush	6	1304	Average Health	Callistemon	Х	
Bottle Brush	3	1305	Average Health	Callistemon	Х	
Bottle Brush	6	1306	Average Health	Callistemon	Х	

Total for Average Health: 26

Total for Bottle Brush: 26

Bradford Pear

Common_name diameter tag health_desc genus varitey

Average Health : Bradford Pear

Bradford Pear	15	871	Average Health	Pyrus	calleryana
Bradford Pear	2	872	Average Health	Pyrus	calleryana
Bradford Pear	12	873	Average Health	Pyrus	calleryana
Bradford Pear	13	874	Average Health	Pyrus	calleryana
Bradford Pear	14	875	Average Health	Pyrus	calleryana
Bradford Pear	2	876	Average Health	Pyrus	calleryana
Bradford Pear	11	877	Average Health	Pyrus	calleryana
Bradford Pear	11	878	Average Health	Pyrus	calleryana
Bradford Pear	14	879	Average Health	Pyrus	calleryana
Bradford Pear	11	880	Average Health	Pyrus	calleryana
Bradford Pear	21	881	Average Health	Pyrus	calleryana
Bradford Pear	13	882	Average Health	Pyrus	calleryana

Total for Average Health: 12

Total for Bradford Pear: 12

Camphor

Common_name diameter tag health_desc genus varitey

Average Health : Camphor

Camphor 15 1624 Average Health Cinnamomum camphora

Total for Average Health: 1

Total for Camphor: 1

Carob

Common name diameter tag health desc genus varitey

Average Health : Carob

Carob	38	1375	Average Health	Ceratonia	siliqua
Carob	18	1395	Average Health	Ceratonia	siliqua
Carob	32	1397	Average Health	Ceratonia	siliqua

Total for Average Health: 3

Fair Health : Carob

Carob 27 1332 Fair Health Ceratonia siliqua

Total for Fair Health: 1

Total for Carob: 4

Cedar

Common_name diameter tag health_desc genus varitey

Average Health : Cedar

Cedar 27 1505 Average Health Cedrus x

Total for Average Health: 1

Total for Cedar: 1

Cherry

Common_name diameter tag health_desc genus varitey

Average Health : Cherry

Cherry	10	1091	Average Health	Prunus	X
Cherry	10	1092	Average Health	Prunus	X
Cherry	11	1093	Average Health	Prunus	X
Cherry	10	1094	Average Health	Prunus	х

Total for Average Health: 4

Total for Cherry: 4

Chinese Elm

Common_name diameter tag health_desc genus varitey

Average Health : Chinese Elm

Chinese Elm	15	1180	Average Health	Ulmus	parvifolia
Chinese Elm	18	1181	Average Health	Ulmus	parvifolia
Chinese Elm	13	1182	Average Health	Ulmus	parvifolia
Chinese Elm	15	1187	Average Health	Ulmus	parvifolia
Chinese Elm	17	1188	Average Health	Ulmus	parvifolia
Chinese Elm	17	1189	Average Health	Ulmus	parvifolia

Chinese Elm	15	1190	Average Health	Ulmus	parvifolia
Chinese Elm	20	1401	Average Health	Ulmus	parvifolia
Chinese Elm	20	1402	Average Health	Ulmus	parvifolia
Chinese Elm	21	1403	Average Health	Ulmus	parvifolia
Chinese Elm	22	1404	Average Health	Ulmus	parvifolia
Chinese Elm	13	1405	Average Health	Ulmus	parvifolia
Chinese Elm	17	1406	Average Health	Ulmus	parvifolia
Chinese Elm	4	1407	Average Health	Ulmus	parvifolia
Chinese Elm	17	1408	Average Health	Ulmus	parvifolia
Chinese Elm	19	1409	Average Health	Ulmus	parvifolia

Total for Average Health: 16

Total for Chinese Elm: 16

Chinese Tallow

Common_name diameter tag health_desc genus varitey

Average Health : Chinese Tallow

Chinese Tallow	11	883	Average Health	Triadica	sebifera
Chinese Tallow	9	884	Average Health	Triadica	sebifera
Chinese Tallow	9	885	Average Health	Triadica	sebifera
Chinese Tallow	9	886	Average Health	Triadica	sebifera
Chinese Tallow	6	993	Average Health	Triadica	sebifera
Chinese Tallow	10	994	Average Health	Triadica	sebifera
Chinese Tallow	9	995	Average Health	Triadica	sebifera
Chinese Tallow	6	996	Average Health	Triadica	sebifera
Chinese Tallow	13	998	Average Health	Triadica	sebifera
Chinese Tallow	9	1083	Average Health	Triadica	sebifera
Chinese Tallow	13	1084	Average Health	Triadica	sebifera
Chinese Tallow	8	1098	Average Health	Triadica	sebifera
Chinese Tallow	9	1099	Average Health	Triadica	sebifera
Chinese Tallow	8	1100	Average Health	Triadica	sebifera
Chinese Tallow	13	1445	Average Health	Triadica	sebifera
Chinese Tallow	8	1447	Average Health	Triadica	sebifera
Chinese Tallow	7	1448	Average Health	Triadica	sebifera

Total for Average Health: 17

Total for Chinese Tallow: 17

Coast Live Oak

Common_name diameter tag health_desc genus varitey

Average Health : Coast Live Oak

Coast Live Oak	6	985	Average Health	Quercus	agrifolia
Coast Live Oak	8	987	Average Health	Quercus	agrifolia
Coast Live Oak	15	1453	Average Health	Quercus	agrifolia
Coast Live Oak	18	1454	Average Health	Quercus	agrifolia
Coast Live Oak	16	1456	Average Health	Quercus	agrifolia
Coast Live Oak	22	1457	Average Health	Quercus	agrifolia
Coast Live Oak	40	1458	Average Health	Quercus	agrifolia
Coast Live Oak	4	1464	Average Health	Quercus	agrifolia
Coast Live Oak	4	1467	Average Health	Quercus	agrifolia
Coast Live Oak	4	1469	Average Health	Quercus	agrifolia
Coast Live Oak	10	1586	Average Health	Quercus	agrifolia
Coast Live Oak	11	1589	Average Health	Quercus	agrifolia
Coast Live Oak	13	1593	Average Health	Quercus	agrifolia
Coast Live Oak	3	1596	Average Health	Quercus	agrifolia
Coast Live Oak	15	1617	Average Health	Quercus	agrifolia

Total for Average Health: 15

Fair Health : Coast Live Oak

Coast Live Oak	7	983	Fair Health	Quercus	agrifolia	
Coast Live Oak	6	984	Fair Health	Quercus	agrifolia	
Coast Live Oak	6	986	Fair Health	Quercus	agrifolia	

Total for Fair Health: 3

Good Health : Coast Live Oak

Coast Live Oak	36	896	Good Health	Quercus	agrifolia	
Coast Live Oak	48	898	Good Health	Quercus	agrifolia	
Coast Live Oak	28	900	Good Health	Quercus	agrifolia	
Coast Live Oak	47	1005	Good Health	Quercus	agrifolia	
Coast Live Oak	33	1008	Good Health	Quercus	agrifolia	
Coast Live Oak	45	1009	Good Health	Quercus	agrifolia	
Coast Live Oak	39	1013	Good Health	Quercus	agrifolia	
Coast Live Oak	19	1064	Good Health	Quercus	agrifolia	
Coast Live Oak	36	1160	Good Health	Quercus	agrifolia	
Coast Live Oak	24	1277	Good Health	Quercus	agrifolia	
Coast Live Oak	16	1287	Good Health	Quercus	agrifolia	
Coast Live Oak	45	1290	Good Health	Quercus	agrifolia	
Coast Live Oak	18	1331	Good Health	Quercus	agrifolia	
Coast Live Oak	27	1341	Good Health	Quercus	agrifolia	
Coast Live Oak	28	1615	Good Health	Quercus	agrifolia	
Coast Live Oak	30	1616	Good Health	Quercus	agrifolia	

Total for Good Health: 16

Total for Coast Live Oak: 34

Crabapple

Common_name diameter tag health_desc genus varitey

Average Health Crabapple Crabapple 4 960 Average Health Malus Х Crabapple 4 961 Average Health Malus Х 4 962 Crabapple Average Health Malus Х Crabapple 4 963 Average Health Malus х Crabapple 3 964 Malus Average Health Х 4 965 Crabapple Average Health Malus Х 3 966 Crabapple Average Health Malus Х Crabapple 4 967 Average Health Malus Х 968 3 Crabapple Average Health Malus х 969 Crabapple 4 Average Health Malus Х Crabapple 8 1006 Malus Average Health Х Crabapple 5 1007 Malus Average Health Х 2 1078 Malus Crabapple Average Health Х Crabapple 4 1079 Average Health Malus Х Crabapple 2 1095 Average Health Malus Х 3 1096 Crabapple Average Health Malus Х

Total for Average Health: 16

Total for Crabapple: 16

Crape Myrtle

Common_name diameter tag health_desc genus varitey

Average Health : Crape Myrtle

Crape Myrtle	7	915	Average Health	Lagerstroemia	X	
Crape Myrtle	7	916	Average Health	Lagerstroemia	Х	
Crape Myrtle	6	917	Average Health	Lagerstroemia	Х	
Crape Myrtle	1	920	Average Health	Lagerstroemia	Х	
Crape Myrtle	1	1085	Average Health	Lagerstroemia	Х	
Crape Myrtle	2	1504	Average Health	Lagerstroemia	Х	
Crape Myrtle	2	1506	Average Health	Lagerstroemia	Х	
Crape Myrtle	2	1511	Average Health	Lagerstroemia	х	

Crape Myrtle	2	1516	Average Health	Lagerstroemia	x
Crape Myrtle	2	1517	Average Health	Lagerstroemia	x
Crape Myrtle	2	1518	Average Health	Lagerstroemia	х
Crape Myrtle	2	1521	Average Health	Lagerstroemia	x
Crape Myrtle	2	1522	Average Health	Lagerstroemia	х
Crape Myrtle	3	1523	Average Health	Lagerstroemia	х
Crape Myrtle	3	1524	Average Health	Lagerstroemia	х
Crape Myrtle	3	1525	Average Health	Lagerstroemia	х
Crape Myrtle	2	1538	Average Health	Lagerstroemia	х
Crape Myrtle	2	1539	Average Health	Lagerstroemia	х
Crape Myrtle	2	1540	Average Health	Lagerstroemia	х
Crape Myrtle	2	1541	Average Health	Lagerstroemia	х
Crape Myrtle	2	1542	Average Health	Lagerstroemia	X
Crape Myrtle	2	1543	Average Health	Lagerstroemia	х
Crape Myrtle	2	1544	Average Health	Lagerstroemia	х
Crape Myrtle	3	1546	Average Health	Lagerstroemia	х
Crape Myrtle	3	1547	Average Health	Lagerstroemia	х
Crape Myrtle	2	1548	Average Health	Lagerstroemia	x
Crape Myrtle	3	1549	Average Health	Lagerstroemia	X
Crape Myrtle	3	1554	Average Health	Lagerstroemia	X
Crape Myrtle	2	1555	Average Health	Lagerstroemia	х
Crape Myrtle	2	1557	Average Health	Lagerstroemia	х
Crape Myrtle	3	1558	Average Health	Lagerstroemia	х
Crape Myrtle	3	1559	Average Health	Lagerstroemia	х
Crape Myrtle	3	1560	Average Health	Lagerstroemia	х
Crape Myrtle	2	1582	Average Health	Lagerstroemia	х
Crape Myrtle	2	1583	Average Health	Lagerstroemia	х

Total for Average Health: 35

Fair Health : Crape Myrtle

Crape Myrtle 7 1394 Fair Health Lagerstroemia x

Total for Fair Health: 1

Total for Crape Myrtle: 36

Date Palm

Common_name diameter tag health_desc genus varite

Average Health : Date Palm

Date Palm 31 989 Average Health Pheonix dactylifera

Total for Average Health: 1

Total for Date Palm:	
-----------------------------	--

1

ΕI	m

Common_name	ula	imeter tag	nealtn_desc	genus	varitey	
Average Health		: Elm				
Elm	24	1613	Average Health	Ulmus	X	
Elm	17	1618	Average Health	Ulmus	х	
Elm	17	1619	Average Health	Ulmus	х	
Elm	21	1620	Average Health	Ulmus	х	
Elm	21	1621	Average Health	Ulmus	х	
Elm	21	1622	Average Health	Ulmus	х	
Elm	28	1623	Average Health	Ulmus	х	

Total for Average Health: 7

Total for Elm: 7

Eucalyptus

Common_name diameter tag health_desc genus varitey

Average Health : Eucalyptus

Eucalyptus	56	990	Average Health	Eucalyptus	x
Eucalyptus	70	991	Average Health	Eucalyptus	х
Eucalyptus	36	992	Average Health	Eucalyptus	х
Eucalyptus	20	1173	Average Health	Eucalyptus	х
Eucalyptus	30	1174	Average Health	Eucalyptus	х
Eucalyptus	22	1175	Average Health	Eucalyptus	х
Eucalyptus	26	1176	Average Health	Eucalyptus	х
Eucalyptus	21	1177	Average Health	Eucalyptus	х
Eucalyptus	26	1178	Average Health	Eucalyptus	х
Eucalyptus	11	1365	Average Health	Eucalyptus	х

Total for Average Health: 10

Fair Health : Eucalyptus

Eucalyptus	18	1361	Fair Health	Eucalyptus	x	
Eucalyptus	34	1362	Fair Health	Eucalyptus	Х	
Eucalyptus	27	1364	Fair Health	Eucalyptus	х	

Total for Fair Health: 3

Total for Eucalyptus:

13

Fir

Common_name diameter tag health_desc genus varitey

Fair Health : Fir

Fir _____ 10 1351 Fair Health Abies x

Total for Fair Health: 1

Total for Fir:

Ginko

Common_name diameter tag health_desc genus varitey

Average Health : Ginko

Ginko	16	1115	Average Health	Ginkgo	biloba
Ginko	14	1116	Average Health	Ginkgo	biloba
Ginko	17	1117	Average Health	Ginkgo	biloba
Ginko	12	1118	Average Health	Ginkgo	biloba
Ginko	14	1319	Average Health	Ginkgo	biloba
Ginko	14	1326	Average Health	Ginkgo	biloba
Ginko	25	1327	Average Health	Ginkgo	biloba
Ginko	18	1328	Average Health	Ginkgo	biloba
Ginko	19	1337	Average Health	Ginkgo	biloba
Ginko	21	1338	Average Health	Ginkgo	biloba
Ginko	21	1340	Average Health	Ginkgo	biloba
Ginko	3	1526	Average Health	Ginkgo	biloba
Ginko	3	1527	Average Health	Ginkgo	biloba
Ginko	3	1528	Average Health	Ginkgo	biloba
Ginko	3	1529	Average Health	Ginkgo	biloba
Ginko	2	1550	Average Health	Ginkgo	biloba
Ginko	2	1551	Average Health	Ginkgo	biloba
Ginko	2	1552	Average Health	Ginkgo	biloba
Ginko	2	1553	Average Health	Ginkgo	biloba

Total for Average Health: 19

Total for Ginko: 19

Hackberry

Common_name diameter tag health_desc genus varitey

Average Health : Hackberry

Hackberry	10	919	Average Health	Celtis	x
Hackberry	9	946	Average Health	Celtis	Х
Hackberry	11	947	Average Health	Celtis	х
Hackberry	13	948	Average Health	Celtis	х
Hackberry	11	949	Average Health	Celtis	х
Hackberry	12	950	Average Health	Celtis	х
Hackberry	11	951	Average Health	Celtis	х
Hackberry	12	952	Average Health	Celtis	х
Hackberry	12	953	Average Health	Celtis	х
Hackberry	15	955	Average Health	Celtis	х
Hackberry	10	956	Average Health	Celtis	х
Hackberry	9	957	Average Health	Celtis	х

Total for Average Health: 12

Total for Hackberry: 12

Italian Cypress

Common_name diameter tag health_desc genus varitey

Average Health : Italian Cypress

Italian Cypress	4	1610	Average Health	Cupressus	sempervirens
Italian Cypress	4	1611	Average Health	Cupressus	sempervirens
Italian Cypress	4	1612	Average Health	Cupressus	sempervirens
Italian Cypress	5	1625	Average Health	Cupressus	sempervirens
Italian Cypress	5	1626	Average Health	Cupressus	sempervirens
Italian Cypress	4	1627	Average Health	Cupressus	sempervirens
Italian Cypress	5	1628	Average Health	Cupressus	sempervirens

Total for Average Health: 7

Total for Italian Cypress: 7

Japanese Maple

Common_name diameter tag health_desc genus varitey

Average Health : Japanese Maple

Japanese Maple	8	1347	Average Health	Acer	palmatum
Japanese Maple	7	1349	Average Health	Acer	palmatum
Japanese Maple	11	1350	Average Health	Acer	palmatum
Japanese Maple	3	1486	Average Health	Acer	palmatum
Japanese Maple	3	1483	Average Health	Acer	palmatum
Japanese Maple	3	1484	Average Health	Acer	palmatum
Japanese Maple	3	1485	Average Health	Acer	palmatum
Japanese Maple	2	1488	Average Health	Acer	palmatum
Japanese Maple	4	1487	Average Health	Acer	palmatum
Japanese Maple	2	1498	Average Health	Acer	palmatum
Japanese Maple	3	1499	Average Health	Acer	palmatum
Japanese Maple	2	1494	Average Health	Acer	palmatum
Japanese Maple	3	1495	Average Health	Acer	palmatum
Japanese Maple	3	1496	Average Health	Acer	palmatum
Japanese Maple	4	1497	Average Health	Acer	palmatum
Japanese Maple	3	1572	Average Health	Acer	palmatum
Japanese Maple	3	1573	Average Health	Acer	palmatum
Japanese Maple	3	1574	Average Health	Acer	palmatum

Total for Average Health: 18

Fair Health : Japanese Maple

Japanese Maple 7 1348 Fair Health Acer palmatum

Total for Fair Health: 1

Total for Japanese Maple: 19

Juniper

Common_name diameter tag health_desc genus varitey

Average Health : Juniper

Juniper	10	1358	Average Health	Juniperus	X	
Juniper	11	1390	Average Health	Juniperus	х	
Juniper	11	1391	Average Health	Juniperus	х	
Juniper	18	1392	Average Health	Juniperus	х	
Juniper	18	1393	Average Health	Juniperus	х	

Total for Average Health: 5

Total for Juniper: 5

Common_name

diameter

health desc

genus

Laurel Common_name diameter health_desc genus varitey **Average Health** Laurel 7 914 Laurel Average Health nobilis 1 **Total for Average Health: Total for Laurel:** 1 Mayten health_desc Common_name diameter genus varitey **Average Health** Mayten 21 1310 Average Health Mayten Maytenus 19 Mayten 1311 Average Health Maytenus Mayten 22 1313 Average Health Maytenus Maytenus Mayten 16 1314 Average Health 20 1315 Average Health Maytenus Mayten 16 1316 Maytenus Average Health Mayten 19 1317 Average Health Maytenus Mayten Mayten 20 1318 Average Health Maytenus 1321 16 Maytenus Mayten Average Health 1322 8 Mayten Average Health Maytenus 15 1323 Mayten Average Health Maytenus Mayten 20 1324 Average Health Maytenus 8 Maytenus Mayten 1325 Average Health 13 **Total for Average Health: Fair Health** Mayten Mayten 11 1312 Fair Health Maytenus **Total for Fair Health:** 1 **Total for Mayten:** 14 Melaleuca

varitey

Average Health : Melaleuca

Melaleuca	4	1333	Average Health	Melaleuca	X	
Melaleuca	4	1545	Average Health	Melaleuca	х	
Melaleuca	4	1556	Average Health	Melaleuca	х	
Melaleuca	5	1561	Average Health	Melaleuca	х	
Melaleuca	5	1571	Average Health	Melaleuca	х	
Melaleuca	4	1577	Average Health	Melaleuca	х	
Melaleuca	4	1592	Average Health	Melaleuca	х	
Melaleuca	4	1598	Average Health	Melaleuca	х	
Melaleuca	4	1602	Average Health	Melaleuca	х	
Melaleuca	3	1603	Average Health	Melaleuca	х	

Total for Average Health: 10

Total for Melaleuca: 10

Monterey Pine

Common_name diameter tag health_desc genus varitey

Average Health : Monterey Pine

Monterey Pine	22	927	Average Health	Pinus	radiata
Monterey Pine	24	929	Average Health	Pinus	radiata
Monterey Pine	33	1066	Average Health	Pinus	radiata
Monterey Pine	26	1067	Average Health	Pinus	radiata
Monterey Pine	26	1068	Average Health	Pinus	radiata
Monterey Pine	24	1069	Average Health	Pinus	radiata
Monterey Pine	30	1070	Average Health	Pinus	radiata
Monterey Pine	33	1244	Average Health	Pinus	radiata
Monterey Pine	17	1245	Average Health	Pinus	radiata
Monterey Pine	29	1246	Average Health	Pinus	radiata
Monterey Pine	20	1247	Average Health	Pinus	radiata
Monterey Pine	20	1250	Average Health	Pinus	radiata
Monterey Pine	16	1252	Average Health	Pinus	radiata
Monterey Pine	16	1254	Average Health	Pinus	radiata
Monterey Pine	17	1256	Average Health	Pinus	radiata
Monterey Pine	25	1257	Average Health	Pinus	radiata
Monterey Pine	23	1260	Average Health	Pinus	radiata
Monterey Pine	25	1262	Average Health	Pinus	radiata
Monterey Pine	22	1264	Average Health	Pinus	radiata
Monterey Pine	23	1267	Average Health	Pinus	radiata
Monterey Pine	23	1269	Average Health	Pinus	radiata
Monterey Pine	19	1270	Average Health	Pinus	radiata

Monterey Pine	16	1273	Average Health	Pinus	radiata
Monterey Pine	26	1274	Average Health	Pinus	radiata
Monterey Pine	29	1279	Average Health	Pinus	radiata
Monterey Pine	26	1283	Average Health	Pinus	radiata
Monterey Pine	24	1329	Average Health	Pinus	radiata
Monterey Pine	20	1330	Average Health	Pinus	radiata
Monterey Pine	31	1376	Average Health	Pinus	radiata
Monterey Pine	26	1377	Average Health	Pinus	radiata
Monterey Pine	30	1378	Average Health	Pinus	radiata
Monterey Pine	34	1379	Average Health	Pinus	radiata
Monterey Pine	27	1380	Average Health	Pinus	radiata

Total for Average Health: 33

Fair Health : Monterey Pine

Monterey Pine	27	928	Fair Health	Pinus	radiata
Monterey Pine	15	1072	Fair Health	Pinus	radiata
Monterey Pine	22	1061	Fair Health	Pinus	radiata
Monterey Pine	32	1062	Fair Health	Pinus	radiata
Monterey Pine	15	1063	Fair Health	Pinus	radiata
Monterey Pine	27	1065	Fair Health	Pinus	radiata
Monterey Pine	19	1071	Fair Health	Pinus	radiata
Monterey Pine	15	1271	Fair Health	Pinus	radiata
Monterey Pine	29	1381	Fair Health	Pinus	radiata
Monterey Pine	32	1382	Fair Health	Pinus	radiata
Monterey Pine	27	1387	Fair Health	Pinus	radiata
Monterey Pine	32	1388	Fair Health	Pinus	radiata
Monterey Pine	44	1446	Fair Health	Pinus	radiata

Total for Fair Health: 13

Poor Health : Monterey Pine

Monterey Pine 38 1307 Poor Health Pinus radiata

Total for Poor Health: 1

Total for Monterey Pine: 47

Oak

Common_name diameter tag health_desc genus varitey

Average Health : Oak

Oak 15 918 Average Health Quercus x

Oak	10	921	Average Health	Quercus	x
Oak	12	925	Average Health	Quercus	х
Oak	10	1073	Average Health	Quercus	х
Oak	7	1074	Average Health	Quercus	х
Oak	4	1075	Average Health	Quercus	х
Oak	8	1076	Average Health	Quercus	х
Oak	10	1125	Average Health	Quercus	х
Oak	11	1126	Average Health	Quercus	Х
Oak	8	1127	Average Health	Quercus	х
Oak	1	1130	Average Health	Quercus	х
Oak	11	1191	Average Health	Quercus	х
Oak	14	1309	Average Health	Quercus	х
Oak	14	1334	Average Health	Quercus	х
Oak	22	1336	Average Health	Quercus	Х

Total for Average Health: 15

Good Health : Oak

Oak 16 988 Good Health Quercus x

Total for Good Health: 1

Total for Oak: 16

Olive

Common_name diameter tag health_desc genus varitey

Average Health : Olive

Olive	3	906	Average Health	Olea	europaea
Olive	4	907	Average Health	Olea	europaea
Olive	13	1353	Average Health	Olea	europaea
Olive	13	1354	Average Health	Olea	europaea
Olive	14	1355	Average Health	Olea	europaea
Olive	12	1356	Average Health	Olea	europaea
Olive	11	1357	Average Health	Olea	europaea

Total for Average Health: 7

Fair Health : Olive

Olive	27	903	Fair Health	Olea	europaea
Olive	25	904	Fair Health	Olea	europaea
Olive	24	905	Fair Health	Olea	europaea

Total for Fair Health: 3

Printed Date: 11/22/2010

Last modified: 10/20/2010

			Total for Olive:		10
ear					
ommon_name	diameter	tag	health_desc	genus	varitey
Average Health	: 1	Pear			
Pear	14	1184	Average Health	Pyrus	x
Pear	8	1185	Average Health	Pyrus	x
Pear	11	1186	Average Health	Pyrus	x
Pear	15	1344	Average Health	Pyrus	x
Pear	11	1345	Average Health	Pyrus	x
Pear	17	1346	Average Health	Pyrus	x
Pear	7	1507	Average Health	Pyrus	x
Pear	7	1508	Average Health	Pyrus	x
Pear	7	1509	Average Health	Pyrus	x
Pear	7	1512	Average Health	Pyrus	x
Pear	6	1513	Average Health	Pyrus	x
Pear	5	1514	Average Health	Pyrus	x
Pear	5	1515	Average Health	Pyrus	x
Pear	5	1519	Average Health	Pyrus	x
Pear	5	1520	Average Health	Pyrus	x
Pear	3	1607	Average Health	Pyrus	x
Pear	3	1608	Average Health	Pyrus	х
Pear	2	1609	Average Health	Pyrus	x
Fair Health	: 1	Pear	Tota	al for Averag	e Health: 18
Pear	4	1183	Fair Health	Pyrus	x
Pear	9	1343	Fair Health	Pyrus	х
				Total for F	air Health: 2
			Total for P	ear:	20
epper					
ommon_name	diameter	tag	health_desc	genus	varitey
Dead	: 1	Peppe	r		
Pepper	24	1614	Dead	Schinus	molle
- Is is				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	-

Page 18 of 33

Total for Dead:

1

Fair Health : Pepper

Pepper 76 1103 Fair Health Schinus molle

Total for Fair Health: 1

Total for Pepper: 2

Pine

Common_name diameter tag health_desc genus varitey

Average Health : Pine

Pine	38	938	Average Health	Pinus	X
FIIIe	30	300	Average Health	Fillus	^
Pine	3	1461	Average Health	Pinus	X
Pine	2	1462	Average Health	Pinus	х
Pine	2	1471	Average Health	Pinus	х
Pine	3	1473	Average Health	Pinus	х
Pine	3	1478	Average Health	Pinus	х
Pine	2	1489	Average Health	Pinus	х
Pine	21	1490	Average Health	Pinus	х
Pine	18	1493	Average Health	Pinus	х
Pine	22	1491	Average Health	Pinus	х
Pine	18	1492	Average Health	Pinus	х

Total for Average Health: 11

Fair Health : Pine

Pine42937Fair HealthPinusxPine131585Fair HealthPinusx

Total for Fair Health: 2

Total for Pine: 13

Pittosporum

Common_name diameter tag health_desc genus varitey

Average Health : Pittosporum

Pittosporum 6 1363 Average Health Pittosporaceae x

Total for Average Health: 1

Fair Health : Pittosporum

Pittosporum	8	1296	Fair Health	Pittosporaceae	x	
Pittosporum	6	1297	Fair Health	Pittosporaceae	х	
Pittosporum	6	1298	Fair Health	Pittosporaceae	х	
Pittosporum	4	1299	Fair Health	Pittosporaceae	х	
Pittosporum	4	1300	Fair Health	Pittosporaceae	х	
Pittosporum	3	1301	Fair Health	Pittosporaceae	х	

diameter tag health desc

Total for Fair Health: 6

varitey

Total for Pittosporum: 7

genus

Podocarpus

<u> </u>	diam	otor tag	ilouitii_uooo	gondo	varioy
Average Health	:	Podod	arpus		
Podocarpus	17	1352	Average Health	Podocarpaceae	x
Podocarpus	25	1359	Average Health	Podocarpaceae	х
Podocarpus	25	1360	Average Health	Podocarpaceae	х
Podocarpus	2	1562	Average Health	Podocarpaceae	х
Podocarpus	2	1563	Average Health	Podocarpaceae	х
Podocarpus	2	1564	Average Health	Podocarpaceae	х
Podocarpus	2	1565	Average Health	Podocarpaceae	х
Podocarpus	2	1566	Average Health	Podocarpaceae	х
Podocarpus	3	1567	Average Health	Podocarpaceae	х
Podocarpus	2	1568	Average Health	Podocarpaceae	х
Podocarpus	2	1569	Average Health	Podocarpaceae	х
Podocarpus	2	1570	Average Health	Podocarpaceae	х
Podocarpus	2	1587	Average Health	Podocarpaceae	х
Podocarpus	2	1594	Average Health	Podocarpaceae	х
Podocarpus	2	1595	Average Health	Podocarpaceae	Х

Total for Average Health: 15

Fair Health : Podocarpus

Podocarpus	2	1597 Fair	Health Podocarpacea	e x
Podocarpus	2	1599 Fair	Health Podocarpacea	e x
Podocarpus	2	1600 Fair	Health Podocarpacea	e x
Podocarpus	2	1601 Fair	Health Podocarpacea	e x

Total for Fair Health: 4

Total for Podocarpus: 19

Printed Date: 11/22/2010 Last modified: 10/20/2010 **Poplar** Common_name diameter health_desc genus varitey **Fair Health Poplar** 28 Poplar 1476 Fair Health **Populus Total for Fair Health:** 1 **Total for Poplar:** 1 **Privet** diameter genus Common_name health_desc varitey **Average Health Privet** Privet 10 1342 Average Health Ligustrum 1 **Total for Average Health: Fair Health Privet** 22 1012 Fair Health Privet Ligustrum **Total for Fair Health:** 1 2 **Total for Privet: Prunus** Common name diameter health desc tag genus varitey **Average Health Prunus** Prunus 4 1026 Average Health Prunus Х 2 Prunus 1032 Prunus х Average Health 2 1028 Prunus Prunus Average Health Х 5 1029 Prunus Prunus Average Health 2 Prunus Prunus 1030 Average Health Х Prunus 5 1031 Average Health Prunus Х Prunus 5 1036 Average Health Prunus Х

4

2

2

Prunus

Prunus

Prunus

1134

1038

1040

Average Health

Average Health

Average Health

Prunus

Prunus

Prunus

Χ

Х

Х

Pa	ae	21	of	33
	yc.	_	01	\sim

Prunus	2	1043	Average Health	Prunus	X
Prunus	2	1044	Average Health	Prunus	X
Prunus	3	1046	Average Health	Prunus	X
Prunus	6	1047	Average Health	Prunus	x
Prunus	2	1048	Average Health	Prunus	x
Prunus	2	1050	Average Health	Prunus	x
Prunus	6	1051	Average Health	Prunus	x
Prunus	2	1052	Average Health	Prunus	x
Prunus	2	1053	Average Health	Prunus	х
Prunus	6	1054	Average Health	Prunus	X
Prunus	6	1054	Average Health	Prunus	X
Prunus	2	1056	Average Health	Prunus	х
Prunus	2	1057	Average Health	Prunus	х
Prunus	3	1059	Average Health	Prunus	х
Prunus	4	1136	Average Health	Prunus	х
Prunus	4	1137	Average Health	Prunus	х
Prunus	6	1139	Average Health	Prunus	x
Prunus	3	1140	Average Health	Prunus	x
Prunus	3	1141	Average Health	Prunus	x
Prunus	3	1142	Average Health	Prunus	x
Prunus	3	1144	Average Health	Prunus	x
Prunus	3	1146	Average Health	Prunus	X
Prunus	3	1148	Average Health	Prunus	x
Prunus	6	1149	Average Health	Prunus	x
Prunus	6	1149	Average Health	Prunus	X
Prunus	6	1151	Average Health	Prunus	X
Prunus	6	1152	Average Health	Prunus	x
Prunus	6	1153	Average Health	Prunus	X
Prunus	6	1154	Average Health	Prunus	X
Prunus	11	1155	Average Health	Prunus	X
Prunus	11	1156	Average Health	Prunus	X
Prunus	6	1157	Average Health	Prunus	X
Prunus	6	1158	Average Health	Prunus	X
Prunus	3	1159	Average Health	Prunus	X
Prunus	4	1248	Average Health	Prunus	X
Prunus	4	1249	Average Health	Prunus	X
Prunus	4	1251	Average Health	Prunus	X
Prunus	4	1253	Average Health	Prunus	X
Prunus	7	1255	Average Health	Prunus	X
Prunus	7	1258	Average Health	Prunus	x
Prunus	3	1259	Average Health	Prunus	x
Prunus	6	1261	Average Health	Prunus	x
Prunus	4	1263	Average Health	Prunus	x
Prunus	4	1265	Average Health	Prunus	x
	4	1266			x x
Prunus	4	1200	Average Health	Prunus	Α

Prunus	4	1266	Average Health	Prunus	X
Prunus	4	1268	Average Health	Prunus	х
Prunus	4	1272	Average Health	Prunus	X
Prunus	4	1275	Average Health	Prunus	X
Prunus	4	1276	Average Health	Prunus	х
Prunus	4	1278	Average Health	Prunus	X
Prunus	4	1280	Average Health	Prunus	X
Prunus	4	1281	Average Health	Prunus	X
Prunus	4	1282	Average Health	Prunus	X
Prunus	4	1284	Average Health	Prunus	X
Prunus	3	1285	Average Health	Prunus	X
Prunus	4	1286	Average Health	Prunus	X
Prunus	4	1288	Average Health	Prunus	X
Prunus	3	1289	Average Health	Prunus	х
Prunus	3	1291	Average Health	Prunus	х

Total 1	for Average Health:	70
---------	---------------------	----

Fair Health		: Prunus			
Prunus	2	1019 Fair Health	Prunus	x	
Prunus	4	1020 Fair Health	Prunus	Х	
Prunus	2	1021 Fair Health	Prunus	Х	
Prunus	4	1022 Fair Health	Prunus	Х	
Prunus	2	1023 Fair Health	Prunus	X	
Prunus	6	1024 Fair Health	Prunus	Х	
Prunus	4	1027 Fair Health	Prunus	Х	_
Prunus	4	1035 Fair Health	Prunus	X	
Prunus	4	1037 Fair Health	Prunus	Х	
Prunus	4	1041 Fair Health	Prunus	Х	

Total for Fair Health: 10

Poor Health : Prunus

Prunus	3	1131	Poor Health	Prunus	X
Prunus	3	1145	Poor Health	Prunus	X

Total for Poor Health: 2

Total for Prunus: 82

Purple-Leaf Plum

Common_name diameter tag health_desc genus varitey

Average Health : Purple-Leaf Plum

Purple-Leaf Plum	3	1533	Average Health	Prunus	atropurpurea
Purple-Leaf Plum	3	1534	Average Health	Prunus	atropurpurea
Purple-Leaf Plum	3	1535	Average Health	Prunus	atropurpurea
Purple-Leaf Plum	3	1536	Average Health	Prunus	atropurpurea
Purple-Leaf Plum	3	1537	Average Health	Prunus	atropurpurea

Total for Average Health: 5

Total for Purple-Leaf Plum: 5

Queen Palm

Common_name diameter tag health_desc genus varitey

Average Health : Queen Palm

Queen Palm 17 897 Average Health Syagrus romanzoffiana

Total for Average Health:

Total for Queen Palm: 1

Red Ironbark

Common name diameter tag health desc genus varitey

Fair Health : Red Ironbark

Red Ironbark291425Fair HealthEucalyptussideroxylonRed Ironbark281426Fair HealthEucalyptussideroxylon

Total for Fair Health: 2

Total for Red Ironbark: 2

Redwood

Common_name diameter tag_health_desc genus varitey

Average Health : Redwood

Redwood	30	922	Average Health	Sequoia	sempervirens
Redwood	27	923	Average Health	Sequoia	sempervirens
Redwood	30	924	Average Health	Sequoia	sempervirens
Redwood	26	934	Average Health	Sequoia	sempervirens

Dadward	27	025	A	0	
Redwood	27	935	Average Health	Sequoia	sempervirens
Redwood	27	936	Average Health	Sequoia	sempervirens
Redwood	20	942	Average Health	Sequoia	sempervirens
Redwood	20	943	Average Health	Sequoia	sempervirens
Redwood	22	944	Average Health	Sequoia	sempervirens
Redwood	22	945	Average Health	Sequoia	sempervirens
Redwood	16	970	Average Health	Sequoia	sempervirens
Redwood	16	971	Average Health	Sequoia	sempervirens
Redwood	14	972	Average Health	Sequoia	sempervirens
Redwood	16	973	Average Health	Sequoia	sempervirens
Redwood	13	974	Average Health	Sequoia	sempervirens
Redwood	13	975	Average Health	Sequoia	sempervirens
Redwood	13	976	Average Health	Sequoia	sempervirens
Redwood	13	977	Average Health	Sequoia	sempervirens
Redwood	14	978	Average Health	Sequoia	sempervirens
Redwood	11	979	Average Health	Sequoia	sempervirens
Redwood	10	980	Average Health	Sequoia	sempervirens
Redwood	13	1086	Average Health	Sequoia	sempervirens
Redwood	3	1087	Average Health	Sequoia	sempervirens
Redwood	14	1088	Average Health	Sequoia	sempervirens
Redwood	13	1089	Average Health	Sequoia	sempervirens
Redwood	16	1090	Average Health	Sequoia	sempervirens
Redwood	32	1161	Average Health	Sequoia	sempervirens
Redwood	22	1162	Average Health	Sequoia	sempervirens
Redwood	32	1163	Average Health	Sequoia	sempervirens
Redwood	30	1164	Average Health	Sequoia	sempervirens
Redwood	24	1165	Average Health	Sequoia	sempervirens
Redwood	24	1166	Average Health	Sequoia	sempervirens
Redwood	36	1167	Average Health	Sequoia	sempervirens
Redwood	24	1168	Average Health	Sequoia	sempervirens
Redwood	27	1169	Average Health	Sequoia	sempervirens
Redwood	30	1170	Average Health	Sequoia	sempervirens
Redwood	32	1171	Average Health	Sequoia	sempervirens
Redwood	24	1172	Average Health	Sequoia	sempervirens
Redwood	21	1192	Average Health	Sequoia	sempervirens
Redwood	22	1193	Average Health	Sequoia	sempervirens
Redwood	23	1194	Average Health	Sequoia	sempervirens
Redwood	20	1195	Average Health	Sequoia	sempervirens
Redwood	34	1196	Average Health	Sequoia	sempervirens
Redwood	45	1197	Average Health	Sequoia	sempervirens
Redwood	33	1198	Average Health	Sequoia	sempervirens
Redwood	23	1199	Average Health	Sequoia	sempervirens
Redwood	33	1200	Average Health	Sequoia	sempervirens
Redwood	31	1292	Average Health	Sequoia	sempervirens
Redwood	20	1293	Average Health	Sequoia	sempervirens
		1200	, worage Health	Ocquoia	- Compositions

Redwood	31	1294	Average Health	Sequoia	sempervirens
Redwood	34	1295	Average Health	Sequoia	sempervirens
Redwood	47	1366	Average Health	Sequoia	sempervirens
Redwood	43	1367	Average Health	Sequoia	sempervirens
Redwood	23	1368	Average Health	Sequoia	sempervirens
Redwood	27	1369	Average Health	Sequoia	sempervirens
Redwood	17	1370	Average Health	Sequoia	sempervirens
Redwood	16	1383	Average Health	Sequoia	sempervirens
Redwood	15	1384	Average Health	Sequoia	sempervirens
Redwood	16	1385	Average Health	Sequoia	sempervirens
Redwood	20	1386	Average Health	Sequoia	sempervirens
Redwood	3	1500	Average Health	Sequoia	sempervirens
Redwood	3	1501	Average Health	Sequoia	sempervirens
Redwood	3	1502	Average Health	Sequoia	sempervirens
Redwood	2	1503	Average Health	Sequoia	sempervirens

Total for Average Health: 64

Total for Redwood: 64

River Red Gum

Common_name diameter tag health_desc genus varitey

Average Health : River Red Gum

River Red Gum	37	981	Average Health	Eucalyptus	camaldulensis
River Red Gum	53	982	Average Health	Eucalyptus	camaldulensis
River Red Gum	53	999	Average Health	Eucalyptus	camaldulensis
River Red Gum	56	1000	Average Health	Eucalyptus	camaldulensis
River Red Gum	51	1001	Average Health	Eucalyptus	camaldulensis

Total for Average Health: 5

Fair Health : River Red Gum

River Red Gum	30	1423	Fair Health	Eucalyptus	camaldulensis
River Red Gum	20	1424	Fair Health	Eucalyptus	camaldulensis
River Red Gum	22	1427	Fair Health	Eucalyptus	camaldulensis
River Red Gum	21	1428	Fair Health	Eucalyptus	camaldulensis
River Red Gum	53	1429	Fair Health	Eucalyptus	camaldulensis

Total for Fair Health: 5

Total for River Red Gum: 10

Saucer Magnolia

Common_name diameter tag_health_desc genus varitey

Average Health : Saucer Magnolia

Saucer Magnolia	4	887	Average Health	Magnolia	soulangeana
Saucer Magnolia	4	888	Average Health	Magnolia	soulangeana
Saucer Magnolia	4	889	Average Health	Magnolia	soulangeana
Saucer Magnolia	4	890	Average Health	Magnolia	soulangeana
Saucer Magnolia	8	1308	Average Health	Magnolia	soulangeana

Total for Average Health: 5

Total for Saucer Magnolia: 5

Sequoia

Common_name diameter tag health_desc genus varitey

Average Health : Sequoia

Sequoia311374Average HealthSequioadendrongiganteumSequoia221510Average HealthSequioadendrongiganteum

Total for Average Health: 2

Total for Sequoia: 2

Silk Oak

Common_name diameter tag health_desc genus varitey

Average Health : Silk Oak

Silk Oak	34	1132	Average Health	Grevillea	robusta
Silk Oak	35	1133	Average Health	Grevillea	robusta
Silk Oak	35	1135	Average Health	Grevillea	robusta
Silk Oak	22	1138	Average Health	Grevillea	robusta
Silk Oak	22	1147	Average Health	Grevillea	robusta
Silk Oak	22	1150	Average Health	Grevillea	robusta

Total for Average Health: 6

Fair Health : Silk Oak

Silk Oak	19	1025	Fair Health	Grevillea	robusta	
Silk Oak	21	1033	Fair Health	Grevillea	robusta	
Silk Oak	30	1034	Fair Health	Grevillea	robusta	
Silk Oak	24	1207	Fair Health	Grevillea	robusta	
Silk Oak	24	1208	Fair Health	Grevillea	robusta	
Silk Oak	19	1209	Fair Health	Grevillea	robusta	
Silk Oak	19	1210	Fair Health	Grevillea	robusta	
Silk Oak	24	1211	Fair Health	Grevillea	robusta	
Silk Oak	19	1212	Fair Health	Grevillea	robusta	
Silk Oak	19	1039	Fair Health	Grevillea	robusta	
Silk Oak	13	1042	Fair Health	Grevillea	robusta	
Silk Oak	23	1045	Fair Health	Grevillea	robusta	
Silk Oak	25	1049	Fair Health	Grevillea	robusta	
Silk Oak	25	1055	Fair Health	Grevillea	robusta	
Silk Oak	22	1058	Fair Health	Grevillea	robusta	
Silk Oak	21	1060	Fair Health	Grevillea	robusta	
Silk Oak	29	1213	Fair Health	Grevillea	robusta	
Silk Oak	15	1214	Fair Health	Grevillea	robusta	
Silk Oak	17	1215	Fair Health	Grevillea	robusta	
Silk Oak	26	1216	Fair Health	Grevillea	robusta	
Silk Oak	19	1217	Fair Health	Grevillea	robusta	
Silk Oak	22	1218	Fair Health	Grevillea	robusta	
Silk Oak	22	1219	Fair Health	Grevillea	robusta	
Silk Oak	25	1220	Fair Health	Grevillea	robusta	
Silk Oak	25	1221	Fair Health	Grevillea	robusta	
Silk Oak	26	1222	Fair Health	Grevillea	robusta	

Total for Fair Health: 26

Total for Silk Oak: 32

Southern Magnolia

Common_name	diame	eter tag	health_desc	genus	varitey
Average Health	:	South	ern Magnolia		
Southern Magnolia	30	860	Average Health	Magnolia	x
Southern Magnolia	13	861	Average Health	Magnolia	х
Southern Magnolia	16	862	Average Health	Magnolia	х
Southern Magnolia	22	863	Average Health	Magnolia	х
Southern Magnolia	15	864	Average Health	Magnolia	х
Southern Magnolia	16	865	Average Health	Magnolia	х
Southern Magnolia	15	866	Average Health	Magnolia	х
Southern Magnolia	11	867	Average Health	Magnolia	х
Southern Magnolia	10	868	Average Health	Magnolia	х

Southern Magnolia	12	869	Average Health	Magnolia	X
Southern Magnolia	14	912	Average Health	Magnolia	х
Southern Magnolia	16	913	Average Health	Magnolia	х
Southern Magnolia	22	930	Average Health	Magnolia	х
Southern Magnolia	29	931	Average Health	Magnolia	х
Southern Magnolia	17	933	Average Health	Magnolia	х
Southern Magnolia	16	939	Average Health	Magnolia	х
Southern Magnolia	27	940	Average Health	Magnolia	х
Southern Magnolia	23	941	Average Health	Magnolia	х
Southern Magnolia	25	954	Average Health	Magnolia	х
Southern Magnolia	23	859	Average Health	Magnolia	х
Southern Magnolia	11	1016	Average Health	Magnolia	х
Southern Magnolia	11	1320	Average Health	Magnolia	х
Southern Magnolia	9	1372	Average Health	Magnolia	х
Southern Magnolia	12	1373	Average Health	Magnolia	х
Southern Magnolia	11	1396	Average Health	Magnolia	х
Southern Magnolia	13	1398	Average Health	Magnolia	х
Southern Magnolia	15	1399	Average Health	Magnolia	х
Southern Magnolia	26	1400	Average Health	Magnolia	х
Southern Magnolia	15	1410	Average Health	Magnolia	х
Southern Magnolia	18	1412	Average Health	Magnolia	х
Southern Magnolia	13	1413	Average Health	Magnolia	х
Southern Magnolia	13	1414	Average Health	Magnolia	х
Southern Magnolia	25	1415	Average Health	Magnolia	х
Southern Magnolia	16	1417	Average Health	Magnolia	х
Southern Magnolia	25	1418	Average Health	Magnolia	х
Southern Magnolia	23	1419	Average Health	Magnolia	х
Southern Magnolia	16	1420	Average Health	Magnolia	х
Southern Magnolia	33	1421	Average Health	Magnolia	х

Total for Average Health: 38

Fair Health : Southern Magnolia

Southern Magnolia	8	1014	Fair Health	Magnolia	X	
Southern Magnolia	17	1015	Fair Health	Magnolia	Х	
Southern Magnolia	9	1017	Fair Health	Magnolia	Х	
Southern Magnolia	8	1077	Fair Health	Magnolia	Х	
Southern Magnolia	8	1411	Fair Health	Magnolia	Х	
Southern Magnolia	9	1416	Fair Health	Magnolia	Х	
Southern Magnolia	20	1422	Fair Health	Magnolia	Х	

Total for Fair Health: 7

Poor Health : Southern Magnolia

Southern Magnolia 7 1371 Poor Health Magnolia x

Total for Poor Health: 1

Total for Southern Magnolia: 46

Stone Pine

Common_name diameter tag health_desc genus varitey

Average Health : Stone Pine

Stone Pine	37	899	Average Health	Pinus	pinea
Stone Pine	43	901	Average Health	Pinus	pinea
Stone Pine	19	1430	Average Health	Pinus	pinea
Stone Pine	14	1431	Average Health	Pinus	pinea
Stone Pine	11	1432	Average Health	Pinus	pinea
Stone Pine	54	1433	Average Health	Pinus	pinea
Stone Pine	31	1434	Average Health	Pinus	pinea
Stone Pine	34	1435	Average Health	Pinus	pinea
Stone Pine	10	1436	Average Health	Pinus	pinea
Stone Pine	7	1437	Average Health	Pinus	pinea
Stone Pine	10	1438	Average Health	Pinus	pinea
Stone Pine	37	1439	Average Health	Pinus	pinea
Stone Pine	41	1440	Average Health	Pinus	pinea
Stone Pine	27	1441	Average Health	Pinus	pinea
Stone Pine	31	1442	Average Health	Pinus	pinea

Total for Average Health: 15

Fair Health : Stone Pine

Stone Pine 29 902 Fair Health Pinus pinea

Total for Fair Health: 1

Total for Stone Pine: 16

Sweet Gum

Common_name diameter tag health_desc genus varitey

Average Health : Sweet Gum

Sweet Gum	22	926	Average Health	Liquidambar	X
Sweet Gum	16	932	Average Health	Liquidambar	Х
Sweet Gum	8	1010	Average Health	Liquidambar	х
Sweet Gum	11	1102	Average Health	Liquidambar	х

Sweet Gum	9	1122	Average Health	Liquidambar	x	
Sweet Gum	8	1123	Average Health	Liquidambar	x	
Sweet Gum	6	1124	Average Health	Liquidambar	x	
Sweet Gum	10	1128	Average Health	Liquidambar	x	
Sweet Gum	10	1129	Average Health	Liquidambar	x	
Sweet Gum	12	1179	Average Health	Liquidambar	x	
Sweet Gum	13	1201	Average Health	Liquidambar	x	
Sweet Gum	13	1203	Average Health	Liquidambar	x	
Sweet Gum	18	1204	Average Health	Liquidambar	x	
Sweet Gum	14	1205	Average Health	Liquidambar	x	
Sweet Gum	14	1206	Average Health	Liquidambar	х	
Sweet Gum	12	1443	Average Health	Liquidambar	x	
Sweet Gum	17	1444	Average Health	Liquidambar	х	

Total for Average Health: 17

Fair Health : Sweet Gum

Sweet Gum 9 1202 Fair Health Liquidambar x

Total for Fair Health: 1

Total for Sweet Gum: 18

Sycamore

Common_name diameter tag health_desc genus varitey

Average Health : Sycamore

Sycamore	21	911	Average Health	Platanus	platanaceae
Sycamore	17	1449	Average Health	Platanus	platanaceae
Sycamore	20	1450	Average Health	Platanus	platanaceae
Sycamore	22	1451	Average Health	Platanus	platanaceae
Sycamore	3	1459	Average Health	Platanus	platanaceae
Sycamore	3	1460	Average Health	Platanus	platanaceae
Sycamore	3	1477	Average Health	Platanus	platanaceae
Sycamore	4	1479	Average Health	Platanus	platanaceae
Sycamore	5	1575	Average Health	Platanus	platanaceae
Sycamore	3	1576	Average Health	Platanus	platanaceae
Sycamore	6	1578	Average Health	Platanus	platanaceae
Sycamore	4	1579	Average Health	Platanus	platanaceae
Sycamore	5	1580	Average Health	Platanus	platanaceae
Sycamore	4	1581	Average Health	Platanus	platanaceae
Sycamore	4	1588	Average Health	Platanus	platanaceae
Sycamore	4	1590	Average Health	Platanus	platanaceae
Sycamore	4	1604	Average Health	Platanus	platanaceae

Printed Date: 11/22/201	10	Last modif	fied: 10/20/2010			
Sycamore	5	1605	Average Health	Platanus	platanaceae	
Sycamore	4	1606	Average Health	Platanus	platanaceae	
			To	tal for Average H	lealth: 19	
Fair Health	:	Sycan	nore			
Sycamore	3	1119	Fair Health	Platanus	platanaceae	
Sycamore	2	1120	Fair Health	Platanus	platanaceae	
Sycamore	3	1121	Fair Health	Platanus	platanaceae	
				Total for Fair	Health: 3	
Good Health	:	Sycan	nore			
Sycamore	22	908	Good Health	Platanus	platanaceae	
Sycamore	26	909	Good Health	Platanus	platanaceae	
Sycamore	32	910	Good Health	Platanus	platanaceae	
Sycamore	24	958	Good Health	Platanus	platanaceae	
Sycamore	24	959	Good Health	Platanus	platanaceae	
				Total for Good H	lealth: 5	
			Total for	Sycamore:	27	
ristainia						
ommon_name	diamete	er tag	health_desc	genus	varitey	
Average Health	:	Tristai	inia			
Tristainia	16	870	Average Health	Lophostemon	confertus	
			To	tal for Average H	lealth: 1	
Fair Health	:	Tristai	inia			
Tristainia	25	1018	Fair Health	Lophostemon	confertus	
				Total for Fair	Health: 1	
			Total for	Tristainia:	2	

genus

Average Health : Tulip Poplar

diameter tag health_desc

Common_name

varitey

Tulip Poplar	20	1080	Average Health	Liriodendron	tulipifera
Tulip Poplar	23	1081	Average Health	Liriodendron	tulipifera
Tulip Poplar	22	1082	Average Health	Liriodendron	tulipifera
Tulip Poplar	24	1097	Average Health	Liriodendron	tulipifera
Tulip Poplar	21	1101	Average Health	Liriodendron	tulipifera
Tulip Poplar	19	1104	Average Health	Liriodendron	tulipifera
Tulip Poplar	18	1105	Average Health	Liriodendron	tulipifera
Tulip Poplar	21	1106	Average Health	Liriodendron	tulipifera
Tulip Poplar	24	1107	Average Health	Liriodendron	tulipifera
Tulip Poplar	24	1108	Average Health	Liriodendron	tulipifera
Tulip Poplar	24	1109	Average Health	Liriodendron	tulipifera
Tulip Poplar	17	1110	Average Health	Liriodendron	tulipifera
Tulip Poplar	17	1111	Average Health	Liriodendron	tulipifera
Tulip Poplar	22	1112	Average Health	Liriodendron	tulipifera
Tulip Poplar	14	1113	Average Health	Liriodendron	tulipifera
Tulip Poplar	17	1114	Average Health	Liriodendron	tulipifera

Total for Average Health: 16

Total for Tulip Poplar: 16

Valley Oak

Common_name diameter tag health_desc genus varitey

Average Health : Valley Oak

Valley Oak 54 1011 Average Health Quercus lobata

Total for Average Health: 1

Good Health : Valley Oak

Valley Oak45997Good HealthQuercusIobataValley Oak511143Good HealthQuercusIobata

Total for Good Health: 2

Total for Valley Oak: 3

Alder 2 0 0 0 Arbutus 3 0 0 0 Ash 0 0 2 0 Birch 8 0 0 0 Black Walnut 1 0 9 0 Bottle Brush 25 0 0 0 Bradford Pear 12 0 0 0 Camphor 1 0 0 0 Carob 3 0 1 0 Cedar 1 0 0 0 Cherry 4 0 0 0 Chinese Elm 16 0 0 0 Chinese Tallow 17 0 0 0 Coast Live Oak 15 0 3 16 Crabapple 16 0 0 0 Date Palm 1 0 0 0 Elm 7 1 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 3 0 0 0	2 3 2 8 13 25 12 1
Ash 0 0 2 0 Birch 8 0 0 0 Black Walnut 1 0 9 0 Bottle Brush 25 0 0 0 Bradford Pear 12 0 0 0 Camphor 1 0 0 0 Carob 3 0 1 0 Cedar 1 0 0 0 Cherry 4 0 0 0 Chinese Elm 16 0 0 0 Chinese Tallow 17 0 0 0 Coast Live Oak 15 0 3 16 Crabapple 16 0 0 0 Date Palm 1 0 0 0	0 0 3 0 0	13 25
Birch 8 0 0 0 Black Walnut 1 0 9 0 Bottle Brush 25 0 0 0 Bradford Pear 12 0 0 0 Camphor 1 0 0 0 Carob 3 0 1 0 Cedar 1 0 0 0 Cherry 4 0 0 0 Chinese Elm 16 0 0 0 Chinese Tallow 17 0 0 0 Coast Live Oak 15 0 3 16 Crabapple 16 0 0 0 Crape Myrtle 35 0 0 0 Date Palm 1 0 0 0	0 3 0 0	13 25
Black Walnut	3 0 0 0	13 25
Bottle Brush 25 0 0 0 Bradford Pear 12 0 0 0 Camphor 1 0 0 0 Carob 3 0 1 0 Cedar 1 0 0 0 Cherry 4 0 0 0 0 Chinese Elm 16 0 0 0 0 Chinese Tallow 17 0 0 0 0 Coast Live Oak 15 0 3 16 Crabapple 16 0 0 0 0 Crape Myrtle 35 0 0 0 0 Date Palm 1 0 0 0 0	0 0 0	25
Bradford Pear 12 0 0 0 0 0	0	
Camphor 1 0 0 0 Carob 3 0 1 0 Cedar 1 0 0 0 Cherry 4 0 0 0 Chinese Elm 16 0 0 0 Chinese Tallow 17 0 0 0 Coast Live Oak 15 0 3 16 Crabapple 16 0 0 0 Crape Myrtle 35 0 0 0 Date Palm 1 0 0 0	0	12 1
Carob 3 0 1 0 Cedar 1 0 0 0 Cherry 4 0 0 0 Chinese Elm 16 0 0 0 Chinese Tallow 17 0 0 0 Coast Live Oak 15 0 3 16 Crabapple 16 0 0 0 Crape Myrtle 35 0 0 0 Date Palm 1 0 0 0		1
Cedar 1 0 0 0 Cherry 4 0 0 0 Chinese Elm 16 0 0 0 Chinese Tallow 17 0 0 0 Coast Live Oak 15 0 3 16 Crabapple 16 0 0 0 Crape Myrtle 35 0 0 0 Date Palm 1 0 0 0	0	
Cherry 4 0 0 0 Chinese Elm 16 0 0 0 Chinese Tallow 17 0 0 0 Coast Live Oak 15 0 3 16 Crabapple 16 0 0 0 Crape Myrtle 35 0 0 0 Date Palm 1 0 0 0		4
Chinese Elm 16 0 0 0 Chinese Tallow 17 0 0 0 Coast Live Oak 15 0 3 16 Crabapple 16 0 0 0 Crape Myrtle 35 0 0 0 Date Palm 1 0 0 0	0	1
Chinese Tallow 17 0 0 0 Coast Live Oak 15 0 3 16 Crabapple 16 0 0 0 Crape Myrtle 35 0 0 0 Date Palm 1 0 0 0	0	4
Coast Live Oak 15 0 3 16 Crabapple 16 0 0 0 Crape Myrtle 35 0 0 0 Date Palm 1 0 0 0	0	16
Crabapple 16 0 0 0 Crape Myrtle 35 0 0 0 Date Palm 1 0 0 0	0	17
Crape Myrtle 35 0 0 0 Date Palm 1 0 0 0	0	34
Date Palm 1 0 0 0	0	16
7 4 0 0	0	35
Elm 7 1 0 0	0	1
	0	8
Eucalyptus 10 0 3 0	0	13
Fir 0 0 1 0	0	1
Ginko 19 0 0	0	19
Hackberry 12 0 0 0	0	12
Italian Cypress 7 0 0	0	7
Japanese Maple 19 0 1 0	0	20
Juniper 5 0 0 0	0	5

	Average Health	Dead	Fair Health	Good Health	Poor Health	Total
Laurel	1	0	0	0	0	1
Mayten	13	0	1	0	0	14
Melaleuca	10	0	0	0	0	10
Monterey Pine	31	0	13	0	0	44
Oak	15	0	0	1	0	16
Olive	7	0	3	0	0	10
Pear	18	0	2	0	0	20
Pepper	0	0	1	0	0	1
Pine	13	0	2	0	1	16
Pittosporum	1	0	6	0	0	7
Podocarpus	15	0	4	0	0	19
Poplar	0	0	1	0	0	1
Privet	1	0	1	0	0	2
Prunus	70	0	10	0	2	82
Purple-Leaf Plum	5	0	0	0	0	5
Queen Palm	1	0	0	0	0	1
Red Ironbark	0	0	2	0	0	2
Redwood	64	0	0	0	0	64
River Red Gum	5	0	5	0	0	10
Saucer Magnolia	6	0	0	0	0	6
Sequoia	2	0	0	0	0	2
Silk Oak	6	0	27	0	0	33
Southern Magnolia	38	0	8	0	1	47
Stone Pine	15	0	1	0	0	16
Sweet Gum	17	0	1	0	0	18

	Average Health	Dead	Fair Health	Good Health	Poor Health	Total
Sycamore	19	0	0	5	0	24
Tristainia	1	0	0	0	0	1
Tulip Poplar	16	0	3	0	0	19
Valley Oak	1	0	0	2	0	3
Total	630	1	111	24	7	773

11/22/2010

Report Description:

Heritage Tree Report

Mountain View's City Code defines a "Heritage Tree" as any tree that has a trunk with a circumference of forty-eight inches (48") or more measured at fifty-four inches (54") above natural grade. Three species, quercus (oak), sequoia (redwood) or cedrus (cedar) are considered "Heritage" if they have a circumference of twelve inches (12") measured at fifty-four inches (54") above natural grade.

<u>Tag</u>	Circumference	Common Name	Health Description	Preservation Description	<u>Comment</u>	<u>Picture</u>
859	72	Southern Magnolia	Average Health	Moderate Suitability	CD	17
860	94	Southern Magnolia	Average Health	Moderate Suitability	MS	155
862	50	Southern Magnolia	Average Health	Moderate Suitability		
863	69	Southern Magnolia	Average Health	Moderate Suitability		156
865	50	Southern Magnolia	Average Health	Moderate Suitability		
870	50	Tristainia	Average Health	Moderate Suitability		
881	66	Bradford Pear	Average Health	Moderate Suitability	TC	157
896	113	Coast Live Oak	Good Health	Good Suitability	NP,HZ	158
897	53	Queen Palm	Average Health	Moderate Suitability		
898	151	Coast Live Oak	Good Health	Good Suitability	BC,BB	159
899	116	Stone Pine	Average Health	Moderate Suitability	LN	160
900	88	Coast Live Oak	Good Health	Good Suitability	BC	163
901	135	Stone Pine	Average Health	Moderate Suitability	RM,BF,HZ	161, 162
902	91	Stone Pine	Fair Health	Poor Suitability	LN	164
903	85	Olive	Fair Health	Moderate Suitability	DE	165
904	79	Olive	Fair Health	Moderate Suitability	DE	166
905	75	Olive	Fair Health	Moderate Suitability	DE	167
908	69	Sycamore	Good Health	Moderate Suitability	LT	168
909	82	Sycamore	Good Health	Moderate Suitability	LT	168
910	100	Sycamore	Good Health	Moderate Suitability	LT	168
911	66	Sycamore	Average Health	Moderate Suitability	LT	169
913	50	Southern Magnolia	Average Health	Moderate Suitability	PI	
918	47	Oak	Average Health	Moderate Suitability		
921	31	Oak	Average Health	Moderate Suitability		
922	94	Redwood	Average Health	Good Suitability		170
923	85	Redwood	Average Health	Good Suitability		170
924	94	Redwood	Average Health	Good Suitability		170
925	38	Oak	Average Health	Moderate Suitability	СВ	
926	69	Sweet Gum	Average Health	Moderate Suitability		171
927	69	Monterey Pine	Average Health	Moderate Suitability	ВВ	172
928	85	Monterey Pine	Fair Health	Poor Suitability	BB, BC	173
929	75	Monterey Pine	Average Health	Moderate Suitability	BC	174
930	69	Southern Magnolia	Average Health	Moderate Suitability		175
931	91	Southern Magnolia	Average Health	Moderate Suitability		176
932	50	Sweet Gum	Average Health	Moderate Suitability	CD,HZ	
933	53	Southern Magnolia	Average Health	Moderate Suitability		
934	82	Redwood	Average Health	Good Suitability		177, 178
935	85	Redwood	Average Health	Good Suitability		177, 178
936	85	Redwood	Average Health	Good Suitability		177, 178
937	132	Pine	Fair Health	Poor Suitability	RM	179
938	119	Pine	Average Health	Good Suitability		180
939	50	Southern Magnolia	Average Health	Moderate Suitability	LN,HZ	
940	85	Southern Magnolia	Average Health	Moderate Suitability		181

Report Description:

Heritage Tree Report

Mountain View's City Code defines a "Heritage Tree" as any tree that has a trunk with a circumference of forty-eight inches (48") or more measured at fifty-four inches (54") above natural grade. Three species, quercus (oak), sequoia (redwood) or cedrus (cedar) are considered "Heritage" if they have a circumference of twelve inches (12") measured at fifty-four inches (54") above natural grade.

<u>Tag</u>	Circumference	Common Name	Health Description	Preservation Description	<u>Comment</u>	<u>Picture</u>
941	72	Southern Magnolia	Average Health	Moderate Suitability		182
942	63	Redwood	Average Health	Good Suitability		183
943	63	Redwood	Average Health	Good Suitability		184
944	69	Redwood	Average Health	Good Suitability		184
945	69	Redwood	Average Health	Good Suitability		184
954	79	Southern Magnolia	Average Health	Moderate Suitability		185
958	75	Sycamore	Good Health	Good Suitability	LT	186
959	75	Sycamore	Good Health	Good Suitability	LT	187
970	50	Redwood	Average Health	Good Suitability		
971	50	Redwood	Average Health	Good Suitability		
972	44	Redwood	Average Health	Good Suitability		
973	50	Redwood	Average Health	Good Suitability		
974	41	Redwood	Average Health	Good Suitability		
975	41	Redwood	Average Health	Good Suitability		
976	41	Redwood	Average Health	Good Suitability		
977	41	Redwood	Average Health	Good Suitability		
978	44	Redwood	Average Health	Good Suitability		
979	35	Redwood	Average Health	Good Suitability		
980	31	Redwood	Average Health	Good Suitability		
981	116	River Red Gum	Average Health	Moderate Suitability	II,SC,HZ,LT,MN	188
982	166	River Red Gum	Average Health	Moderate Suitability	II,SC,HZ,LT,MN	188
983	22	Coast Live Oak	Fair Health	Good Suitability	PI	
984	19	Coast Live Oak	Fair Health	Good Suitability	PI	
985	19	Coast Live Oak	Average Health	Good Suitability	PI	
986	19	Coast Live Oak	Fair Health	Good Suitability	PI	
987	25	Coast Live Oak	Average Health	Good Suitability	PI	
988	50	Oak	Good Health	Good Suitability	CD	
989	97	Date Palm	Average Health	Good Suitability		189,190
990	176	Eucalyptus	Average Health	Moderate Suitability	II,SC,HZ,LT,MN	191
991	220	Eucalyptus	Average Health	Moderate Suitability	II,SC,HZ,LT,MN	191
992	113	Eucalyptus	Average Health	Moderate Suitability	II	192
997	141	Valley Oak	Good Health	Good Suitability	LN,BC	193
999	166	River Red Gum	Average Health	Moderate Suitability	II,SC,HZ,LT,MN	194
1000	176	River Red Gum	Average Health	Moderate Suitability	II,SC,HZ,LT,MN	194
1001	160	River Red Gum	Average Health	Moderate Suitability	II,SC,HZ,LT,MN	195
1005	148	Coast Live Oak	Good Health	Good Suitability	BB	196
1008	104	Coast Live Oak	Good Health	Good Suitability		197
1009	141	Coast Live Oak	Good Health	Good Suitability		198
1011	170	Valley Oak	Average Health	Good Suitability	DE,BF	199
1012	69	Privet	Fair Health	Moderate Suitability		200
1013	122	Coast Live Oak	Good Health	Good Suitability	BC, BB	154
1015	53	Southern Magnolia	Fair Health	Moderate Suitability		
1018	79	Tristainia	Fair Health	Moderate Suitability	DE	153

Report Description:

Heritage Tree Report

Mountain View's City Code defines a "Heritage Tree" as any tree that has a trunk with a circumference of forty-eight inches (48") or more measured at fifty-four inches (54") above natural grade. Three species, quercus (oak), sequoia (redwood) or cedrus (cedar) are considered "Heritage" if they have a circumference of twelve inches (12") measured at fifty-four inches (54") above natural grade.

<u>Tag</u>	Circumference	Common Name	Health Description	Preservation Description	<u>Comment</u>	<u>Picture</u>
1025	60	Silk Oak	Fair Health	Moderate Suitability	TP	116
1033	66	Silk Oak	Fair Health	Moderate Suitability	TP	116
1034	94	Silk Oak	Fair Health	Moderate Suitability	TP	116
1039	60	Silk Oak	Fair Health	Moderate Suitability	TP	115
1042	41	Silk Oak	Fair Health	Moderate Suitability	TP	
1045	72	Silk Oak	Fair Health	Moderate Suitability	MN,LT,BF	114
1049	79	Silk Oak	Fair Health	Moderate Suitability	MN,LT,BF	113
1055	79	Silk Oak	Fair Health	Moderate Suitability	MN,LT,BF	112
1058	69	Silk Oak	Fair Health	Moderate Suitability	MN,LT,BF	111
1060	66	Silk Oak	Fair Health	Moderate Suitability	MN,LT,BF	110
1061	69	Monterey Pine	Fair Health	Moderate Suitability	BC	59
1062	100	Monterey Pine	Fair Health	Moderate Suitability		59
1064	60	Coast Live Oak	Good Health	Good Suitability		109
1065	85	Monterey Pine	Fair Health	Moderate Suitability	BC	108
1066	104	Monterey Pine	Average Health	Moderate Suitability	BC	107
1067	82	Monterey Pine	Average Health	Moderate Suitability	BC	106
1068	82	Monterey Pine	Average Health	Moderate Suitability	GR	106
1069	75	Monterey Pine	Average Health	Moderate Suitability		105
1070	94	Monterey Pine	Average Health	Moderate Suitability	GR	105
1071	60	Monterey Pine	Fair Health	Moderate Suitability		104
1073	31	Oak	Average Health	Moderate Suitability		
1074	22	Oak	Average Health	Moderate Suitability		
1075	13	Oak	Average Health	Moderate Suitability		
1076	25	Oak	Average Health	Moderate Suitability		
1080	63	Tulip Poplar	Average Health	Moderate Suitability		103
1081	72	Tulip Poplar	Average Health	Moderate Suitability	LN	99
1082	69	Tulip Poplar	Average Health	Moderate Suitability		100
1086	41	Redwood	Average Health	Moderate Suitability		101
1088	44	Redwood	Average Health	Moderate Suitability		
1089	41	Redwood	Average Health	Moderate Suitability		
1090	50	Redwood	Average Health	Moderate Suitability		
1097	75	Tulip Poplar	Average Health	Moderate Suitability		102
1101	66	Tulip Poplar	Average Health	Moderate Suitability		71
1103	239	Pepper	Fair Health	Moderate Suitability	DE,BF, MN	72
1104	60	Tulip Poplar	Average Health	Moderate Suitability		73
1105	57	Tulip Poplar	Average Health	Moderate Suitability		74
1106	66	Tulip Poplar	Average Health	Moderate Suitability		75
1107	75	Tulip Poplar	Average Health	Moderate Suitability		76
1108	75	Tulip Poplar	Average Health	Moderate Suitability		77
1109	75	Tulip Poplar	Average Health	Moderate Suitability		78
1110	53	Tulip Poplar	Average Health	Moderate Suitability		79
1111	53	Tulip Poplar	Average Health	Moderate Suitability	CD	80
1112	69	Tulip Poplar	Average Health	Moderate Suitability	CD	81

Report Description:

Heritage Tree Report

Mountain View's City Code defines a "Heritage Tree" as any tree that has a trunk with a circumference of forty-eight inches (48") or more measured at fifty-four inches (54") above natural grade. Three species, quercus (oak), sequoia (redwood) or cedrus (cedar) are considered "Heritage" if they have a circumference of twelve inches (12") measured at fifty-four inches (54") above natural grade.

<u>Tag</u>	Circumference	Common Name	Health Description	Preservation Description	<u>Comment</u>	<u>Picture</u>
1114	53	Tulip Poplar	Average Health	Moderate Suitability	CD	83
1115	50	Ginko	Average Health	Moderate Suitability		84
1117	53	Ginko	Average Health	Moderate Suitability	LN	86
1125	31	Oak	Average Health	Moderate Suitability		
1126	35	Oak	Average Health	Moderate Suitability		
1127	25	Oak	Average Health	Moderate Suitability		
1132	107	Silk Oak	Average Health	Moderate Suitability	MN,LT,BF	88
1133	110	Silk Oak	Average Health	Moderate Suitability	MN,LT,BF	89
1135	110	Silk Oak	Average Health	Moderate Suitability	MN,LT,BF	89
1138	69	Silk Oak	Average Health	Moderate Suitability	MN,LT,BF	91
1143	160	Valley Oak	Good Health	Good Suitability	LT	91
1147	69	Silk Oak	Average Health	Moderate Suitability	CD	93
1150	69	Silk Oak	Average Health	Moderate Suitability	BF,MN	94
1160	113	Coast Live Oak	Good Health	Good Suitability	BC,BB	95
1161	100	Redwood	Average Health	Moderate Suitability		96
1162	69	Redwood	Average Health	Moderate Suitability	CD	97
1163	100	Redwood	Average Health	Moderate Suitability		97
1164	94	Redwood	Average Health	Moderate Suitability		97
1165	75	Redwood	Average Health	Moderate Suitability		97
1166	75	Redwood	Average Health	Moderate Suitability		97
1167	113	Redwood	Average Health	Moderate Suitability		97
1168	75	Redwood	Average Health	Moderate Suitability		97
1169	85	Redwood	Average Health	Moderate Suitability		97
1170	94	Redwood	Average Health	Moderate Suitability		97
1171	100	Redwood	Average Health	Moderate Suitability		97
1172	75	Redwood	Average Health	Moderate Suitability		97
1173	63	Eucalyptus	Average Health	Moderate Suitability		98
1174	94	Eucalyptus	Average Health	Moderate Suitability		98
1175	69	Eucalyptus	Average Health	Moderate Suitability		98
1176	82	Eucalyptus	Average Health	Moderate Suitability		117
1177	66	Eucalyptus	Average Health	Moderate Suitability		117
1178	82	Eucalyptus	Average Health	Moderate Suitability		117
1181	57	Chinese Elm	Average Health	Moderate Suitability		118
1188	53	Chinese Elm	Average Health	Moderate Suitability		119
1189	53	Chinese Elm	Average Health	Moderate Suitability		119
1191	35	Oak	Average Health	Moderate Suitability		
1192	66	Redwood	Average Health	Moderate Suitability		120
1193	69	Redwood	Average Health	Moderate Suitability		120
1194	72	Redwood	Average Health	Moderate Suitability	CD	120
1195	63	Redwood	Average Health	Moderate Suitability		120
1196	107	Redwood	Average Health	Moderate Suitability		120
1197	141	Redwood	Average Health	Moderate Suitability		121
1198	104	Redwood	Average Health	Moderate Suitability		121

Report Description:

Heritage Tree Report

Mountain View's City Code defines a "Heritage Tree" as any tree that has a trunk with a circumference of forty-eight inches (48") or more measured at fifty-four inches (54") above natural grade. Three species, quercus (oak), sequoia (redwood) or cedrus (cedar) are considered "Heritage" if they have a circumference of twelve inches (12") measured at fifty-four inches (54") above natural grade.

<u>Tag</u>	Circumference	Common Name	Health Description	Preservation Description	Comment	<u>Picture</u>
1199	72	Redwood	Average Health	Moderate Suitability		121
1200	104	Redwood	Average Health	Moderate Suitability		121
1204	57	Sweet Gum	Average Health	Moderate Suitability		
1207	75	Silk Oak	Fair Health	Poor Suitability	TP	122
1208	75	Silk Oak	Fair Health	Poor Suitability	TP	122
1209	60	Silk Oak	Fair Health	Poor Suitability	TP	122
1210	60	Silk Oak	Fair Health	Poor Suitability	TP	122
1211	75	Silk Oak	Fair Health	Poor Suitability	TP	122
1212	60	Silk Oak	Fair Health	Poor Suitability	TP	122
1213	91	Silk Oak	Fair Health	Poor Suitability	TP	122
1214	47	Silk Oak	Fair Health	Poor Suitability	TP	123
1215	53	Silk Oak	Fair Health	Poor Suitability	TP	123
1216	82	Silk Oak	Fair Health	Poor Suitability	TP	123
1217	60	Silk Oak	Fair Health	Poor Suitability	TP	123
1218	69	Silk Oak	Fair Health	Poor Suitability	TP	123
1219	69	Silk Oak	Fair Health	Poor Suitability	TP	123
1220	79	Silk Oak	Fair Health	Poor Suitability	TP	123
1221	79	Silk Oak	Fair Health	Poor Suitability	TP	123
1222	82	Silk Oak	Fair Health	Poor Suitability	TP	123
1244	104	Monterey Pine	Average Health	Moderate Suitability		124
1245	53	Monterey Pine	Average Health	Moderate Suitability		124
1246	91	Monterey Pine	Average Health	Moderate Suitability		124
1247	63	Monterey Pine	Average Health	Moderate Suitability		124
1250	63	Monterey Pine	Average Health	Moderate Suitability		125
1252	50	Monterey Pine	Average Health	Moderate Suitability		125
1254	50	Monterey Pine	Average Health	Moderate Suitability		125
1256	53	Monterey Pine	Average Health	Moderate Suitability	LN, HZ	125
1257	79	Monterey Pine	Average Health	Moderate Suitability		125
1260	72	Monterey Pine	Average Health	Moderate Suitability		126
1262	79	Monterey Pine	Average Health	Moderate Suitability		126
1264	69	Monterey Pine	Average Health	Moderate Suitability		126
1267	72	Monterey Pine	Average Health	Moderate Suitability		126
1269	72	Monterey Pine	Average Health	Moderate Suitability		126
1270	60	Monterey Pine	Average Health	Moderate Suitability		126
1273	50	Monterey Pine	Average Health	Moderate Suitability		126
1274	82	Monterey Pine	Average Health	Moderate Suitability		126
1277	75	Coast Live Oak	Good Health	Good Suitability		128
1279	91	Monterey Pine	Average Health	Moderate Suitability		129
1283	82	Monterey Pine	Average Health	Moderate Suitability		129
1287	50	Coast Live Oak	Good Health	Good Suitability		131
1290	141	Coast Live Oak	Good Health	Good Suitability		132
1292	97	Redwood	Average Health	Moderate Suitability		133
1293	63	Redwood	Average Health	Moderate Suitability		133

Report Description:

Heritage Tree Report

Mountain View's City Code defines a "Heritage Tree" as any tree that has a trunk with a circumference of forty-eight inches (48") or more measured at fifty-four inches (54") above natural grade. Three species, quercus (oak), sequoia (redwood) or cedrus (cedar) are considered "Heritage" if they have a circumference of twelve inches (12") measured at fifty-four inches (54") above natural grade.

<u>Tag</u>	Circumference	Common Name	Health Description	Preservation Description	<u>Comment</u>	<u>Picture</u>
1294	97	Redwood	Average Health	Moderate Suitability		133
1295	107	Redwood	Average Health	Moderate Suitability		133
1307	119	Monterey Pine	Poor Health	Poor Suitability	RM,II	134
1309	44	Oak	Average Health	Moderate Suitability		
1310	66	Mayten	Average Health	Moderate Suitability		135
1311	60	Mayten	Average Health	Moderate Suitability		135
1313	69	Mayten	Average Health	Moderate Suitability		135
1314	50	Mayten	Average Health	Moderate Suitability		135
1315	63	Mayten	Average Health	Moderate Suitability		135
1316	50	Mayten	Average Health	Moderate Suitability		135
1317	60	Mayten	Average Health	Moderate Suitability		135
1318	63	Mayten	Average Health	Moderate Suitability		136
1321	50	Mayten	Average Health	Moderate Suitability		
1324	63	Mayten	Average Health	Moderate Suitability		137
1327	79	Ginko	Average Health	Moderate Suitability		138
1328	57	Ginko	Average Health	Moderate Suitability		138
1329	75	Monterey Pine	Average Health	Moderate Suitability		139
1330	63	Monterey Pine	Average Health	Moderate Suitability	LN	139
1331	57	Coast Live Oak	Good Health	Good Suitability		140
1332	85	Carob	Fair Health	Moderate Suitability		141
1334	44	Oak	Average Health	Moderate Suitability		
1335	50	Alder	Average Health	Moderate Suitability		142
1336	69	Oak	Average Health	Moderate Suitability		142
1337	60	Ginko	Average Health	Moderate Suitability		143
1338	66	Ginko	Average Health	Moderate Suitability		143
1339	79	Alder	Average Health	Moderate Suitability		145
1340	66	Ginko	Average Health	Moderate Suitability		145
1341	85	Coast Live Oak	Good Health	Good Suitability		145
1346	53	Pear	Average Health	Moderate Suitability		
1352	53	Podocarpus	Average Health	Moderate Suitability		
1359	79	Podocarpus	Average Health	Moderate Suitability		146
1360	79	Podocarpus	Average Health	Moderate Suitability		147
1361	57	Eucalyptus	Fair Health	Poor Suitability		148
1362	107	Eucalyptus	Fair Health	Poor Suitability	II	148
1364	85	Eucalyptus	Fair Health	Poor Suitability	II	149
1366	148	Redwood	Average Health	Moderate Suitability		150
1367	135	Redwood	Average Health	Moderate Suitability		151
1368	72	Redwood	Average Health	Moderate Suitability		151
1369	85	Redwood	Average Health	Moderate Suitability		151
1370	53	Redwood	Average Health	Moderate Suitability		
1374	97	Sequoia	Average Health	Moderate Suitability		152
1375	119	Carob	Average Health	Moderate Suitability		239
1376	97	Monterey Pine	Average Health	Moderate Suitability	II	240

Report Description:

Heritage Tree Report

Mountain View's City Code defines a "Heritage Tree" as any tree that has a trunk with a circumference of forty-eight inches (48") or more measured at fifty-four inches (54") above natural grade. Three species, quercus (oak), sequoia (redwood) or cedrus (cedar) are considered "Heritage" if they have a circumference of twelve inches (12") measured at fifty-four inches (54") above natural grade.

<u>Tag</u>	Circumference	Common Name	Health Description	Preservation Description	Comment	<u>Picture</u>
1377	82	Monterey Pine	Average Health	Moderate Suitability	II	240
1378	94	Monterey Pine	Average Health	Moderate Suitability	II	241
1379	107	Monterey Pine	Average Health	Moderate Suitability	II	241
1380	85	Monterey Pine	Average Health	Moderate Suitability	II	243
1381	91	Monterey Pine	Fair Health	Moderate Suitability	II	242
1382	100	Monterey Pine	Fair Health	Moderate Suitability	II	242
1383	50	Redwood	Average Health	Moderate Suitability		244
1384	47	Redwood	Average Health	Moderate Suitability		244
1385	50	Redwood	Average Health	Moderate Suitability		244
1386	63	Redwood	Average Health	Moderate Suitability		244
1387	85	Monterey Pine	Fair Health	Moderate Suitability	II	242
1388	100	Monterey Pine	Fair Health	Moderate Suitability	II	242
1392	57	Juniper	Average Health	Moderate Suitability		
1393	57	Juniper	Average Health	Moderate Suitability		
1395	57	Carob	Average Health	Moderate Suitability		
1397	100	Carob	Average Health	Moderate Suitability	HZ, RM	245
1400	82	Southern Magnolia	Average Health	Moderate Suitability		246
1401	63	Chinese Elm	Average Health	Moderate Suitability		247
1402	63	Chinese Elm	Average Health	Moderate Suitability		248
1403	66	Chinese Elm	Average Health	Moderate Suitability		248
1404	69	Chinese Elm	Average Health	Moderate Suitability		248
1406	53	Chinese Elm	Average Health	Moderate Suitability		
1408	53	Chinese Elm	Average Health	Moderate Suitability		
1409	60	Chinese Elm	Average Health	Moderate Suitability		250
1412	57	Southern Magnolia	Average Health	Moderate Suitability		251
1415	79	Southern Magnolia	Average Health	Moderate Suitability		252
1417	50	Southern Magnolia	Average Health	Moderate Suitability		
1418	79	Southern Magnolia	Average Health	Moderate Suitability		253
1419	72	Southern Magnolia	Average Health	Moderate Suitability		254
1420	50	Southern Magnolia	Average Health	Moderate Suitability		
1421	104	Southern Magnolia	Average Health	Moderate Suitability		255
1422	63	Southern Magnolia	Fair Health	Moderate Suitability		256
1423	94	River Red Gum	Fair Health	Moderate Suitability	II,SC,HZ,LT,MN	257
1424	63	River Red Gum	Fair Health	Moderate Suitability	II,SC,HZ,LT,MN	257
1425	91	Red Ironbark	Fair Health	Moderate Suitability	II,SC,HZ,LT,MN	257
1426	88	Red Ironbark	Fair Health	Moderate Suitability	II,SC,HZ,LT,MN	258
1427	69	River Red Gum	Fair Health	Moderate Suitability	II,SC,HZ,LT,MN	259
1428	66	River Red Gum	Fair Health	Moderate Suitability	II,SC,HZ,LT,MN	259
1429	166	River Red Gum	Fair Health	Moderate Suitability	II,SC,HZ,LT,MN	260
1430	60	Stone Pine	Average Health	Moderate Suitability		261
1433	170	Stone Pine	Average Health	Moderate Suitability		262
1434	97	Stone Pine	Average Health	Moderate Suitability		263
1435	107	Stone Pine	Average Health	Moderate Suitability		264

Report Description:

Heritage Tree Report

Mountain View's City Code defines a "Heritage Tree" as any tree that has a trunk with a circumference of forty-eight inches (48") or more measured at fifty-four inches (54") above natural grade. Three species, quercus (oak), sequoia (redwood) or cedrus (cedar) are considered "Heritage" if they have a circumference of twelve inches (12") measured at fifty-four inches (54") above natural grade.

<u>Tag</u>	Circumference	Common Name	Health Description	Preservation Description	Comment	<u>Picture</u>
1439	116	Stone Pine	Average Health	Moderate Suitability	LN, DC, BF	265
1440	129	Stone Pine	Average Health	Moderate Suitability		266
1441	85	Stone Pine	Average Health	Moderate Suitability		267
1442	97	Stone Pine	Average Health	Moderate Suitability		268
1444	53	Sweet Gum	Average Health	Moderate Suitability		
1446	138	Monterey Pine	Fair Health	Moderate Suitability	DE,BF, BB, II	269
1449	53	Sycamore	Average Health	Moderate Suitability	LT	271
1450	63	Sycamore	Average Health	Moderate Suitability	LT	272
1451	69	Sycamore	Average Health	Moderate Suitability	LT	274
1452	63	Black Walnut	Average Health	Moderate Suitability		275
1453	47	Coast Live Oak	Average Health	Good Suitability		
1454	57	Coast Live Oak	Average Health	Good Suitability		
1456	50	Coast Live Oak	Average Health	Good Suitability		
1457	69	Coast Live Oak	Average Health	Good Suitability		276
1458	126	Coast Live Oak	Average Health	Good Suitability		277
1463	97	Black Walnut	Fair Health	Moderate Suitability		278
1464	13	Coast Live Oak	Average Health	Good Suitability		
1465	79	Black Walnut	Fair Health	Moderate Suitability		278
1467	13	Coast Live Oak	Average Health	Good Suitability		
1468	75	Black Walnut	Fair Health	Moderate Suitability		279
1469	13	Coast Live Oak	Average Health	Good Suitability		
1470	82	Black Walnut	Poor Health	Poor Suitability	RM	279
1472	53	Black Walnut	Fair Health	Moderate Suitability		
1474	72	Black Walnut	Fair Health	Moderate Suitability		280
1475	82	Black Walnut	Poor Health	Poor Suitability	RM	281
1476	88	Poplar	Fair Health	Moderate Suitability		282
1480	69	Black Walnut	Poor Health	Poor Suitability	RM, HZ	283
1481	63	Black Walnut	Fair Health	Moderate Suitability		284
1490	66	Pine	Average Health	Moderate Suitability		285
1491	69	Pine	Average Health	Moderate Suitability		285
1492	57	Pine	Average Health	Moderate Suitability		285
1493	57	Pine	Average Health	Moderate Suitability		285
1505	85	Cedar	Average Health	Moderate Suitability		286
1510	69	Sequoia	Average Health	Moderate Suitability		287
1584	79	Black Walnut	Fair Health	Moderate Suitability		288
1586	31	Coast Live Oak	Average Health	Good Suitability		
1589	35	Coast Live Oak	Average Health	Good Suitability		
1591	63	Black Walnut	Fair Health	Moderate Suitability		289
1593	41	Coast Live Oak	Average Health	Good Suitability		
1613	75	Elm	Average Health	Moderate Suitability		290
1614	75	Pepper	Dead	Poor Suitability	HZ, RM	291
1615	88	Coast Live Oak	Good Health	Good Suitability		292
1616	94	Coast Live Oak	Good Health	Good Suitability		292

Report Description:

Heritage Tree Report

Mountain View's City Code defines a "Heritage Tree" as any tree that has a trunk with a circumference of forty-eight inches (48") or more measured at fifty-four inches (54") above natural grade. Three species, quercus (oak), sequoia (redwood) or cedrus (cedar) are considered "Heritage" if they have a circumference of twelve inches (12") measured at fifty-four inches (54") above natural grade.

<u>Tag</u>	Circumference	Common Name	Health Description	Preservation Description	Comment	<u>Picture</u>
1617	47	Coast Live Oak	Average Health	Good Suitability		
1618	53	Elm	Average Health	Moderate Suitability		
1619	53	Elm	Average Health	Moderate Suitability		
1620	66	Elm	Average Health	Moderate Suitability		293
1621	66	Elm	Average Health	Moderate Suitability		294
1622	66	Elm	Average Health	Moderate Suitability		295
1623	88	Elm	Average Health	Moderate Suitability		297

Total: 351 Average Ordinance Sized Tree: 23.98